An Experimental Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms for Maize Yield Prediction

The research question (RQ)

What machine learning technique is suitable for maize yield prediction?

How we address the RQ?

Data used

Table 1: Overview of three datasets used.

Databases	Predictor categories	Data	Provenance
		-	

What we found?
Maize yield modeling
Table 2: Performance of the ML models
using different evaluation metrics.

Models	Dataset	MAE	MSE	EVS	RMSE	Rsquare	ME	Time
LR	Cover	0.230	0.094	0.923	0.307	0.920	0.974	0.005
Lasso	crop and irrigation	0.243	0.105	0.912	0.324	0.911	1.117	0.541
SVR		0.265	0.133	0.894	0.364	0.888	1.289	4.296
KNN		0.934	1.217	0.095	1.103	-0.030	2.380	0.557
RR		0.230	0.094	0.923	0.307	0.920	0.974	0.564
DT		0.361	0.220	0.814	0.469	0.814	1.233	38.123
RF		0.262	0.117	0.902	0.342	0.901	1.097	879.671
GBR		0.250	0.118	0.903	0.344	0.900	1.018	8920.403
GBM		0.300	0.146	0.877	0.382	0.877	1.181	100.562
XGB		0.230	0.088	0.927	0.297	0.925	0.981	2547.399
ADB		0.307	0.153	0.872	0.391	0.871	1.034	329.119
BR]	0.319	0.165	0.863	0.407	0.860	1.292	0.913
ERT		0.202	0.074	0.939	0.272	0.937	1.020	324.491

		size	
Crop yield prediction	climate, year, and	4121	Kaggle
	pesticide		
Cover crop and	cover crop type,	240	Zenodo
irrigation impacts on	irrigation, weed		
weeds and maize yield	quantity, and water		
	stress characteristics		
Marked impacts of	climatic parameters,	975	Zenodo
pollution mitigation on	and pollution factors		
crop yields in China			

Models used

Thirteen models were designed using 70% training data and 30% test data.

Classical learning: SVM, KNN, LR, RR,

Important variables



LASSO, decision trees DT;

Ensemble learning: AdaBoost, XGBoost, GBR, light GBM, ERT, RF, and BR.

Evaluation Metrics Rsquare, EVS, MAE, MSE, RMSE, and ME.

Important variables

Variables tested by permutation techniques.

Figure 1: Important model variables depending on data

Perspectives

ERT model can easily be used to better predict maize yield.

Bibliography

T. R. Shah, K. Prasad, and P. Kumar. Maize—a potential source of human nutrition and health: A review. Cogent Food and Agriculture, 2, 2016. ISSN 23311932. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2016.1166995.

G. P. Souand Tahi¹, Castro G. Hounmènou¹ V. Ratheil Houndji^{2,1} & L. Romain Glèlè Kakaï¹, souandtahi@gmail.com

Laboratoire de Biomathématiques et Estimations Forestières



d'Estimations Forestière