



NASAL CARRIAGE SCREENING FOR METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS AMONG HEALTH CARE WORKERS AT GOVERNMENT HOSPITALS IN MISURATA, LIBYA

**Abdulrahman Abdalla Allabidi*, Noura Alhadi Shaklawoon, Rugaia Rajab Rafieda, Chinnasamy Selvakumar
and Rabia Mohamed Oraet**

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Misrata University, Misrata, Libya.

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Abdulrahman Abdalla Allabidi**

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Misrata University, Misrata, Libya.

Article Received on 04/7/2023

Article Revised on 24/7/2023

Article Accepted on 14/8/2023

ABSTRACT

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is a well-recognized public health problem throughout the world. The past two decades have witnessed an alarming expansion of staphylococcal disease caused by community-acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. In this study, we investigate the antimicrobial susceptibility of methicillin-resistant *Staph. aureus*. We screened 178 health care workers at Misurata Government hospitals, Libya. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was carried out by using Kirby–Bauer's disk diffusion method as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. Isolates from 38 of the 178 subjects (21%) were confirmed as MRSA by Antimicrobial resistance patterns tested. The results showed that the percentage as follows; ciprofloxacin (63%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (50%), erythromycin (57%), clindamycin (21%), quinuprisin/dalfopristin (16%), vancomycin (9%) and mupirocin (8%). The study's results shows evidence that the health care workers could serve as MRSA carriers and play an alarming role in the dissemination of MRSA to the public and other workers.

INTRODUCTION

The hospital is an ideal environment for the transmission of pathogens, because patient with similar diseases and susceptibilities are housed in an enclosed community. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* prevalence is high among health care workers (HCWs), and there is a dynamic spread of strains cross the globe. The prevalence varies from hospital to hospital in various countries, with high rates (32–52%) reported mainly in the developing countries [Vonberg et al.]. MRSA carriage among HCWs can render other measures of infection control ineffective [Pathare et al.]. In most cases, colonized are generally asymptomatic, but they can be a potential reservoir of infection for susceptible patients. Moreover, it has been reported that HCWs have been the source of MRSA outbreaks in several hospital settings around the globe. Spread of MRSA strains in resource-limited settings may cause devastating consequences due to lack of adequate facilities for laboratory detection and patient management [Fishbain et al.]. MRSA carriage is an important predisposing factor for developing MRSA infection [Gould et al.], and this increases the cost of patient care. Given the impact of MRSA infection, early identification of colonized patients and HCWs and implementing control measures such as decolonization of high-risk patients or those undergoing surgical procedures can minimize the chances of developing

MRSA infection [Fishbain et al.,]. A study by Sai et al reported an efficacy of decolonization of 73% when considering patients with carriage limited to nasal cavities [Groom et al.,]. Few studies conducted in Libya have shown persistently high rates of antimicrobial resistance, with *S. aureus* being reported as a predominant pathogen in patients with surgical site infections [Ahmedh et al, Nazeer et al.,]. In the current study we investigated the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of MRSA among HCWs in the main hospitals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our study was carried out in hospital based cross-sectional study conducted among major different hospitals in the city. 178 samples were collected from government hospitals in Misurata city, Libya. The samples were taken from the anterior nares of consenting as follows: a single culture swab was gently rotated inside both nares. Specimens were immediately sent to the laboratory and processed. Contact details were collected from the doctors for follow-up of any possible positive MRSA but these were kept confidential from the hospital. Positive MRSA carriers were approached confidentially for follow-up. Nasal swabs were inoculated onto blood agar and mannitol salt agar plates for *S. aureus* isolation. Plates were incubated at 37°C and examined for

growth after 24–48 hours. *S. aureus* was initially screened based on the presence of golden yellowish or creamy white colonies on mannitol salt agar and subcultured on nutrient agar (NA). Isolates from NA were further identified by Gram staining reaction and catalase test reaction and confirmed phenotypically by coagulase tests. The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was carried out by using Kirby–Bauer's disk diffusion method as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this study, out of the 178 specimens examined, 37 (21%) were confirmed as MRSA by Antimicrobial resistance patterns tested. The results showed that the percentage as follows; ciprofloxacin (63%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (50%), erythromycin (57%), clindamycin (21%), quinuprisin/dalfopristin (16%), vancomycin (9%) and mupirocin (8%). The data collected were analyzed by SPSS software statistical application version 21.

Previous studies in Libya revealed that the prevalence of MRSA was reported around 39% [Zorgani A et al] and misidentification of MRSA in Libyan hospitals have in our study a lower prevalence was reported. Evolution of methicillin resistance by *S. aureus* has been traced to the acquisition of the exogenous gene (*mecA*) which is part of the staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) (types I–VII) and is under the control of *MecI* (arepressor) and *MecR1* (a transducer) and, when present, the regulatory/signalling proteins of the *blaZ* system. The *mecA* gene codes for additional penicillin-binding protein (PBP2a), a peptidoglycan transpeptidase, can confer resistance to all β -lactam antibiotics (penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems) [P. Stapleton et al.,]. Other isolates containing a particular variant of SCC*mec* types II and III have expanded range of resistance due to the presence of additional resistance genes. The presence of PBP2a, or *mecA* positivity, can be typed using methicillin or oxacillin (isoxazolyl penicillin), hence the acronym MRSA- or oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus* (ORSA) [D. Velasco et al.,]. Unknown carriers among patients may introduce MRSA into the hospital and serve as a source of transmission to other patients, primarily via the transiently colonized hands of hospital staff. An investigation by Vonberg et al. indicated that screening of HCWs should be performed before starting work duties in order to prevent the detection of transient, short-term MRSA carriage that may occur during a work shift [Vonberg RP et al.,]. However, such MRSA transmission between hospitals has also been documented [Ayliffe et al.,], and healthy individuals with nasal MRSA colonization are more likely to develop an infection after having medical procedures performed in the hospital [Albrich].

In the present study, a marginal high proportion of the confirmed MRSA isolates (21%) exhibited resistance to ciprofloxacin (63%). Resistance to mupirocin was only present in a small proportion of confirmed MRSA

isolates, and only 1 of the isolates exhibited a high level of mupirocin resistance (8%). Mupirocin ointment is the drug of choice for treating nasal MRSA colonization and it has been reported to be effective in eliminating MRSA colonization [Ellis et al.,]. The increasing trend of MRSA infections has been a noticeable global problem. We identified a steady increase in the rates of MRSA colonization and infection in a tertiary care centers in Libya. We need to recognize the importance of infection control policies, including hand hygiene and proper isolation practices. And also, continued surveillance for MRSA and other emerging multidrug-resistant pathogens is also needed.

REFERENCE

1. Albrich WC, Harbarth S. Health-care workers: source, vector, or victim of MRSA? *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 2008; 8: 289–301.
2. Ayliffe GA. The progressive intercontinental spread of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 1997; 24(1): S74–S79.
3. D. Velasco, M. Del mar Tomas, M Cartelle et al., "Evaluation of different methods for detecting methicillin (Methicillin) resistance in Staphylococcus aureus," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 2005; 55: 379–382.
4. E. J. Septimus and M. L. Schweizer, "Decolonization in prevention of health care-associated infections," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 2016; 29, 2: 201–222.
5. Gould IM. The clinical significance of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 2005; 61: 277–282.
6. Goyal R et al. Colonisation of methicillin resistant *S. aureus* among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of Delhi. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2002; 56: 321–324.
7. Groom AV et al. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a rural american indian community. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2001; 286: 1201–1205.
8. J. T. Fishbain, J. C. Lee, H. D. Nguyen et al., "Nosocomial transmission of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a blinded study to establish baseline acquisition rates," *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 2003; 24, 6: 415–421.
9. N. A. Pathare, H. Asogan, S. Tejani et al., "Prevalence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) colonization or carriage among health-care workers," *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 2016; 9, 5: 571–576.
10. N. Sai, C. Laurent, H. Strale, O. Denis, and B. Byl, "Efficacy of the decolonization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carriers in clinical practice," *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 2015; 4, 1: 56.
11. P. Stapleton and P. W. Taylor, "Methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus: mechanisms and modulation," *Science Progress*, 2002; 85, 1: 57–72.

12. P. Vonberg, S. Stamm-Balderjahn, S. Hansen et al., “How often do asymptomatic healthcare workers cause methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* outbreaks? A systematic evaluation,” *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 2006; 27, 10: 1123–1127.
13. S. Huang and R. Platt, “Risk of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection after previous infection or colonization,” *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2003; 36, 3: 281–285.
14. Dilnessa and A. Bitew, “Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples at Yekatit 12 Hospital Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,” *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 2016; 16, 1: 398.
15. W. C. Albrich and S. Harbarth, “Health-care workers: source, vector, or victim of MRSA?,” *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 2008; 8, 5: 289–301.
16. Zorgani A et al. Detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among healthcare workers in Libyan hospitals. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 2009; 73: 91–92.