EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
http://www.ejbps.com

ISSN 2349-8870
Volume: 11
Issue: 3
141-144
Year: 2024

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON BIOANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION

Tanniru Rajeswari*[1] and Dr. Mannish Gunjan[2]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Pharmacy, Raffles University, Neemrana- 301705.
[2]Principal and Professor, School of Pharmacy, Raffles University, Neemrana- 301705.

***Corresponding Author: Tanniru Rajeswari**
Research Scholar, School of Pharmacy, Raffles University, Neemrana- 301705.

Article Received on 13/01/2024 **Article Revised on 02/02/2024** **Article Accepted on 23/02/2024**

ABSTRACT
This paper focuses on sample preparation for drugs in biological matrices and offers practical approaches for determining selectivity and sensitivity. It covers essential aspects of bioanalytical method validation Additionally, It gives an overview of the important information required for bioanalytical procedures.

KEYWORDS: Bioanalytical method, Plasma, validation, ICH Guidelines.

INTRODUCTION

Bioanalytical techniques, employed for the quantitative determination of drugs and their metabolites in biological fluids and creates a specific procedure to enable a coalesce of interest to be identified and at the same time to be quantified in a matrix.[1] A coalesce is measured by several procedures.[1] The choice of analytical procedures involve many considerations, such as: concentration levels, chemical properties of the analyte, specimen matrix, cost of the analysis, experimental speed, quantitative or qualitative measurement, required precision and necessary equipment.[2] Bioanalytical method validation comprises all criteria determining data quality, such as selectivity, accuracy, precision, recovery, sensitivity, and stability.[3]

BIO ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT

The following point should be considered in the bio analytical method development.

Sample preparation: A biological media that contain the substances for analysis are usually blood, plasma, serum, urine, etc. Blood is usually collected from a Rabbit body by vein puncture with a special hypodermic syringe up to 5 to 7 ml. The venous blood is withdrawn into specific tubes with an anticoagulant, e.g. heparin, Potassium salt of EDTA etc. Plasma is obtained by centrifugation process at 4000 rpm for 15 minutes approximately. About 30 to 50 percent of the original volume is to be collected.[3]

Storage conditions for the biological samples: Biological samples are often time consuming process. To avoid decomposition of the samples the samples have to be placed in freezed conditions. The addition of additives such as 2mercaptoethanol and sodium azide which blocks cellular respiration and thereby inhibits the growth of microorganisms in protein samples.

Preliminary treatment of biological sample: Based on the analyte and internal standard's physicochemical properties like structure, partition co-efficient, pH, functional groups, dissociation constant, polarity and solubility, set and optimize the sample preparation technique like liquid-liquid extraction and solid phase extraction, protein precipitation.

Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE): This process is based on the theory of differential solubility and partitioning equilibrium of sub atom between aqueous (the original specimen) and the organic part.[5] Liquid-liquid extraction process generally involves the extraction of a particular substance from one liquid part to another liquid part.[6]

Solid phase extraction(SPE): This is a very selective method for specimen preparation where the analyte gets bound onto a solid support. Interference present are washed off and the analyte gets selectively eluted. The nature and amount of the sorbent, loaded sample volume, composition and volume of the washing and elution solutions are the effective parameters in SPE performance.[6]

Protein precipitation: This process is often used in routine biological analysis to remove proteins.

Precipitation process can be induced by adding of an organic modifier. This modifier may be a salt. Precipitation also can take place by changing the p^H. This influences the solubility of the proteins. The specimens are centrifuged and the supernatant part can be injected into the LC system. There after it is dissolved in a suitable solvent. A convergence of the specimen is then achieved. However the protein precipitation process is often combined with SPE for producing clean extract.[5]

Method development

Is a chromatographic system that can split a blend of mixes and is utilize as a part of natural chemistry and science to distinguish and refine the individual segments of the mixture.

1) **Normal phase chromatography:** Normal phase chromatography (NPC) is a chromatographic sort that uses a polar stationary phase and a non polar versatile phase for the unit of polar blends.
2) **Reversed phase chromatography:** Reversed phase chromatography is the term given to chromatographic conditions in which a non polar stationary phase is used as a piece of conjunction with a polar compact phase.

1) The Mobile Phase Mobile phase in these frameworks is generally blends of at least two individual solvents with or without added substances or natural dissolvable modifiers.

1a) Buffer determination: In switch stage, the maintenance of analytes is identified with their hydrophobicity. The more hydrophobic the analyte, the more it is held. At the point when an analyte is ionized, it turns out to be less hydrophobic and, in this way, its maintenance diminishes.

1b) Selection of pH: The pH can be isolated into low pH (1 - 4) and widely appealing pH (4 - 7) regions. Each range has different purposes of intrigue. Low pH has the upside of influencing a space in which to top after is constrained and procedure sturdiness is, opened up.

2) The Stationary Phase: Selecting a fitting stationary stage can likewise enhance the effectiveness of technique improvement. For instance, a C8 stage (turned around stage) can give a further efficient over a C18, as it doesn't hold analytes as emphatically as the C18 stage.

2a) The Column Length: Many chromatographers commit the error of just utilizing what is accessible. Regularly this is a 250 cm × 4.6 mm C18 segment. These sections can resolve a wide assortment of mixes.

2b) The Internal Diameter: By choosing a shorter segment with a proper stage, run times can be limited so an elution arrange and an ideal portable stage can be immediately decided.

3) Gradient Programming: The quickest and least demanding approach to build up a technique is to utilize a portable stage angle. Continuously begin with a powerless dissolvable quality and move to a higher dissolvable quality.

4) The Detector: UV-obvious finders are the most famous as they can identify an expansive scope of mixes and have a reasonable level of selectivity for some analytes.

5) Chromatogram

5a) Retention: Analytes might be too emphatically held. On the off chance that this happens, the dissolvable quality should be expanded. In switch phase investigation this implies a higher % of natural dissolvable in the portable stage.

5b) Peak Shape: This is regularly an issue, particularly for essential mixes broke down by turned around phase. To limit any potential issues dependably utilize a high immaculateness silica phase.

The International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) has established guidelines for bioanalytical method validation. These guidelines aim to ensure that bioanalytical methods used in research and regulatory submissions are accurate and reliable. The ICH M10 guideline specifically focuses on the validation of bioanalytical methods and study sample analysis to support regulatory decisions in nonclinical and clinical studies.

The main objective of validating a bioanalytical assay, as per these guidelines, is to demonstrate its suitability for the intended purpose. This involves confirming that the method is accurate, precise, specific, and reliable. The validation process typically includes various parameters such as selectivity, sensitivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, and stability.

These guidelines provide recommendations for method validation for bioanalytical assays used in both nonclinical and clinical studies, generating data that are crucial for regulatory decision-making. By following the guidelines outlined in ICH M10, researchers and laboratories can ensure that their bioanalytical methods meet the required standards and produce reliable results.

Parameters involved bio analytical validation are

1. Accuracy
2. Precision
3. Robustness
4. Limit of quantification
5. Limit of detection
6. Ruggedness
7. Linearity
8. Stability
9. Matrix effect

Parameters	Definition	Method	Acceptance criteria
Accuracy	Exactness of expository system communicates the closeness of understanding between the esteem which is acknowledged either as a traditional genuine esteem or acknowledged reference esteem and the esteem found. This is some of the time named trueness.[2]	Measured using a minimum of 5 determinations per concentration and a minimum of 3 concentrations in the range of expected concentration is recommended	Mean value should be within 15%. Nominal value(or)LLOQ (lower limit of quantification) should not deviate by more than 20%.
Precision	The accuracy of a systematic strategy communicates the closeness of understanding (level of disseminate) between the arrangement of estimations got from various inspecting of the same homogeneous example under the recommended conditions.[3]	Measured using a minimum of 5 determinations per concentration and at minimum of 3 concentrations	precision should not exceed 15%. %CV(co-efficient variation)(or)LLOQ, should not exceed 20%.
Robustness	The vigor of a logical strategy is a measure of its ability to stay unaffected by little, yet consider varieties in technique parameters and gives a sign of its unwavering quality amid ordinary use.		
Limit of quantification(LOQ)	The quantitation uttermost compasses of an individual consistent methodology is portrayed as the most negligible measure of analyte in an illustration, which can be quantitatively chosen with fitting precision and accuracy.[1]		The analyte response of should be precision maximum 20% and accuracy of 80-120%.
Limit Of detection(LOD)	The discovery utmost reaches of an individual explanatory technique is the most minimal measure of analyte in an example, which can be recognized yet not really quantitated under expressed exploratory conditions		
Ruggedness	Ruggedness is a measure for the susceptibility of a method to small changes that might occur during routine analysis like small changes of pH values, mobile phase composition, temperature.[5]		
Linearity	The linearity of an investigative strategy is its capacity to acquire test comes about, which are straight forwardly relative to the focus of analyte in the example. The scope of investigative method is the interm between the upper and lower fixation of analyte in the example for which it has been exhibited that the scientific technique has an appropriate level of exactness, precision and linearity.	Should consist of a blank sample, a zero sample, and 6–8 non-zero samples covering the expected range, including LLOQ.	The LLOQ should be at least 10% of the expected maximum concentration. ULOQ(upper limit of quantification) should be at least 2 times the expected C_{max} value. Regression coefficient (R2) > 0.98.
Stability	The stability of an analyte under	Performed using 3 aliquots	Stability sample should be

	various conditions are to also be studied during method validation process. The conditions used in stability experiments must reflect situations likely to be encountered during actual specimen handling and analysis.[3] **Freeze-Thaw stability:** The stability of an analyte should be determined, after complete three freeze and thaw cycles. **Short-Term-temperature stability:** The stability of an analyte in biological matrix under ambient temperature should be examined. **Long Term stability**: The stability of an analyte in the matrix should cross the time period from specimen collection until the last day of experimental work.	at HQC(higher quality control) and LQC(lower quality control) at intended temperature for 24 h after 3 freeze-thaw cycles. Three aliquots of each of the HQC and LQC should be thawed at room temperature and kept for 4–24 h before analysis. Determined by storing three aliquots each of HQC and LQC under the same conditions intended for study samples, concentration of stability samples to be compared with the mean of back-calculated values of the standards from 1st day of long-term stability testing	within 15%.
Matrix effect	The combined effect of all components of the sample other than the analyte on the measurement of quantity.[4]	Three blank specimens from each of not less than six batches of matrix under screening to be extracted. For matrix effect MQC (middle quality control), LQC and HQC spiking dilutions are spiked and internal standard dilution are also spiked in the above extracted blank specimens	

CONCLUSION

The major bioanalytical role is method development, method validation, and sample analysis. Every step in the method must be investigated to decide the extent to which environment, matrix, or procedural variables can interfere the estimation of analyte in the matrix from the time of set up to the time of analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Lalit v sonawane, bhagwat n poul, sharad v usnale, pradeepkumar v waghmare and laxman h surwase, Bioanalytical Method Validation and Its Pharmaceutical Application, Pharmaceutical Analytical Acta, 2014; 5: 1-7.
2. Sachin, L. Darkunde, Rupali N. Borhade, Bioanalytical Method Validation: A Quality Assurance Auditor View Point asian journal of pharmaceutical technology and innovation, 2017; 5: 59-60.
3. Tijare lk, rangari nt, mahajanun, A review on bioanalytical method development and validation, asian journal of pharmaceutical clinical research, 2016; 9: 1-5.
4. Method development and validation skills and tricks, 2019; 3.
5. Pushpa Latha E, and Sailaja B, Bioanalytical Method Development and Validation by journal of medical and pharmaceutical innovation, 2015; 1: 1-9.
6. A. Kirthi1, R. Shanmugam, M. Shanti Prathyusha, D. Jamal Basha, a review on bioanalytical method development and validation by rp - Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2014; 5.
7. Gurdeep R. Chatwal, Sham K. Anand, Instrumental Methods of Chemical Analysis, 2007; 2: 566-2.638.
8. Nasal.A, Siluk.D, and Kaliszan.R. Chromatographic Retention Parameters in Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacology, Pubmed, March 2003; 10(5): 381-426.
9. Ashok Kumar, Lalith Kishore, navpreet Kaur, Anroop Nair. Method Development and Validation for Pharmaceutical Analysis. International Pharmaceutica Sciencia, Jul-Sep, 2012; 2(3).
10. Kaushal. C, Srivatsava. B, A Process of Method Development: A Chromatographic Approach. J Chem Pharm Res., 2010; 2(2): 519-545.
11. Green JM. A Practicle guide to analytical method validation, Anal Chem., 1996; 305A-309A.
12. ICH, Validation of analytical procedures: Text and Methodology. International Conference on Harmonization, IFPMA, Geneva, 1996.