EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
http://www.ejbps.com

ISSN 2349-8870
Coden USA: EJBPBO
Volume: 13
Issue: 11
Year: 2026

REVISITING BIJA CHATUSTAYA: CLASSICAL FOUNDATIONS, CLINICAL APPLICATIONS, AND RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES

Dr. Vikas Bhardwaj[1]*, Dr. Pankaj Sharma[2], Dr. Sahana C.[3]

[1]Professor & HOD, Department of Ayurveda Samhita Evum Siddhanta, Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Chandigarh, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Kaya Chikitsa, Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Patiala, Punjab, India.
[3]Professor, Department of Ayurveda Samhita Siddhanta, Sushruta Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Bengaluru, India.

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Vikas Bhardwaj**
Professor & HOD, Department of Ayurveda Samhita Evum Siddhanta, Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Chandigarh, India. **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23912240

How to cite this Article: Dr. Vikas Bhardwaj[1]*, Dr. Pankaj Sharma[2], Dr. Sahana C.[3] (2026). Revisiting Bija Chatustaya: Classical Foundations, Clinical Applications, And Research Perspectives. European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 13(11), 339–344.
This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Article Received on 05/10/2026 **Article Revised on 25/10/2026** **Article Published on 01/11/2026**

ABSTRACT
Bija Chatustaya, also known as Chikitsa Chatustaya, is an important conceptual framework described in Sushruta Samhita, comprising Purusha (individual), Vyadhi (disease), Aushada (medicine), and Kriya Kala (timing of therapeutic intervention). These four interrelated components provide a systematic foundation for Ayurvedic diagnosis, individualized treatment planning, and therapeutic decision-making. The present conceptual review examines their classical significance, clinical applications, and potential relevance to contemporary research. Purusha emphasizes individual assessment; Vyadhi focuses on the identification, classification, and progression of disease; Aushada concerns the rational selection and administration of medicines and therapeutic measures; and Kriya Kala highlights the importance of timely intervention. Clinically, their integration supports comprehensive patient assessment, appropriate treatment selection, and systematic monitoring of therapeutic responses. From a research perspective, the framework offers a basis for developing standardized assessment criteria, investigating diagnostic consistency, evaluating treatment outcomes, and designing observational and comparative clinical studies. However, the reproducibility, predictive utility, and clinical effectiveness of its individual components require systematic investigation. Integrating classical textual interpretation with contemporary research methodology may facilitate the critical evaluation and evidence-informed application of Bija Chatustaya in Ayurvedic practice.

KEYWORDS: Bija Chatustaya, Chikitsa Chatustaya, Purusha, Vyadhi, Aushada, Kriya Kala, Sushruta Samhita, Clinical Research.

1. INTRODUCTION
Acharya Sushruta presents Bija Chatustaya, also known as Chikitsa Chatustaya, as a fundamental framework for understanding Ayurvedic diagnosis and treatment. It comprises four essential components: Purusha (individual or patient), Vyadhi (disease), Aushada (medicine), and Kriya Kala (appropriate time for therapeutic intervention).[1]

The principles of Bija Chatustaya are elaborated throughout Sushruta Samhita, including Sutrasthana, Nidanasthana, Sharirasthana, Chikitsasthana, and Kalpasthana. The seed analogy illustrates how a fundamental concept develops into a comprehensive body of knowledge.[2]

This framework is consistent with Panchamahabhuta Siddhanta and Loka Purusha Samya Siddhanta, which explain the relationship between the individual and the universe. Purusha constitutes the substratum of disease and treatment, Vyadhi represents the pathological condition, Aushada denotes therapeutic agents and measures, and Kriya Kala determines the appropriate timing of intervention.

Clinical relevance: Bija Chatustaya provides a systematic approach to clinical decision-making by integrating patient assessment, disease evaluation, treatment selection, and the timing of intervention.

Research relevance: Bija Chatustaya may also serve as a conceptual framework for developing research questions, identifying study variables, designing clinical studies, and evaluating treatment outcomes in Ayurveda. Its components can be investigated individually and collectively to determine their contribution to clinical decision-making and therapeutic effectiveness. The applicability and validity of this framework require systematic investigation rather than being assumed from classical descriptions alone.

2. MATERIAL METHODS

The present study is a conceptual review based on the principles of Bija Chatustaya described in Sushruta Samhita, with relevant references to Charaka Samhita and traditional commentarial interpretations.

The four components—Purusha, Vyadhi, Aushada, and Kriya Kala —were examined thematically to understand their significance and inter-relationship in Ayurvedic diagnosis and treatment. Relevant concepts concerning patient assessment, disease classification, drug selection, therapeutic procedures, dosage, and treatment timing were organized under clinical and research applications.

The research implications were explored through conceptual mapping of classical principles to contemporary research domains, including observational studies, clinical outcome assessment, comparative effectiveness studies, and prospective clinical investigations.

No experimental procedures or clinical investigations were undertaken in the present study. The proposed research applications represent directions for future investigation and do not constitute empirical validation of Bija Chatustaya.

3. Concept

3.1. Concept and Components of Bija Chatustaya

Bija Chatustaya comprises Purusha, Vyadhi, Aushada, and Kriya Kala. Although these components are described separately, they function interdependently. Kriya Kala relates to the timing of therapeutic action and cannot be considered entirely independent of the intervention itself.[3]

Clinical application: The four components provide a structured approach to clinical assessment:

- Purusha: Who is the patient, and what are the relevant individual characteristics?
- Vyadhi: What is the disease, its cause, severity, and stage?
- Aushada: Which medicine, procedure, dietary measure, or lifestyle intervention is appropriate?
- Kriya Kala: When should treatment be initiated, modified, or reassessed?

Research application: Bija Chatustaya can be operationalized as a four-domain research framework. Purusha may represent patient-related variables, Vyadhi; disease-related variables, Aushada; the therapeutic intervention, and Kriya Kala; the timing or stage of intervention. Researchers could examine the independent and combined associations of these domains with treatment outcomes.

A prospective study could evaluate whether systematic documentation of all four components improves the completeness of clinical assessment, consistency of treatment selection, or prediction of patient outcomes compared with routine documentation. Such benefits would need to be established empirically.

3.2. Purusha

Purusha is the primary component of Bija Chatustaya because it constitutes the substratum of both disease and treatment. Ayurveda explains the individual in relation to Atman and the Panchamahabhutas. The body and its constituent structures provide the basis for physiological functions, disease manifestation, and therapeutic interventions.

The formation of the individual is described through the combination of reproductive factors, including Shukra and Shonita, together with fundamental material principles. The body comprises Anga and Pratyanga, including structures such as Tvak, Maṃsa, Sira, and Kandara.[5,6]

The relationship between the individual and the universe is explained through Loka Purusha Samya Siddhanta. The universe comprises Sthavara (immotile) and Jangama (motile) entities, understood in relation to Agneya and Saumya principles.[7]

Clinical applications

1. **Individualized treatment:** Assessment of Prakruti, Bala, Vaya, Agni, and Sattva, where clinically relevant, may help guide therapeutic planning.
2. **Dosage individualization:** Medicines and procedures should be selected according to the patient's condition, tolerance, strength, and suitability.
3. **Treatment monitoring:** Symptoms, functional status, treatment tolerance, and recovery should be assessed to determine whether modification is required.

Research applications

1. **Assessment of Prakruti and other patient characteristics:** Observational studies may investigate associations between systematically assessed Ayurvedic patient characteristics and disease presentation, treatment response, or adverse events.
2. **Predictive research:** Prospective studies may determine whether selected patient characteristics

predict variation in therapeutic outcomes after accounting for disease severity and other relevant confounders.

3. **Reliability of assessment:** Inter-rater and test–retest studies could assess the reproducibility of Prakruti assessment and other clinically relevant Ayurvedic evaluations.
4. **Personalized treatment research:** Comparative studies may evaluate whether treatment plans incorporating predefined patient characteristics produce different outcomes from standard treatment approaches.

Such studies require clearly defined variables, standardized assessment procedures, appropriate sample sizes, and validated outcome measures. The scientific validity of individual Ayurvedic assessment tools should be evaluated rather than presumed.

3.3. Vyadhi

Vyadhi refers to a pathological condition associated with discomfort or suffering. Disease may affect the body, the mind, or both, depending on its causative factors and the disturbance of physiological equilibrium.

Classical Ayurvedic descriptions recognize four categories: Agantuka, Sharirka, Manasika, and Swabhavika.[8]

- **Agantuka Vyadhi:** Conditions arising from external causes, such as injury or trauma.
- **Sharirka Vyadhi:** Disorders associated with disturbances of bodily Doshas, including Vata, Pitta, and Kapha.
- **Manasika Vyadhi:** Conditions associated with mental disturbances such as anger, grief, fear, envy, pride, and excessive desire.
- **Swabhavika Vyadhi:** Natural conditions associated with hunger, thirst, ageing, sleep, and other inherent bodily processes.[9]

The body and mind are recognized as the principal substrata of disease. This understanding supports comprehensive assessment of disease origin, manifestation, and progression.

Clinical applications

1. **Comprehensive diagnosis:** Assessment should consider presenting symptoms, causative factors, affected systems, disease severity, and progression.
2. **Differential assessment:** Classification of disease according to its origin and manifestation helps organize clinical reasoning.
3. **Psychosomatic assessment:** Conditions involving both mental and physical symptoms require appropriate assessment of psychological, behavioral, and somatic factors.
4. **Stage-specific management:** Recognition of disease progression helps guide the selection and urgency of therapeutic intervention.

Research applications

1. **Operationalization of disease categories:** Research protocols may define observable clinical criteria for the Ayurvedic disease categories under investigation and specify how these relate to contemporary diagnostic classifications, where appropriate.
2. **Reliability of Ayurvedic diagnosis:** Studies may evaluate inter-observer agreement in identifying Dosha involvement, disease characteristics, and stages of progression using standardized assessment criteria.
3. **Diagnostic validity:** Where a suitable independent reference standard exists, diagnostic studies may evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, or agreement of defined Ayurvedic assessment methods.
4. **Disease progression:** Longitudinal studies may examine whether clinically defined stages of disease are associated with subsequent changes in symptoms, severity, functional status, or other prespecified outcomes.

   These investigations would help distinguish the conceptual utility of classical disease classifications from their reproducibility and predictive validity in contemporary clinical settings.

3.4. Aushada

Aushada encompasses medicines and therapeutic measures used to prevent, alleviate, or eliminate disease. The selection and application of treatment depend on the properties of the therapeutic substance, the condition of the patient, and the characteristics of the disease. Dravya, Rasa, Guna, Virya, and Vipaka are important considerations in understanding medicinal properties.[4]

The administration of medicines requires consideration of the appropriate place, dosage, and time. Relevant patient- and treatment-related factors include Dosha, Bheshaja, Desha, Kala, Bala, Sharira, Ahara satmya, Sattva, Prakruti, and Vaya.[10,11]

Therapeutic measures are broadly understood through Samsodhana and Samshamana. Samsodhana involves appropriate purification or elimination procedures, whereas Samshamana aims to pacify aggravated Doshas without their direct elimination. The selection of either approach depends on the clinical indication and suitability of the patient.

Ahara and Vihara are also the important components of disease management and health maintenance.[12]

Clinical applications

1. **Rational drug selection:** Medicines should be selected after considering their properties, intended therapeutic action, indication, and patient suitability.
2. **Individualized dosage:** Dose, frequency, route, and duration should be determined according to the intervention and relevant patient characteristics.
3. **Selection of therapeutic procedures:** Samsodhana and Samshamana should be differentiated according to the therapeutic objective, disease stage, and patient suitability.

4. **Dietary and lifestyle management:** Appropriate Ahara and Vihara may complement medicinal treatment.
5. **Monitoring of treatment:** Clinical response, tolerability, adverse events, and functional improvement should be documented systematically.

Research Applications

1. **Pharmacognostic and phytochemical studies:** Medicinal substances may be evaluated for identity, quality, chemical composition, and batch-to-batch consistency.
2. **Pharmacological investigations:** Preclinical studies may investigate biological activities relevant to a clearly defined therapeutic rationale. Laboratory findings alone should not be interpreted as proof of clinical efficacy.
3. **Comparative clinical studies:** Appropriately designed clinical trials may compare specified Ayurvedic interventions with suitable control or comparator groups using predefined outcomes.
4. **Dose and safety evaluation:** Research may investigate dose–response relationships, tolerability, interactions, and adverse events for specified interventions.
5. **Multicomponent treatment research:** Where medicines, dietary measures, and procedures are administered together, protocols should document each component and consider how their combined effects can be evaluated.

   For reproducibility, research protocols should specify the formulation, ingredients, dose, route, duration, manufacturing quality, and relevant concomitant interventions. Appropriate ethical approval, informed consent, and safety monitoring are essential for human studies.

3.5. Kriya Kala

Kriya Kala combines Kriya, meaning action, and Kala, meaning time. In Bija Chatustaya, it denotes the appropriate timing of therapeutic intervention and is associated with disease progression and opportunities for preventive and curative measures.[13]

Sushruta describes six stages of disease progression through Satkriyakala. Recognition of these stages provides a conceptual basis for identifying appropriate opportunities for intervention.

Kala also encompasses factors such as time of day, season, and other circumstances relevant to the patient, disease, and treatment. Classical descriptions include time-specific recommendations for therapeutic procedures, which must be interpreted according to the relevant indication and patient condition.

Clinical applications

1. **Early intervention:** Recognition of early disease changes may provide an opportunity for timely preventive or therapeutic measures.
2. **Stage-specific treatment:** The intervention should be adapted to the stage of disease and the patient's current condition.
3. **Appropriate procedural timing:** Timing should consider classical recommendations, clinical indication, digestion, strength, and suitability.
4. **Seasonal considerations:** Seasonal changes may be considered in planning preventive measures and adapting diet and lifestyle according to Ayurvedic principles.
5. **Reassessment:** The patient's response should be reviewed to determine whether treatment should continue or be modified.

Research applications

1. **Validation of Satkriyakala:** Prospective observational studies may investigate whether predefined clinical criteria for the six stages can be applied reproducibly and whether they predict subsequent disease progression.
2. **Early versus later intervention:** Where ethically and clinically appropriate, comparative studies may examine whether intervention at an earlier recognized stage is associated with different outcomes than intervention at a later stage. Necessary treatment must not be withheld to create a comparison group.
3. **Timing of therapeutic procedures:** Studies may compare clinically acceptable administration schedules for a specified procedure, while controlling for relevant patient and disease characteristics.
4. **Seasonal variation:** Repeated-measures studies may investigate whether seasonal factors are associated with variation in disease characteristics, treatment response, or measurable physiological outcomes.
5. **Development of decision-support tools:** Researchers may develop and evaluate structured clinical algorithms incorporating disease stage, patient condition, and treatment timing.

Research on Kriya Kala should distinguish the classical recommendation from the hypothesis being tested. Temporal associations do not by themselves establish causation; appropriate controls, repeated measurements, and consideration of confounding factors are necessary.

4. DISCUSSION

Bija Chatustaya provides an integrated framework for Ayurvedic clinical reasoning by connecting the patient, disease, therapeutic intervention, and timing of treatment. Its potential research value lies in translating these interrelated concepts into clearly defined variables and testable hypotheses.

Purusha emphasizes individual assessment and provides a basis for investigating patient-related predictors of treatment response. Vyadhi directs attention to disease classification, diagnosis, and progression. Aushada provides a framework for investigating the quality, safety, biological activity, and clinical effectiveness of

therapeutic interventions. Kriya Kala highlights the importance of treatment timing and disease stage.[3,5,8,10,13]

4.1. Integration of the Four Components in Research

The four components can be translated into a structured research framework:

Component	Research domain	Potential outcomes
Purusha	Patient characteristics and individualized assessment	Reproducibility of assessment; association with treatment response
Vyadhi	Diagnosis, classification, severity, and progression	Diagnostic agreement; progression and severity measures
Aushada	Therapeutic intervention, quality, dose, and safety	Clinical effectiveness; adverse events; treatment adherence
Kriya Kala	Timing, disease stage, and intervention schedule	Time to improvement; disease progression; differences in treatment response

This framework can be used to formulate research questions, select variables, and plan appropriate study designs. The choice of outcomes should depend on the disease, intervention, population, and specific hypothesis rather than applying the same measures to every study.

4.2. Proposed Research Design

A phased research programme may be adopted to investigate the clinical and scientific relevance of Bija Chatustaya.

Phase I: Conceptual clarification and standardization. Classical textual descriptions may be systematically reviewed to establish operational definitions for the four components. Expert consensus methods may help develop standardized assessment criteria where textual interpretations differ.

Phase II: Reliability and feasibility studies. Pilot studies may assess whether clinicians can consistently document and apply the proposed criteria in routine clinical settings.

Phase III: Observational research. Prospective studies may examine associations between patient characteristics, disease stage, treatment selection, timing, and outcomes. Relevant confounding variables should be recorded and addressed analytically.

Phase IV: Comparative clinical evaluation. Where preliminary evidence supports further testing, appropriately designed controlled studies may investigate whether a defined Bija Chatustaya-guided approach improves prespecified clinical outcomes compared with a suitable comparator.

Phase V: Implementation research. Studies may assess the feasibility, acceptability, documentation burden, and reproducibility of applying the framework in different clinical settings.

4.3. Methodological Considerations

To improve research quality, studies should specify the population, intervention, comparator, outcomes, and duration of follow-up. Sample size should be justified, outcome measures should be defined in advance, and statistical methods should correspond to the study design.

For clinical research, ethical approval, informed consent, safety monitoring, and appropriate reporting standards are necessary. Observational studies should address confounding and selection bias, while intervention studies should use suitable controls and transparent allocation procedures where applicable.

An important distinction must be maintained between classical textual authority, conceptual plausibility, observational association, and demonstrated clinical effectiveness. The presence of a principle in an authoritative Ayurvedic text establishes its historical and theoretical significance, but contemporary research is required to assess its reproducibility, predictive utility, safety, and effect on clinical outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Bija Chatustaya, or Chikitsa Chatustaya, is an important conceptual framework described in Sushruta Samhita. Its four components—Purusha, Vyadhi, Aushada, and Kriya Kala —represent essential considerations in Ayurvedic diagnosis and treatment.

Clinically, the framework emphasizes individualized patient assessment, comprehensive disease evaluation, rational treatment selection, and appropriate timing of intervention. From a research perspective, it offers a structured basis for developing operational definitions, evaluating diagnostic consistency, investigating treatment outcomes, and designing prospective and comparative studies.

Systematic research may help establish which aspects of the framework are reproducible, clinically useful, and associated with improved outcomes. Integrating classical textual analysis with rigorous contemporary methodology can therefore support the critical evaluation and evidence-informed application of Bija Chatustaya in Ayurvedic practice.

REFERENCES

1. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/38. p. 9.
2. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/39. p. 9.
3. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana, Dalhana commentary 1/38. p. 9.
4. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Charaka Samhita of Acharya Agnivesha*, Chakrapanidatta commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/63. p. 18.
5. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana, Dalhana commentary 1/38. p. 9.
6. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/38. p. 9.
7. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/36–37. p. 8.
8. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/34. p. 8.
9. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Charaka Samhita of Acharya Agnivesha*, Chakrapanidatta commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/62. p. 17.
10. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Charaka Samhita of Acharya Agnivesha*, Chakrapanidatta commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/63. p. 18.
11. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana, Dalhana commentary 1/38. p. 9.
12. Vaidya Yadavji Trikamji Acharya, editor. *Sushruta Samhita*, Dalhana commentator. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Samsthan; 2009. Sutrasthana 1/38, Dalhana commentary. p. 9.