

# EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Research Article
ISSN 2394-3211

SJIF Impact Factor 4.161

**EJPMR** 

# INVESTIGATION OF THE INFLAMMATORY AND PROTHROMBOTIC HEMATOLOGICAL INDICES IN THE PATIENTS WITH METABOLIC SYNDROME

### Serdar Olt, MD.\*

Adıyaman University Medical Faculty Department of Internal Medicine.

\*Corresponding Author: Serdar Olt, MD.

Adıyaman University Medical Faculty Department of Internal Medicine.

Article Received on 25/04/2017

Article Revised on 15/05/2017

Article Accepted on 05/06/2017

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Metabolic syndrome is the most important health problem in the world in recent years and is closely related to the development of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular diseases, cerebrovascular diseases, chronic renal failure and some types of cancer. Therefore it thought to be an inflammatory and prothrombotic condition. Increased Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) has recently been claimed to initiate thrombotic processes by activating platelets in a number of studies. Also Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) has been associated with inflammatory processes in many studies. Herein our aim was to evaluate the value of MPV and NLR values in metabolic syndrome. Methods: 236 patients admitted to the department of internal medicine were included into this present study. Demographic and laboratory data of the patients were retrospectively evaluated. Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed according to NCEP ATP III criteria. Patients were divided into two groups according to presence of the metabolic syndrome; metabolic syndrome positive group and negative group. The recorded data were compared between these groups. Data were analyzed by SPSS for Windows (version 21.0; SPSS / IBM, Chicago, IL). Results: Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed in 125 patients (52,9%). There was no statistically significant difference between MPV and NLR values between the groups (p value> 0.05). Conclusion: According to the results of this present study, we can say that there was no significant correlation between metabolic syndrome and indicators of the subclinical inflammation (NLR) and platelet activation (MPV).

**KEYWORDS:** Metabolic syndrome, Mean Platelet Volume, Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio.

# INTRODUCTION

Glucose metabolism disorder, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and cardiovascular risk factors of abdominal obesity were defined as the syndrome X in 1988 and latter was defined by the WHO as the metabolic syndrome in 1999. The criteria known as Syndrome X were impaired glucose tolerance, hyperinsulinemia, high triglyceride levels and low HDL levels. WHO criteria consisted of impaired fasting glucose or tolerance or presence of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, high triglyceride level, low HDL level, central obesity and microalbuminuria.[1] Today, the metabolic syndrome criteria defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) are accepted all over the world. [2] Five criteria are defined here and at least three of these criteria must be positive for the diagnosis.

Metabolic syndrome is a very serious cardiovascular risk factor. In addition to cardiovascular diseases, it is a serious risk factor for the development of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cerebrovascular disease, chronic renal failure and some types of cancer. [3]

We thougt that metabolic syndrome might be an inflammatory disease and prothrombotic condition. Hence we investigated indicators of the inflammatory and prothrombotic hematological indices in metabolic syndrome patients.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Patients selection

236 patients aged > 18 were included into the study. Patients were retrospectively analyzed for age, gender, height, weight, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, HDL, triglyceride, neutrophil, lymphocyte, MPV, WBC, Platelet count and hemoglobin. NLR values was found by dividing of the neutrophil to lymphocyte.

# Metabolic Syndrome Definition

The metabolic syndrome was diagnosed according to the NCEP ATP III guideline with a positive detection of at least three of the following 5 criteria.

- 1. Fasting glucose  $\geq 100$  mg/dl or pharmacological treatment
- 2. Blood pressure ≥130/80 mmHg or pharmacological treatment

- 3. Triglyceride ≥150 mg/dl or pharmacological treatment
- 4. Waist circumference ≥88 cm in women ≥102 cmin men
- 5. HDL >40 mg/dl in men <50 mg/dl in women or pharmacological treatment

Patients were divided into two groups as patients with and without metabolic syndrome. The recorded data were compared between the two groups. In patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, regardless of blood glucose, the first criterion was accepted as positive. In patients diagnosed with hypertension, the second criterion was accepted as positive regardless of blood pressure. Patients using hypolipidemic drugs due to hypertriglyceridemi and / or low HDL were also considered to be positive criteria.

## **Statistical Analysis**

All the analyzesaredone using the SPSS for Windows (version 21.0; SPSS / IBM, Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics, independent sample T test, Mann-Whitney U and Binary logistic regression tests were used when appropriate. Normality was tested with Kolmogrov Smirnov test. Normal distributed data was tested with independent sample T test and the others was tested with Mann-Whitney U test. The statistical significance level was accepted as a P value of less than 0.05.

### **RESULTS**

Metabolic syndrome was detected in 125 of the studied patients. The mean age of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $57.7 \pm 13.5$  years, whereas the mean age of the patients without metabolic syndrome was  $38 \pm 16.7$  (p value <0.01). Of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome, 35 were males and 90 were females, whereas 37 of the patients without metabolic syndrome were males and 74 were females (p value = 0,37). Of the patients diagnosed with the metabolic syndrome, 27 were living in the rural residence, 98 of them living in non-rural residence, while 2 of the patients without metabolic syndrome were living rural residence, 87 of them in the non-rural residence (p value = 0.99). Diabetes mellitus was detected in 66 of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome, whereas 5 of the patients without metabolic syndrome had diabetes mellitus (p value <0.01). Of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome, 51 had hypertension and 2 of the patients without metabolic syndrome had hypertension (p value <0,01). The mean waist circumference of patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $108.8 \pm 11.2$ , and the mean waist circumference of patients without metabolic syndrome was  $95.6 \pm 12.4$  (p <0.01). The

mean triglyceride values of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome were 189 ± 92, and the mean triglyceride values of the patients without metabolic syndrome were 114.5  $\pm$  72.3 (p value <0,01). The mean HDL values of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was 39,4  $\pm$  9,6 and the mean HDL values of the patients without metabolic syndrome was  $46.7 \pm 11.7$ (p <0.01). The mean fasting blood glucose levels of patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome were 141.6  $\pm$  66.8 and the mean fasting blood glucose level of patients without metabolic syndrome was found to be  $96.8 \pm 30.8$  (p value <0,01). The mean systolic blood pressure of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $132.8 \pm 22.3$ , while the mean systolic blood pressure of patients without metabolic syndrome was determined as  $108.7 \pm 13.8$  (p value <0,01). The mean diastolic blood pressure of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $74.3 \pm 14.3$ , and the mean diastolic blood pressure of the patients without metabolic syndrome was  $67.8 \pm 8.9$  (p <0.01). The mean WBC values of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $8,42 \pm 2,3$ , while the mean WBC values of the patients without metabolic syndrome was found to be 7,7  $\pm$  2 (p value <0,01). Hemoglobin averages of patients with metabolic syndrome were  $14.03 \pm 1.9$ , and hemoglobin averages of patients without metabolic syndrome were found to be  $14.06 \pm 2.02$  (p value = 0,89). The mean platelet counts of patients with metabolic syndrome were  $264990 \pm 72600$  and the mean platelet count of patients without metabolic syndrome was  $256200 \pm 61400$  (p value = 0,32). The mean MPV values of patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $8,24 \pm 1,3$ . Mean MPV values of patients without metabolic syndrome was found to be  $8,47 \pm 1,4$  (p value = 0.21). Neutrophil averages of patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome were 4,97 ± 1,9, while neutrophil averages of patients without metabolic syndrome were  $4,59 \pm 1,8$  (p value = 0,03). The mean lymphocyte values of the patients diagnosed with metabolic syndrome was  $2,67 \pm 0.8$  and the mean lymphocyte values of the patients without metabolic syndrome was found to be  $2,39 \pm 0,5$  (p value <0,01). NLR averages of patients with metabolic syndrome were found to be  $2,07 \pm 1,3$ . NLR averages of patients without metabolic syndrome were found to be  $2.08 \pm 1.35$  (p value = 0.74). These results are summarized in Table 1.

According to the results of binary logistic regression test, the metabolic syndrome and MPV (P value: 0,21 exp B: 0,99 (95% 0,81-1,2)) and NLR (P value: 0,95 exp B: 0,89 95% 0,74-1,07)) were not found to be significant difference (**Table 2**).

Table 1: Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the patients according to the presence of metabolic syndrome

Parameters	With MS $(N = 125)$	Without MS $(N = 111)$	P value
Age	57,7±13,5	38±16,7	<0,01
Gender (Male/Female)	35/90	37/74	0,37
Residence (rural/non-rural)	27/98	24/87	0,99
Diabetes mellitus	66 (%52,8)	5 (%4,5)	<0,01

Hypertension	51 (%40,8)	2 (%1,8)	<0,01
Waist circumference	108,8±11,2	95,6±12,4	<0,01
Triglyceride	189±92,2	114,5±72,3	<0,01
HDL	39,4±9,6	46,7±11,7	<0,01
Glucose	141,6±66,8	96,8±30,8	<0,01
Systolic blood pressure	132,8±22,3	108,7±13,8	<0,01
Diastolic blood pressure	74,3±14,3	67,8±8,9	<0,01
WBC	8,42±2,3	7,7±2	<0,01
Hemoglobin	14,03±1,9	14,06±2,02	0,89
Platelet count	264990±72600	256200±61400	0,32
Neutrophil	4,97±1,9	4,59±1,8	0,03
Lymphocyte	2,67±0,8	2,39±0,5	<0,01
NLR	2,07±1,3	2,08±1,35	0,74
MPV	8,24±1,3	8,47±1,4	0,21

Table 2: Binary Logistic Regression test results for metabolic syndrome positive and negative groups

Parameters	В	S.E	Exp(B)	95% CL	P value
NLR	-,006	,099	,994	0,81-1,2	0,95
MPV	-,116	,094	,890	0,74-1,07	0,21

B, regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval of Exp (B); Exp (B), adjusted regression coefficient; SE, standard errror

### DISCUSSION

Metabolic syndrome is a metabolic disorder associated with insulin resistance and abdominal obesity. [4] In animal studies, high-fat diets have been shown to increase insulin resistance, which is the most important step in metabolic syndrome. [5] This metabolic disorder increases the risk of developing diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular diseases, policystic over syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, sexual dysfunction, cerebrovascular disease, chronic renal failure and some types of cancer. [6-11] The worldwide incidence of metabolic syndrome has increased and this increase is thought to be triggered by technological progress and increasing sedanter life.

In this present study metabolic syndrome was detected in 52,9% of the patients. This high rates will explain the importance of this health problem. This condition can be reversible with lifestyle changes involving diet and exercise. [12]

Metabolic syndrome is known to cause thrombotic diseases because it accelerates the process of atherosclerosis. [13] Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) is a hemogram parameter that displays mean platelet volume and platelet activity. MPV elevation has recently been claimed to initiate thrombotic processes by activating platelets in many studies, and a statistically significant association has been found in these studies. [14-16] It is known that increased insulin resistance is central to the pathogenesis of complications of metabolic syndrome. Some studies have found a significant association between insulin resistance and MPV. [17] There are also a number of studies showing the association of MPV value with inflammatory processes. [18-19]

In a study by Shah B et al., A significant association was found with MPV values and diabetes mellitus, although no significant association was found between MPV values and the metabolic syndrome. [20] In a study of the Husband-Wrist, there was no significant association between MPV values and the metabolic syndrome. [21] In a study by ByoungJin Park et al., A significant association was found between low MPV values and the metabolic syndrome. [22]

In this present study, there was no statistically significant relationship between the metabolic syndrome and MPV values (p value> 0.05).

Numerous studies have shown that NLR values increases in inflammatory conditions. [23-26] Metabolic syndrome is thought to be chronic subclinical inflammation in the human body. Another study showed that obesity increases the macrophage infiltration in fat tissue, thus producing a pro-inflammatory process. [27] In another study, CRP and IL-6 levels were found to be significantly higher in patients with metabolic syndrome compared to the control group [28]

In a study conducted by Büyükkaya E et al., A significant association was found between high NLR and CRP levels and metabolic syndrome. [29] In a study conducted by Bahadira et al., there was a significant relationship between CPR values and the metabolic syndrome, while there was no relationship between NLR values and the metabolic syndrome. [30]

In this present study, there was no statistically significant relationship between NLR values and Metabolic syndrome (p value> 0.05).

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, according to the results of this present study, there was no significant correlation between metabolic syndrome and subclinical inflammation or platelet activation indicators.

Our work had some limitations. These were, respectively, the small number of patients and the retrospective nature of the study. This issue will be better illuminated in the future by prospective studies with a large number of patients.

## REFERENCES

- Lam DW, LeRoith D. Metabolic Syndrome. 2015
  May 19. In: De Groot LJ, Chrousos G, Dungan K,
  Feingold KR, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Koch C,
  Korbonits M, McLachlan R, New M, Purnell J,
  Rebar R, Singer F, Vinik A, editors. Endotext
  (Internet). South Dartmouth
  (MA):MDText.com,Inc.;2000.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK278936 /
  PubMed PMID: 25905173.
- 2. Gupta A, Gupta V. Metabolic syndrome: what are the risks for humans? Biosci Trends, Oct, 2010; 4(5): 204-12.
- 3. Farias DR, Pereira AF, Rosa G. (Metabolic syndrome in coronary artery and occlusive vascular diseases: a systematic review). Arq Bras Cardiol, Jun, 2010; 94(6): 150-78.
- 4. Paniagua JA. Nutrition, insulin resistance and dysfunctional adipose tissue determine the different components of metabolic syndrome. World J Diabetes, Nov 15, 2016; 7(19): 483-514.
- Caricilli AM, Nascimento PH, Pauli JR, Tsukumo DM, Velloso LA, Carvalheira JB et al. Inhibition of toll-like receptor 2 expression improves insulin sensitivity and signaling in muscle and white adipose tissue of mice fed a high-fat diet. J Endocrinol, 2008; 199: 399-406.
- 6. Tune JD, Goodwill AG, Sassoon DJ, Mather KJ. Cardiovascular consequences of metabolic syndrome. Transl Res., Jan 9, 2017: S1931-5244(16): 30309-7.
- Mulè G, Calcaterra I, Nardi E, Cerasola G, Cottone S. Metabolic syndrome in hypertensive patients: An unholyalliance. World J Cardiol, Sep26, 2014; 6(9): 890-907.
- 8. Mulé G, Cottone S, Nardi E, Andronico G, Cerasola G. Metabolic syndrome in subjects with essential hypertension: relationships with subclinical cardiovascular and renal damage. Minerva Cardioangiol, Apr, 2006; 54(2): 173-94.
- Micucci C, Valli D, Matacchione G, Catalano A. Current perspectives between metabolic syndrome and cancer. Oncotarget, Jun 21, 2016; 7(25): 38959-38972
- 10. Braun S, Bitton-Worms K, LeRoith D. The link between the metabolic syndrome and cancer. Int J BiolSci., 2011; 7(7): 1003-15.

- 11. Pais R, Silaghi H, Silaghi AC, Rusu ML, Dumitrascu DL. Metabolic syndrome and risk of subsequent colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol, Nov7; 2009; 15(41): 5141-8.
- 12. Onat A. Metabolic syndrome: nature, therapeutic solutions and options. Expert Opin Pharmacother, 2011; 12: 1887-1900.
- 13. Mollazadeh H, Hosseinzadeh H. Cinnamon effects on metabolic syndrome: a review based on its mechanisms. Iran J Basic MedSci., Dec, 2016; 19(12): 1258-1270.
- 14. Choi DH, Kang SH, Song H. Mean platelet volume: a potential biomarker of the risk and prognosis of heart disease. Korean J Intern Med., Nov, 2016; 31(6): 1009-1017.
- 15. Chu SG, Becker RC, Berger PB, Bhatt DL, Eikelboom JW, Konkle B et al. Mean platelet volume as a predictor of cardiovascular risk:a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost, Jan, 2010; 8(1): 148-56.
- Yang A, Pizzulli L, Lüderitz B. Mean platelet volume as marker of restenosis after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty in patients with stable and unstable angina pectoris. Thromb Res., 2006; 117(4): 371-7.
- 17. Baldane S, Ipekci SH, Kebapcilar A. Relationship Between Insulin Resistance and Mean Platelet Volume in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. J Lab Physicians, Jul-Dec, 2015; 7(2): 112-5.
- 18. Yüksel O, Helvaci K, Başar O, Köklü S, Caner S, Helvaci N et al. An overlooked indicator of disease activity in ulcerative colitis: mean platelet volume. Platelets, Jun, 2009; 20(4): 277-81.
- 19. Öztürk ZA, Dag MS, Kuyumcu ME, Cam H, Yesil Y, Yilmaz N et al. Could platelet indices be new biomarkers for inflammatory bowel diseases? Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci., Feb, 2013; 17(3): 334-41.
- 20. Shah B, Sha D, Xie D, Mohler ER 3rd, Berger JS. The relationship between diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and platelet activity as measured by mean platelet volume: the National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. Diabetes Care., May, 2012; 35(5): 1074-8.
- 21. Kutlucan A, Bulur S, Kr S, Bulur S, Önder E, Aslantaş Y et al. The relationship between mean platelet volume with metabolic syndrome in obese individuals. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis, Jul, 2012; 23(5): 388-90.
- 22. Park BJ, Shim JY, Lee HR, Jung DH, Lee JH, Lee YJ. The relationship of platelet count, mean platelet volume with metabolic syndrome according to the criteria of the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists: a focus on gender differences. Platelets, 2012; 23(1): 45-50.
- 23. Balta S, Celik T, Mikhailidis DP, Ozturk C, Demirkol S, Aparci M et al. The Relation Between Atherosclerosis and the Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost, Jul, 2016; 22(5): 405-11.

- 24. Afari ME, Bhat T. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR)and cardiovascular diseases: an update. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther., 2016; 14(5): 573-7.
- 25. Olt S. Relationship between thyroid nodules and neutrophil/lymphocyteratio. Acta Medica Mediterranea, Jan 1, 2015; 31: 725-7.
- 26. Bozbay M, Ugur M, Uyarel H, Cicek G, Koroglu B, Tusun E et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic marker in infective endocarditis: inhospital and long-term clinical results. The Journal of heart valve disease, Sep, 2014; 23(5): 617-23.
- Weisberg SP, McCann D, Desai M, Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL, Ferrante AW, Jr. Obesity is associated with macrophage accumulation in adipose tissue. J Clin Invest, 2003; 112: 1796-1808.
- 28. Ding Y, Li S, Ma RL, Guo H, Zhang J, Zhang M et al. Association of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, adiponectin, and low-grade inflammation with the course of the metabolics yndrome. Clin Biochem, May, 2015; 48(7-8): 503-7.
- Buyukkaya E, Karakas MF, Karakas E, Akçay AB, Tanboga IH, Kurt M et al. Correlation of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio with the presence and severity of metabolic syndrome. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost, Mar, 2014; 20(2): 159-63.
- Bahadır A, Baltacı D, Türker Y, Türker Y, Iliev D, Öztürk S et al. Is the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio indicative of inflammatory state in patients with obesity and metabolic syndrome? Anatol J Cardiol, Oct, 2015; 15(10): 816-22.