

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Review Article
ISSN 2394-3211
EJPMR

EFFECTS OF STERNOCLEDOMASTOID AND SUBOCCIPITAL MUSCLE STRETCH FOR NECK PAIN AMONG SMARTPHONE USERS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY

*1Prof. Sharmila Dhamotharan and 2Suriya

¹Assistant Professor, School of Physiotherapy, VISTAS, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. ²School of physiotherapy, VISTAS.

*Corresponding Author: Prof. Sharmila Dhamotharan

Assistant Professor, VISTAS Chennai Tamilnadu India.

Article Received on 06/09/2022

Article Revised on 26/09/2022

Article Accepted on 16/10/2022

INTRODUCTION

Neck pain is a common problem in the general population. The source of pain may arise from many structure of the cervical spine, and can develop into chronic pain. [23] Neck problems can adversely affect physical, psychological, and social function. Neck pain also leads to high costs in national healthcare systems. [36] Studies have demonstrated altered behavior of the cervical muscles in neck pain. The prevalence of neck pain was reported to range from 22% to 30% of the population. It is considered one of the most common pain problems. [29,30]

The number of smart phone users has increased dramatically. Poor posture while looking at the visual display terminals of smart phones for extended periods causes musculoskeletal problems. Straker et.al., reported that the visual display terminal of a tablet PC, which has a smaller screen than that of a regular desktop computer, requires the user to bend his/her neck more, thereby increasing the activity of the shoulder muscles. The angle at which someone looks at a visual display terminal with a small screen is lower than that of a big screen. As a result, the person has to bend his/ her neck a lot more. [9] Using a smart phone while adopting a poor posture can cause various physical problems. The neck and shoulders are particularly vulnerable to pain due to smart phone use, with the muscles showing a high level of muscle fatigue, resulting in exhaustion and pain. [9]

Prolong smart phone use causes continued mechanical stress on the tendons, muscles, and surrounding tissues, and furthermore maintaining the same posture can also be cause musculoskeletal disorder. When the height was low, The neck was more flexed, and it produced significantly higher neck extensor muscle activities. In addiction, looking down at your smart phone too much can lead to upper back pain ranging from chronic, nagging pain tosharp and severe pain.

The cervical spine is a continuous and coordinated network of muscles, nerves and joints, the pathway ranging from the brain to the spinal cord. Irritation along this pathway leads to pain. As the dependence of mobile phone is increasing rapidly and people spend long hours on mobile phone which lead to various musculoskeletal problems. The epidemiology of neck pain is important for several reasons. More knowledge about the size and extent of this problem would facilitate accurate

predictions of the need for medical services and direct resources. [18]

Pain is an unpleasant sensation associated with actual or potential tissue damage.

Stretching is known to be a one of the important component of treatment protocol of neck pain patients. Stretching is a form of physical exercise in which a specific muscle or tendon is deliberately flexed or stretched in order to improve the muscle's felt elasticity and achieve comfortable muscle tone. The result is feeling of increased muscle control, flexibility and range of motion. [6] A number of different stretching exercises can be used to treat the patients with neck pain including dynamic stretching which a patient can practice alone for prophylaxis, proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation, where the release and contraction of a muscles are repeatedly practiced, and Evjenth-Hamberg stretching, where isometric contraction and static stretching are applied to agonist and antagonist muscles using the hold and relax technique andthe contract relax technique. [6]

Stretching exercise is our treatment of interest because it can decrease muscle stiffness by elongating the elastic component of the musculo tendinous unit. It can also decrease pain and improve flexibility. Our interest is stretching exercise, owing to its effect in decreasing muscle stiffness and improving flexibility. In this Study, the effect of Sternocleidomastoid muscle stretch and the effect of Sub occipital muscle stretch were compared. The neck pain caused due to prolong usage of Smart phone will be applied with treatment of Sternocleidomastoid muscle stretch and Suboccipital muscle stretch. Thirty patients who had neck pain due to prolong usage of smartphone will be divided into two groups. This two groups are applied

with the treatment plan of Sternocleidomastoid muscle stretch and sub occipital muscle stretch for duration of two weeks.

The main objective of this study to compare the effectiveness of Sternocleidomastoid muscle stretchand Sub occipital muscle stretch for neck pain patients among smar tphone users.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of the study is to evaluate the Effects of Sternocleidomastoid Muscle Stretch and Sub Occipital Muscle Stretch for Neck Pain among Smart Phone users.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To evaluate the effectiveness of sternocleidomastoid muscle stretch on neck pain among smartphone users.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of Suboccipital muscle stretch on neck pain amongsmartphone users.
- To compare the effectiveness of sternocleidomastoid and suboccipital stretch on neck pain among smartphone users.

METHODOLOGY

STUDY DESIGN: Experimental Study STUDY TYPE: Comparative Study STUDY DURATION: 2 Weeks

STUDY SETTING: Shri Ishari Velan Mission Hospital,

Chennai.

SAMPLE SIZE: 30 Samples

SAMPLE METHOD: Random Sampling

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- ❖ Age Group Between 23 34 years
- Both Gender (Male and Female)
- Person having neck pain
- History of neck pain due to prolong usage of smart phone

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- * Recent surgery around neck and shoulder
- Fracture around Shoulder Joint
- Fracture around Neck Region
- * Recent injury around neck and shoulder region
- Cervical Radiculopathy
- Cervical Myelopathy
- Vertebrobasilar Artery Syndrome

OUTCOME MEASURE

• NUMERICAL PAIN RATING SCALE

- The Numerical Pain Rating Scale is a undimensional measure of pain intensity measure of pain intensity in adults.
- ➤ The scale is a segmented numeric version of the visual analog scale in which a respondent selects a whole number (0-10 integers) that best reflects the intensity of pain.
- The 11 point numerical scale ranges from 0 representing "no pain" to 10 representing "pain"

- extreme" as bad as you imagine.
- ➤ High test retest reliability has been observed in both literate and illiterate patients before and after medical consultation.
- For construct validity the NPRS was shown to be highly correlated with the VAS in patients with chronic pain conditions.

NECK DISABILITY INDEX

- The neck disability index has been designed to give us information as to how the neck pain has affected person's ability to manage in everyday life.
- The questionnaire consist of 10 sections and for each section the total possible score is 5 and all the 10 questions completed the score is calculated as follows

total scored

$$X 100 = ___\%$$
total possible score(50).

TOOLS USED

- Towel or blanket
- chair
- Questionnaires
- Pen
- Pencil

PROCEDURE

A comparative study design was used for the purpose of the current study. 30 patients were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria and informed consent was taken fromthem, before starting the study.

Patients were assessed at the 1st day before giving treatment and follow up of the patients were done at the end of the 2nd week after completing the treatments.

Group A: 15 participants, Group B: 15 participants. Both group initially assessed the pain using numerical Pain scale and functional disability level by neck disability index and values are noted [pre test]

Group A are treated with sternocleidomastoid stretch and Group B treated with sub occipital stretching daily for 2 weeks.

Group A were trained with Sternocleidomastoid muscle strengthening exercises and Group B were trained with Sub Occipital muscle strengthening exercises.

After 2 weeks of the treatment period both group participants were assessed for pain, disability, post test and pre test values of both [group A and group B] are statistically analysed and the results will be interpreted.

GROUP A

Group A was given with the treatment of Sternocleidomastoid Muscle Stretch for duration of two weeks along with stretch the patients are trained with Sternocleidomastoid muscle strengthening exercises for the patients who were suffered from neck pain due to prolong usage of smart phone. The cervical range of motion is examined before the treatment protocol in order to know the cervical range of motion and the treatment should be planned to promote cervical range of motion along with the neck pain. The Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and Neck Disability Index (NDI) were used as a outcome measure to know the effectiveness of the treatment. These two outcome measure were noted Pre test and Post test.



Fig 3:- Sternocleidomastoid stretch.

GROUP B

Group B was given with the treatment of Sub Occipital Muscle Stretch for duration of 2 weeks along with stretch the patients are trained with Sub Occipital muscle strengthening exercise for the patients who were suffered from neck pain due to prolong usage of smartphone. The cervical range of motion is examined before the

treatment protocol in order to know the cervical range of motion and the treatment should be planned to promote cervical range of motion along with the neck pain. The Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and Neck Disability Index (NDI) were used as a outcome measure to know the effectiveness of the treatment. These two outcome measure were noted Pre test and Post test.



Fig 4:- Suboccipital stretch.


DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPREDATIONS NUMERICAL PAIN RATING SCALE

Table 1: Shows the comparison of Group A and B pre and post score numerical painrating scale.

	N	MEAN		SD			
GROUP		PRE TEST	POSTTEST	PRE TEST	POSTTEST	T VALUE	P VALUE
GROUP A	15	7.33	6.27	`1.11	1.22	9.0252	< 0.0003
GROUP B	15	6.60	1.73	1.06	0.88	25.3605	< 0.0001

TABLE 1: This table shows that there was no significant difference in pre test values of group A(7.33) and group B(6.60) and statistically significant difference in post-test values of the SPADI between Group A and Group B.

Both the groups show significant decreased in the post test means but Group A(6.27) Which has the lower mean value and Group A comparatively higher than Group B.



GRAPH: 1


NECK DISABILITY SCALE

Table 2: Shows the comparison of Group A and B pre and post score Neck Disability Index.

NDI	N	MEAN		S	SD		DYALLE
		PRE TEST	POST TEST	PRE TEST	POSTTEST	T VALUE	P VALUE
GROUP A	15	19.60	15.93	5.58	5.0	6.5738	< 0.0001
GROUP B	15	15.93	10.33	4.25	3.64	13.6011	< 0.0001

TABLE 2: This table shows that there was no significant difference in pre test values of group A(19.0) and group B(15.93) and statistically significant difference in posttest values of the NDI. between Group A and Group B.

Both the groups show significant decreased in the post test means but Group A(15.93) Which has the lower mean value and Group A comparatively higher than Group B.



GRAPH 2:


COMPARISION OF RESULTS OF NUMERICAL PAIN RATING SCALE

Table 3: Represents the comparison between the results of group A and group B.


OUTCOME	MEAN		S	D	T VALUE	P VALUE
MEASURE	GROUPA	GROUPB	GROUPA	GROUPB	I VALUE	PVALUE
NPRS	6.27	1.73	1.22	0.88	9.1743	< 0.0001
NDI	15.93	10.33	5.60	3.64	2.8556	< 0.0001


TABLE 3: Which shows the comparison value of (NPRS) and (NDI) of Group A and Group B. The Group A mean value of NPRS is found to be 6.27 and NDI is found to be 1.73 and for Group B men value of NPRS is found to be 15.93 and NDI is found t be 10.33. It has

been found to that a highly significant difference. P<0.0001 for the group A. Both the groups are statistically significant. But group A mean difference increased much more than group B after the treatment was noticed.



GRAPH: 3





DISCUSSION

Stretching exercise targeting the neck and shoulder areas twice per day, five days per week during this stretching exercise significantly reduced neck exercise and shoulder function.

Stretching exercise five times per week during four weeks was effective for reducing pain in women with chronic neck pain.

The study demonstrated that addiction to smartphone use associated with neck problems and disability among healthy adult subjects. The finding supports high level of computer use related musculoskeletal symptoms around the neck among young college students and that smartphone addiction caused physical health problems and found to be similar one to this study.

Forward head posture is when the neck slants forward placing the hand in front of the shoulders. The most common condition that contributes to neck pain is forward head and shoulder posture. Forward head posture is when the neck slants forward placing the head in front of the shoulders. Prolonged shearing of the vertebrae from forward head posture eventually irritates the small facet joint in the neck as well as the ligaments and soft tissues. This irritation can result in neck pain that radiates to shoulder blades and upper back.^[1]

Thus, based on the results obtained from this study is found to be similar to the another study that it can be concluded that stretching exercises were found to be more effective than strengthening exercises. This could be due to stretching exercises which helped improve the range of motion and also stretch the anterior-tightened structures along with strengthening of the posterior neck muscles whereas as compared to the strength training which only helped improve the strength and stability of the cervical spine. [24]

The study results indicate that patients in both groups reported improvement in the health-related quality of life. A possible explanation to this lies in the role of stretching in reducing pain and increasing range of motion, which together may have led to a perceived well- being and thus to the report of an improved quality of life. Positive results in both quality of life and Range of motion generally persisted six weeks after the end of the treatment. At the follow- up, there was a slight worsening in pain compared to the end of treatment and in reported bodily pain, although the differences were not significant. This suggests the need for a continuous exercise program so that patients can maintain the positive results obtained with treatment. [25]

The results showed that there was a significant increase in craniovertebral angle which reflects the forward head posture in both sitting and standing positions. Such results were similar to the findings in the present study, demonstrating that cranio-cervical flexion exercise improved forward head posture by increasing the craniovertebral angle. The present study performed comparative analysis on the changes in mechanical characteristics of muscles and posture in patients with cervicogenic headache after applying intervention with different exercise programs the results showed that the group that had cervical stretching and stabilization exercise applied had greater amount of changes in tone, stiffness, and cervical posture. If such points are taken into account when applying exercise therapy to patients with cervicogenic headache, the patients can be maintained more effectively^[26] and these are similar the current study.

This study proved that the difference in improvement between groups for the Neck disability index was statistically significant, the gap could be of little importance clinically. The neck disability among smartphone users might be related to frequent neck flexion posture which changes the natural curve of the cervical spine and increases the amount of stress on

cervical spine leading to irritation and spasm in the surrounding skeletal structures ligaments.

In this study the Sternocleidomastoid muscle hardness decreased significantly after Soft tissue release intervention. The results shows that applying the release procedure on the soft tissue of the Sternocleidomastoid muscle reduced vertical height and lengthened the sarcomeres and parallel elastic components which associated with the study.

CONCLUSION

The results of the study both the Group equally reduce pain and improve range of motion but Group A showed significant changes due to Sternocleidomastoid muscle stretch thereby improving range of motion and reducing pain than Group B. The improvement observed during 2 weeks of the treatment period in both the groups. However significant result were found with the use of 2 weeks protocol there was a significant difference between pre intervention and post intervention values of NPRS and NDI range of motion.

LIMITATIONS

- Small sample size
- Study sample was limited between 23 to 34 years of age
- Long term effects of treatment were not assessed
- Short study duration

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The sample of this study was small and it can done on larger sample
- Long term follow up can be done

REFERENCE

- 1. Kim SJ, Lee JH. Effects of sternocleidomastoid muscle and suboccipital muscle soft tissue release on muscle hardness and pressure pain of the sternocleidomastoid muscle and upper trapezius muscle in smartphone users with latent trigger points. Medicine, 2018 Sep; 97(36).
- 2. Park JH, Kang SY, Jeon HS. The effect of using smart-phones on neck and shouldermuscle activities and fatigue. Physical Therapy Korea, 2013; 20(3): 19-26
- 3. Buckle PW, Devereux JJ. The nature of work-related neck and upper limb musculoskeletal disorders. Applied ergonomics, 2002 May 1; 33(3): 207-17.
- 4. Sadria G, Hosseini M, Rezasoltani A, Bagheban AA, Davari A, Seifolahi A. A comparison of the effect of the active release and muscle energy techniques on the latent trigger points of the upper trapezius. Journal of bodywork and movement therapies, 2017 Oct 1; 21(4): 920-5.
- Straker LM, Coleman J, Skoss R, Maslen BA, Burgess-Limerick R, Pollock CM. A comparison of posture and muscle activity during tablet computer, desktop computer and paper use by young children.

- Ergonomics, 2008 Apr 1; 51(4): 540-55.
- 6. Oh SH, Yoo KT. The effects of stabilization exercises using a sling and stretching on therange of motion and cervical alignment of straight neck patients. Journal of physical therapy science, 2016; 28(2): 372-7.
- 7. Young IA, Dunning J, Butts R, Mourad F, Cleland JA. Reliability, construct validity, andresponsiveness of the neck disability index and numeric pain rating scale in patients with mechanical neck pain without upper extremity symptoms. Physiotherapy theory and practice, 2019 Dec 2; 35(12): 1328-35.
- 8. Lee S, Lee D, Park J. Effect of the cervical flexion angle during smart phone use on muscle fatigue of the cervical erector spinae and upper trapezius. Journal of physical therapy science, 2015; 27(6): 1847-9.
- 9. Missaghi B. Sternocleidomastoid syndrome: a case study. The Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association, 2004 Sep; 48(3): 201.
- Falla D, Jull G, Edwards S, Koh K, Rainoldi A. Neuromuscular efficiency of the sternocleidomastoid and anterior scalene muscles in patients with chronic neck pain. Disability and rehabilitation, 2004 Jun 17; 26(12): 712-7.
- 11. Oliveira-Campelo NM, Rubens-Rebelatto J, MartÍn-Vallejo FJ, Alburquerque-SendÍn F, Fernández-de-las-Peñas C. The immediate effects of atlanto-occipital joint manipulation and suboccipital muscle inhibition technique on active mouth opening and pressure pain sensitivity over latent myofascial trigger points in the masticatory muscles. journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy, 2010 May; 40(5): 310-7.
- 12. Shin H, Kim K. Effects of cervical flexion on the flexion-relaxation ratio during smartphone use. Journal of physical therapy science, 2014; 26(12): 1899-901.
- 13. Neupane S, Ali UI, Mathew A. Text neck syndromesystematic review. Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2017; 3(7): 141-8.
- 14. AlAbdulwahab SS, Kachanathu SJ, AlMotairi MS. Smartphone use addiction can cause neck disability. Musculoskeletal care, 2017 Mar; 15(1): 10-2.
- 15. Miakotko L. The impact of smartphones and mobile devices on human health and life. New York University. [Internet], 2017.
- 16. Samani PP, Athavale NA, Shyam A, Sancheti PK. Awareness of text neck syndrome in young-adult population. Int J Community Med Public Health, 2018 Aug; 5(8): 1-5.
- 17. Guez M, Hildingsson C, Nilsson M, Toolanen G. The prevalence of neck pain. Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica, 2002 Jan 1; 73(4): 455-9.
- Häkkinen A, Salo P, Tarvainen U, Wiren K, Ylinen J. Effect of manual therapy and stretching on neck muscle strength and mobility in chronic neck pain. Journal of rehabilitation medicine, 2007 Sep 5; 39(7): 575-9.
- 19. Ylinen J, Kautiainen H, Wirén K, Häkkinen A.

- Stretching exercises vs manual therapy in treatment of chronic neck pain: a randomized, controlled cross-over trial. Journal of rehabilitation medicine, 2007 Mar 5; 39(2): 126-32.
- 20. Catanzariti JF, Debuse T, Duquesnoy B. Chronic neck pain and masticatory dysfunction. Joint Bone Spine, 2005 Dec 1; 72(6): 515-9.
- 21. Pawaria S, Kalra S. Comparing effectiveness of myofascial release and muscle stretching on pain, disability and cervical range of motion in patients with trapezius myofascial trigger points. Indian Journal of Health Sciences and Care, 2015; 2(1): 8-13.
- 22. Suvarnnato T, Puntumetakul R, Uthaikhup S, Boucaut R. Effect of specific deep cervical muscle exercises on functional disability, pain intensity, craniovertebral angle, and neck-muscle strength in chronic mechanical neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of pain research, 2019; 12: 915.
- 23. Kakaraparthi VN, Gannamaneni VK. The effect of stretching versus strengthening exercise to reduce neck pain in asymptomatic adults. Saudi Journal of Sports Medicine, 2018 May 1; 18(2): 63.
- 24. Cunha AC, Burke TN, França FJ, Marques AP. Effect of global posture reeducation and of static stretching on pain, range of motion, and quality of life in women with chronic neck pain: a randomized clinical trial. Clinics, 2008; 63(6): 763-70.
- 25. Park SK, Yang DJ, Kim JH, Kang DH, Park SH, Yoon JH. Effects of cervical stretching and cranio-cervical flexion exercises on cervical muscle characteristics and posture of patients with cervicogenic headache. Journal of physical therapy science, 2017; 29(10): 1836-40.
- Weleslassie GG, Meles HG, Haile TG, Hagos GK. Burden of neck pain among medical students in Ethiopia. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2020 Dec 1; 21(1): 14.
- 27. Alghadir AH, Iqbal A, Anwer S, Iqbal ZA, Ahmed H. Efficacy of Combination Therapies on Neck Pain and Muscle Tenderness in Male Patients with Upper Trapezius Active Myofascial Trigger Points. BioMed Research International, 2020; 2020.
- 28. Bovim G, Schrader H, Sand T. Neck pain in the general population. Spine, 1994 Jun; 19(12): 1307-9.
- 29. Wolsko PM, Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, Kessler R, Phillips RS. Patterns and perceptions of care for treatment of back and neck pain: results of a national survey. Spine, 2003 Feb 1; 28(3): 292-7.
- Boden SD, Swanson AL. An assessment of the early management of spine problems and appropriateness of diagnostic imaging utilization. Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics, 1998 May 1; 9(2): 411-7.
- 31. Ylinen J, Salo P, Nykänen M, Kautiainen H, Häkkinen A. Decreased isometric neck strength in women with chronic neck pain and the repeatability of neck strength measurements. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation, 2004 Aug 1; 85(8): 1303-8.

- 32. Gogia PP, Sabbahi MA. Electromyographic analysis of neck muscle fatigue in patients with osteoarthritis of the cervical spine. Spine, 1994 Mar; 19(5): 502-6.
- 33. Weleslassie GG, Meles HG, Haile TG, Hagos GK. Burden of neck pain among medical students in Ethiopia. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2020 Dec 1; 21(1): 14.
- 34. Alghadir AH, Iqbal A, Anwer S, Iqbal ZA, Ahmed H. Efficacy of Combination Therapies on Neck Pain and Muscle Tenderness in Male Patients with Upper Trapezius Active Myofascial Trigger Points. BioMed Research International, 2020; 2020.