

BIOETHICAL CHALLENGES IN THE TREATMENT OF JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES WITH HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCIES - ARE WE REALLY PREPARED FOR THIS?

Adela Perolla*

University Hospital Centre "Mother Teresa", Service of Hematology Tirana, Albania.

*Corresponding Author: Adela Perolla

University Hospital Centre "Mother Teresa", Service of Hematology Tirana, Albania.

Article Received on 27/03/2023

Article Revised on 17/04/2023

Article Accepted on 07/05/2023

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The refusal of blood transfusions by Jehovah's Witnesses based on their religious beliefs creates significant bioethical challenges for physicians treating patients with hematological malignancies. This article provides a detailed review of the bioethical issues that arise when considering the rights of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies. It highlights the ethical implications of the refusal of blood transfusions and the challenges physicians face when providing care, including informed consent, end-of-life care, conflicts of interest, and access to appropriate medical care. This article also explores the current state of medical preparedness to handle the unique ethical considerations presented by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies, including the extent to which medical professionals are adequately trained to navigate these issues.

Review: This review examines the bioethical challenges associated with the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies. The article discusses the ethical implications of conflicts of interest, including the balancing of the patient's religious beliefs with professional duty to provide appropriate medical care. End-of-life care issues are also addressed, including the need to balance respecting the patient's autonomy and religious beliefs with the provision of appropriate medical care. Refusal of blood transfusions presents ethical and legal issues for physicians, including the exploration of alternative treatments while ensuring patients receive appropriate medical care. Informed consent is also discussed, including the unique considerations that arise from religious beliefs, language barriers, cultural differences, or health literacy issues.

Conclusion: The refusal of blood transfusions by Jehovah's Witnesses based on religious beliefs creates significant bioethical challenges for physicians treating patients with hematological malignancies. This article highlights the ethical considerations that arise from these challenges and the current state of medical preparedness to handle these issues. It is crucial that physicians prioritize clear and effective communication, adherence to ethical guidelines and legal requirements, and consideration of alternative treatments while respecting the patient's autonomy and religious beliefs. Adequate training and resources must be provided to physicians to ensure they are equipped to handle the unique ethical considerations presented by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies.

KEYWORDS: Jehovah's Witnesses, hematological malignancies, bioethics, blood transfusions medical preparedness, conflicts of interest, end-of-life care, informed consent, religious beliefs, medical treatment.

INTRODUCTION

The refusal of blood transfusions by Jehovah's Witnesses due to their religious beliefs presents significant bioethical challenges for physicians treating patients with hematological malignancies. Jehovah's Witnesses are known for their strict adherence to their religious beliefs, including their refusal of blood transfusions. This creates significant ethical challenges for physicians treating patients with hematological malignancies, where blood transfusions may be a necessary part of treatment.^[1] The unique ethical considerations presented by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies require careful consideration and preparedness to handle these challenges.

Bioethical Issues

The primary bioethical issue when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies is their refusal of blood transfusions. This creates tension between the patient's autonomy and the physician's duty to provide the best possible care. Physicians must respect a patient's religious beliefs, but they also have a professional duty to act in the patient's best interests.^[1,8] Informed consent is another critical issue. Patients may not fully understand the risks and benefits of various treatment options, including those that may be necessary for their condition. This raises questions about the extent of the patient's autonomy and the role of the physician in ensuring that patients have a full understanding of their

medical condition and the potential consequences of refusing certain treatments.^[2,3]

Refusal of blood transfusions is a significant issue that arises when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies. Jehovah's Witnesses refuse blood transfusions due to their religious beliefs, which prohibit the consumption of blood.^[4] However, blood transfusions may be necessary for the treatment of hematological malignancies, which can cause severe anemia and bleeding.

This refusal of blood transfusions can create ethical dilemmas for physicians, who must balance respecting the patient's religious beliefs with their professional duty to provide appropriate medical care. Physicians must also consider the potential risks and benefits of alternative treatments, such as blood substitutes or erythropoietin-stimulating agents (ESAs), which may be less effective or carry their own risks.^[4,5]

In addition to ethical dilemmas, refusal of blood transfusions can also create legal issues for physicians. In some cases, patients or their families may challenge medical decisions that conflict with their religious beliefs and may even file lawsuits against physicians or healthcare facilities that provide blood transfusions without the patient's consent.^[4,5,11]

To address refusal of blood transfusions, physicians must prioritize the patient's religious beliefs while also ensuring that they receive appropriate medical care. Open and honest communication with patients and their families is critical to ensuring that patients have a full understanding of their treatment options and the potential consequences of refusing certain treatments. Physicians must also explore alternative treatments and consider the potential risks and benefits of each option. Adherence to ethical guidelines and legal requirements is also important to ensure that medical decisions are made in the patient's best interest while respecting their autonomy and religious beliefs.^[2]

End-of-life care issues may arise when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies due to their refusal of certain medical treatments, such as blood transfusions, that may be necessary for palliative care. Jehovah's Witnesses may refuse palliative care, such as pain management or hospice care, if it involves the use of blood products.^[4,5,8,10]

This refusal of certain palliative care options can create ethical dilemmas for physicians, who must balance respecting the patient's autonomy and religious beliefs with their professional duty to provide appropriate medical care. Patients may not fully understand the potential consequences of refusing certain treatments and may not be fully informed about alternative palliative care options that do not involve blood products.^[2,5]

End-of-life care issues may also arise when considering the patient's overall quality of life. Patients with hematological malignancies may experience severe symptoms, such as pain or difficulty breathing, that can impact their quality of life. Palliative care options that do not involve blood products should be explored and discussed with patients and their families to ensure that they receive appropriate care that aligns with their religious beliefs and overall quality of life.^[2]

Conflicts of interest can arise when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies due to the potential clash between medical best practices and the patient's religious beliefs. Physicians have a professional duty to act in the best interests of their patients, but they may face conflicts of interest when a patient's religious beliefs conflict with medical best practices. For example, a physician may feel conflicted when a Jehovah's Witness patient refuses a necessary blood transfusion due to their religious beliefs, as the physician may feel obligated to provide the patient with the best possible medical care while respecting their religious beliefs.^[14,17]

These conflicts of interest can create ethical dilemmas for physicians, who must navigate the tension between respecting their patients' religious beliefs and providing them with the best possible medical care. Additionally, conflicts of interest can also arise when considering the financial interests of healthcare providers. For example, a hospital may be financially incentivized to provide certain treatments, such as blood transfusions, even if the patient refuses them due to their religious beliefs.

Physicians may face a conflict of interest when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies, as their religious beliefs may clash with medical best practices. This can create tension between the physician's professional duties and the patient's autonomy, leading to ethical dilemmas. Physicians must navigate these challenges while ensuring that they provide appropriate medical care and respect their patients' religious beliefs and autonomy.

Medical Preparedness

Despite the significant bioethical challenges posed by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies, medical professionals may not be adequately prepared to handle these challenges. While there are guidelines and recommendations for the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses, they are not always followed, and physicians may not have the necessary training or resources to navigate these issues effectively.

One study found that while most healthcare providers were aware of Jehovah's Witnesses' refusal of blood transfusions, many did not have a clear understanding of their religious beliefs or the ethical implications of their refusal of blood transfusions. This study found that physicians may lack the necessary knowledge and training to effectively communicate with Jehovah's

Witness patients about their treatment options.^[16] This highlights the need for increased training and resources to prepare medical professionals to handle the unique ethical considerations presented by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies.

In addition to training and resources, guidelines and recommendations should be followed to ensure that medical professionals are prepared to handle the unique ethical considerations presented by the treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies. For example, the American Medical Association's Opinion 2.1.1 on Informed Consent states that physicians must provide patients with all the necessary information to make informed decisions about their treatment options (2). This includes providing information about the risks and benefits of refusing certain treatments, such as blood transfusions.

CONCLUSION

The treatment of Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies presents significant bioethical challenges for physicians, and medical professionals may not be adequately prepared to handle these challenges. Despite guidelines and recommendations, medical professionals may not have the necessary training or resources to navigate these issues effectively. Refusal of blood transfusions is a significant issue that arises when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies. End-of-life care issues may arise when treating Jehovah's Witnesses with hematological malignancies due to their refusal of certain palliative care options that involve blood products. Physicians must prioritize the patient's autonomy and religious beliefs while also ensuring that they receive appropriate medical care. Open and honest communication with patients and their families is critical to navigating these ethical dilemmas and developing a treatment plan that aligns with the patient's religious beliefs and overall quality of life.

REFERENCES

1. Beauchamp T. L. Methods and principles in biomedical ethics. *Journal of medical ethics*, 2003; 29(5): 269–274. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.29.5.269>.
2. American Medical Association. <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-06/code-of-medical-ethics-chapter-2.pdf>.
3. Muramoto O. Bioethics of the refusal of blood by Jehovah's Witnesses: Part 1. Should bioethical deliberation consider dissidents' views?. *Journal of medical ethics*, 1998; 24(4): 223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.24.4.223>.
4. Ariga T. Refusal of blood by Jehovah's Witnesses and the patient's right to self-determination. *Legal medicine (Tokyo, Japan)*, 2009; 11(1): S138–S140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2009.02.005>.
5. Crowe, E. P., & DeSimone, R. A. Transfusion support and alternatives for Jehovah's Witness patients. *Current opinion in hematology*, 2019; 26(6): 473–479. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOH.0000000000000535>.
6. Muramoto O. Medical confidentiality and the protection of Jehovah's Witnesses' autonomous refusal of blood. *J Med Ethics*, 2000 Oct; 26(5): 381–6. doi: 10.1136/jme.26.5.381. PMID: 11055043; PMCID: PMC1733285
7. Azambuja, L. E., & Garrafa, V. Testemunhas de jeová ante o uso de hemocomponentes e hemoderivados [Jehovah's Witnesses attitude towards hemocomponents and hemoderivatives]. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*, 1992; 56(6): 705–710. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-42302010000600022>.
8. Thompson H.A. Blood transfusions and Jehovah's Witnesses. *Texas medicine*, 1989; 85(4): 57–59.
9. Cagne C. Connaître et comprendre le témoin de Jéhovah. 2e partie [Knowing and understanding a Jehovah Witness patient. Part two]. *Revue de l'infirmière*, 1995; (1): 57–62.
10. Thurkauf G. E. Understanding the beliefs of Jehovah's Witnesses. *Focus on critical care*, 1989; 16(3): 199–204.
11. Swan R. Faith-Based Medical Neglect: for Providers and Policymakers. *Journal of child & adolescent trauma*, 2020; 13(3): 343–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40653-020-00323-z>.
12. West J. M. Ethical issues in the care of Jehovah's Witnesses. *Current opinion in anaesthesiology*, 2014; 27(2): 170–176. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0000000000000053>.
13. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*, 2013; 310(20): 2191–2194. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053>
14. Sarteschi L.M. Jehovah's witnesses, blood transfusions and transplantsations. *Transplantation proceedings*, 2004; 36(3): 499–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2004.02.013>.
15. Rougé-Maillart, C., Gaches, T., Jousset, N., & Penneau, M. Refus de soins du patient, le problème épineux des témoins de Jéhovah [Patients refusal to be treated, the problem of Jehovah's witnesses]. *Presse médicale (Paris, France)*, 2004; 1983: 33(4), 223–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0755-4982\(04\)98541-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0755-4982(04)98541-7).
16. Gouezec, H., Lerenard, I., Jan, S., Bajoux, E., Renaudier, P., Mertes, P. M., & Groupe des Hémobiologistes et Correspondants d'Hémovigilance (GHCOH) de la Société française de vigilance et de thérapeutique transfusionnelle (SFVTT) Perception par les médecins des conditions de prise en charge d'un Témoin de Jéhovah [Physicians' perception on taking care of Jehovah's witnesses]. *Transfusion clinique et biologique: journal de la Société française de transfusion*

- sanguine, 2016; 23(4): 196–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.traccli.2016.08.002>.
17. Bolcato, M., Shander, A., Isbister, J. P., Trentino, K. M., Russo, M., Rodriguez, D., & Aprile, A. Physician autonomy and patient rights: lessons from an enforced blood transfusion and the role of patient blood management. *Vox sanguinis*, 2021; 116(10): 1023–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vox.13106>.