

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Research Article
ISSN 2394-3211
EJPMR

WAS PRINCESS DIANA A VICTIM OF CEREBRO-GENOCIDE?

Alen J. Salerian* M. D.

810 Euterpe Street #9103, New Orleans, LA 70130.



*Corresponding Author: Alen J. Salerian

 $810\ Euterpe$ Street #9103, New Orleans, LA 70130.

Article Received on 15/04/2025

Article Revised on 05/05/2025

Article Accepted on 25/05/2025

ABSTRACT

Since 1997 there have been allegations suggesting that a government sanctioned assassination (GSA) was responsible for the deaths of Princess Diana, Dodi Fayed and Henry Paul. **Method:** We reviewed" Coroner's Inquests into the Deaths of Diana, Princess of Wales and Mr Dodi Al Fayed. Computer Aided Modelling Bureau, Metropolitan Police Service. November 2007" and applied the probability theory to project odds of certainty that Princess Diana was a victim of a government sanctioned assassination (GSA). **Results:** 14 observations consistent or inconsistent with the observation that Princess Diana was a victim of GSA indicates she was a victim of GSA-cerebro-genocide. Odds of this observation being correct is % 99.99. **Discussion:** Overwhelming evidence further supported by the conclusion that Princess Diana was a victim of GSA with odds of certainty of %99.99 suggest she was indeed a victim of GSA-cerebrogenocide. **Conclusion:** Princess Diana was a victim of cerebro-genocide. Further investigation to identify the criminals are of essence. Was Princess A victim of Cerebro-Genocide? 14 Red Flags suggest cerebro-genocide with % 99.99 certainty.

INTRODUCTION

During the early hours of 31 August 1997, Diana, Princess of Wales, died from injuries sustained earlier that night in a car crash in the Pont de l'Alma tunnel in Paris, France. Dodi Fayed, Diana's partner, and the driver of the Mercedes-Benz W140, Henri Paul, were found dead inside the car. Dodi's bodyguard, Trevor Rees-Jones, was seriously injured but was the only survivor of the crash.

Since 1997 there have been allegations that a Government sanctioned assassination GSA was responsible for the deaths of Princess Diana, Dodi Fayed and Henry Paul.

A recent forensic discovery enables us to differentiate government sanctioned assassinations from random murders to project odds of certainty of Princess Diana's death from a GSA.

The first known cerebrogenocide was witnessed at the onset of the Armenian genocide when 250 intellecuals of citizens of the Ottoman Empire among them poets, clergy, members of congress, pharmacists and physicians were arrested on April 24,1915 and later killed by the orders of Talat Pasha.^[1]

METHOD

We reviewed" Coroner's Inquests into the Deaths of Diana, Princess of Wales and Mr Dodi Al Fayed.

Computer Aided Modelling Bureau, Metropolitan Police Service. November 2007" and applied the probability theory to project odds of certainty that Princess Diana was a victim of a government sanctioned assassination (GSA).

RESULTS

14 observations consistent or inconsistent with the observation that Princess Diana was a victim of GSA-cerebro-genocide indicates she was a victim of cerebrogenocide, selective killings of thought leaders first observed in government sanctioned homicides of 250 Armenian intellectuals in Istanbul. Odds of this observation being correct is % 99.99%.

- 1. The CCTV cameras recordings did not record the crash. They were manipulated by another governmental agency. Pascal Poulain, the room commander on Saturday August 30th, 1997 told Investigators that the staff tried to use the camera to view crash site, but it was impossible, according to the Operation Paget inquiry. The screen showed only a blurred yellow light. "We tried to manipulate the camera that is to maneuver it in vain.
- 2. No investigation of why the camera did not work.
- 3. No investigation of what the other agency was.
- 4. Wrongful conclusion by declaring Henry Paul guilty in a country where (99%) of similar crimes are solved.
- 5. Two dead back seat passengers, a front seat passenger alive.

www.ejpmr.com Vol 12, Issue 6, 2025. ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal 265

- 6. Malpractice: Alert with no head injury, survived 4 hours without active intervention to stop internal bleeding.
- Gross police incompetence: The owner of a Fiat Uno colliding with Diana's Mercedes was identified to be James Andanson who proved that he was not the driver. The driver was never identified or investigated.
- 8. James Andenson' accidentally died in a car crash.
- Henry Paul.: A pilot, aviation instructor, chief of security of a 5 star hotel, experienced driver with a perfect driving record and no history of reckless behavior. Red Flag: As likely as Princess Diana Robbing a bank.
- 10. Henry Paul's behavior indicates a catastrophic event involuntarily and dramatically altering his decision making by acting like a wild mustang recklessly racing into the" death tunnel".
- 11. Henry Paul's failure to warn his passengers to put their seatbelts on to crash on to a column.
- 12. Henry Paul: Toxic alcohol level of 1.8 associated with conspicuous signs of alcohol intoxication impossible not to be noticed by the security guard in front seat and two passengers in back seats, suggests foul play.
- 13. Henry Paul's blood level should have been of 0.5 or 0.6 based upon his alcohol intake as reported by witnesses. This suggests foul play.
- 14. High risk target of assassination because of her brain: A Nobel peace laureate who defended women's rights and defied silent submission to infidelity contrary to formidable traditional rules of Britain.

DISCUSSION

Overwhelming evidence further supported by the conclusion that Princess Diana was a victim of GSA with odds of %99.99 suggests she was indeed a victim of cerebro-genocide.

CONCLUSION

Princess Diana was a victim of GSA-cerebrogenocide. Further investigation to identify the criminals are of essence.

REFERENCES

- 1. Salerian, AJ, & Salerian, GH. A Review of FDR's Mental Capacity. Forensic Examiner, 2005; 14(1).
- Coroner's Inquests into the Deaths of Diana, Princess of Wales and Mr Dodi Al Fayed. Computer Aided Modelling Bureau, Metropolitan Police Service. November, 2007.

www.eipmr.com Vol 12, Issue 6, 2025. ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal 266