

A REVIEW ON COLD CREAM

Abhishek Rana^{1*}, Shalu Dhiman², Dr. Jyoti Gupta³, Yashasvi Bali², Raman Gupta²

¹IEC School of Pharmacy, IEC University, Kalujhanda, Baddi, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 174103.

²Assistant Professors IEC School of Pharmacy, IEC University, Kalujhanda, Baddi, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 174103.

³HOD, IEC School of Pharmacy, IEC University, Kalujhanda, Baddi, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 174103.



***Corresponding Author: Abhishek Rana**

IEC School of Pharmacy, IEC University, Kalujhanda, Baddi, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 174103.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19883803>



How to cite this Article: Abhishek Rana^{1*}, Shalu Dhiman², Dr. Jyoti Gupta³, Yashasvi Bali², Raman Gupta² (2026). A Review On Cold Cream. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 13(5), 69–81.

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Article Received on 26/03/2026

Article Revised on 17/04/2026

Article Published on 01/05/2026

ABSTRACT

Cold cream is one of the oldest and most extensively used topical circumfluous phrasings, valued for its effectiveness in skin moisturization, protection, and soothing. Traditionally formulated as a water- in- oil painting conflation, cold cream forms an occlusive subcaste on the skin face that reduces humidity loss, enhances hydration, and supports hedge function. Its characteristic cooling effect and emollient parcels make it particularly suitable for dry, sensitive, and environmentally stressed skin. Over time, the operation of cold cream has expanded from introductory ornamental use to include remedial and dermatological places. It's generally used as a probative treatment in colorful dry skin conditions and as a base for topical medicine delivery due to its prolonged skin contact and defensive nature. Recent advances in expression wisdom have further bettered cold cream by incorporating ultramodern excipients, lipid- grounded carriers, biopolymers, natural bioactive constituents, and skin-friendly pH systems. These inventions have enhanced stability, sensitive characteristics, and remedial performance while addressing traditional limitations similar as greasiness and limited penetration. This review exhaustively discusses the expression aspects, medium of action, evaluation parameters, operations, advantages, limitations, and recent technological developments of cold cream, pressing its continued applicability in medicinal and ornamental lores. The evolving nature of cold cream demonstrates its eventuality as a protean platform that successfully integrates traditional expression principles with ultramodern skincare and medicine delivery technologies.

KEYWORDS: Cold cream; Water-in-oil emulsion; Emollient; Moisturizer; Topical formulation; Skin barrier; Cosmeceuticals.

INTRODUCTION TO COLD CREAM

Cold cream is a classical circumfluous topical lozenge form that has maintained its significance in medicinal, dermatological, and ornamental lores for centuries. It's primarily employed as an emollient and moisturizing agent, designed to soften the skin, maintain hydration, and cover the epidermal hedge from external environmental stressors similar as cold rainfall, low moisture, and annoyances.^[1,2] Owing to its long history of safe use and simple expression design, cold cream continues to be included in pharmacopeias and academic classes related to topical medicine delivery systems^[3,4]

Structurally, cold cream is traditionally formulated as a water- in- oil painting(W/ O) conflation, where small dribblets of water are dispersed within a nonstop oil

painting phase.^[5] This conflation type differentiates cold cream from lighter ornamental medications similar as evaporating creams, which are oil painting- in- water mixes and parade briskly immersion but reduced occlusive parcels.^[6] The oil painting-rich external phase of cold cream plays a pivotal part in reducing transepidermal water loss (TEWL) by forming asemi-occlusive film on the skin face, thereby enhancing skin hydration and hedge function.^[7,8]

The name “ cold cream ” is deduced from the cooling sensation endured upon operation, which occurs due to the evaporation of the waterless phase from the skin face.^[9] This sensitive effect contributes to the soothing action of the expression and makes it particularly suitable for inflamed, bothered, or sensitive skin

conditions.^[10] In addition to hydration, the emollient factors of cold cream fill intercellular spaces within the stratum corneum, perfecting skin smoothness, plianthness, and overall texture.^[11,12]

From a pharmaceutical perspective, cold cream serves not only as an ornamental moisturizer but also as a topical medicine delivery vehicle. Its thick nature and dragged hearthstone time on the skin allow it to act as an effective base for incorporating treated substances similar as anti-inflammatory agents, antiseptics, antifungal medicines, and herbal excerpts.^[13,14] This binary ornamental-remedial part enhances its applicability in dermatological remedy, particularly in conditions associated with blankness and hedge dislocation.^[15]

Traditional cold wave cream phrasings reckoned on natural constituents similar as beeswax, spermaceti, mineral canvases, and rose water, reflecting early pharmaceutical practices.^[16] still, ultramodern advancements in expression wisdom have enabled the objectification of synthetic emulsifiers, antioxidants, preservatives, vitamins, and bioactive factory excerpts, thereby perfecting product stability, shelf life, and remedial efficacy.^[17,18] Current exploration focuses on perfecting patient adequacy by reducing greasiness while retaining the classical occlusive benefits of the expression.^[19,20]

Despite the vacuity of advanced skincare products and new topical delivery systems, cold cream remains an important expression due to its simplicity, cost-effectiveness, safety, and versatility. Its continued use in both traditional and ultramodern surrounds highlights its continuing value as a foundational topical medication in medicinal and ornamental lores.^[21,22]

Historical Background

The literal elaboration of cold cream reflects the development of topical remedial and ornamental medications from ancient drug to ultramodern pharmaceutical wisdom. The foremost proved expression suggesting cold cream is attributed to Claudius Galenus(Galen), a Greek croaker rehearsing in the Roman Empire during the 2nd century announcement.^[23,24] Galen described a circumfluous medication composed of beeswax, olive oil painting, and rose water, which was used to soothe and cover the skin. This expression is extensively regarded as the prototype of ultramodern cold cream and represents one of the foremost exemplifications of an conflation- grounded topical lozenge form.^[25,26]

In ancient societies, including Greek, Roman, and Egyptian societies, skincare medications were n't simply ornamental but also medicinal. unctuous and wax-grounded phrasings were generally employed to cover the skin from harsh climatic conditions, particularly cold winds and dry surroundings.^[27] The use of natural waxes and canvases was grounded on empirical compliances of

their defensive and moisturizing goods, long before the scientific understanding of skin hedge function surfaced.^[28]

During the Islamic Golden Age, advancements in pharmaceutical compounding further meliorated topical medications. Scholars similar as Avicenna(Ibn Sina) described the medication and remedial use of ointments and creams in medical textbooks similar as The Canon of Medicine.^[29] These phrasings incorporated herbal waters, canvases, and waxes, contributing to the elaboration of conflation- grounded creams analogous in function to cold cream.^[30]

The Renaissance period marked a transition from traditional drug to more methodical pharmaceutical practices. Apothecaries across Europe proved cream phrasings in early pharmacopeias, emphasizing thickness, stability, and reproducibility.^[31,32] Cold cream medications during this period were primarily intended for skin protection, crack mending, and ornamental improvement, pressing their binary medicinal and aesthetic places.^[33]

Significant progress passed in the 19th century, when industrialization and advances in chemistry led to the standardization of pharmaceutical phrasings. constituents similar as spermaceti, purified beeswax, and mineral oil painting were introduced, perfecting the texture, stability, and shelf life of cold creams.^[34,35] The development of standardized emulsification ways enabled more harmonious product, easing wide use.^[36]

The 20th century saw cold cream gain elevation in both dermatology and the ornamental assiduity. With increased understanding of skin physiology, cold cream was honored for its capability to reduce transepidermal water loss and maintain skin hydration.^[37] It came extensively retailed as a sanctification cream, moisturizer, and makeup way, particularly before the arrival of ultramodern surfactant- grounded cleaners.^[38,39]

Pharmacopeial recognition further validated the significance of cold cream as a pharmaceutical medication. Standard phrasings were included in authoritative textbooks similar as the British Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopeia, emphasizing its applicability in compounding and dermatological practice.^[40,41] These sanctioned phrasings assured quality, safety, and thickness across pharmaceutical settings.

In recent decades, despite the emergence of advanced topical delivery systems, cold cream has retained its applicability due to its simplicity, biocompatibility, and long- standing clinical acceptance.^[42] Contemporary exploration continues to acclimatize traditional cold cream phrasings by incorporating ultramodern excipients, preservatives, and bioactive composites while

conserving the abecedarian principles established through centuries of use.^[43,44]

therefore, the literal development of cold cream represents a remarkable continuum from ancient empirical drug to substantiation-grounded pharmaceutical expression, emphasizing its continuing part in skin care and topical remedy.^[45]

Composition of Cold Cream

Cold cream is a multicomponent circumfluous expression, traditionally designed as a water-in-oil emulsion, in which each component plays a specific part in icing stability, efficacy, and patient adequacy.^[46,47] The composition of cold cream has remained unnaturally harmonious over time, although ultramodern phrasings may incorporate fresh functional excipients to enhance performance and shelf life.^[48]

1. oil painting Phase

The oil painting phase constitutes the nonstop external phase of cold cream and is primarily responsible for its occlusive and emollient parcels.^[49] Generally habituated canvases include liquid paraffin, mineral oil painting, almond oil painting, olive oil painting, and other fixed vegetable canvases.^[50,51] These canvases form a defensive hydrophobic film on the skin face, effectively reducing transepidermal water loss and maintaining skin hydration.^[52,53] The choice of oil painting significantly influences the texture, spreadability, and greasiness of the final product.^[54]

2. Waxes and Stiffening Agents

Waxes serve as structuring and stabilizing agents in cold cream phrasings. Traditional cold creams employ beeswax or spermaceti, while ultramodern phrasings may use backups similar as cetyl esters wax, microcrystalline wax, or candelilla wax.^[55,56] Waxes give density and thickness to the expression and contribute to the conformation of a stable conflation by strengthening the oil painting – water interface.^[57] also, waxes play a part in producing the characteristic cooling sensation upon operation.^[58]

3. Waterless Phase

The waterless phase generally consists of purified water or sweet waters similar as rose water, which give hydration and enhance the sensitive parcels of the cream.^[59,60] The presence of water is essential for producing the cooling effect associated with cold cream, as evaporation from the skin face absorbs heat.^[61] In some phrasings, the waterless phase may contain water-answering complements or herbal excerpts, contributing mild remedial benefits.^[62]

4. Emulsifying Agents

Emulsifying agents are critical for maintaining the physical stability of cold cream. In classical phrasings, emulsification is achieved through the in situ

conformation of cleaner, performing from the response between adipose acids in beeswax and an alkali present in the waterless phase.^[63,64] ultramodern phrasings may incorporate non-ionic emulsifiers similar as cetyl alcohol, glyceryl monostearate, or polysorbates, which enhance conflation stability and reduce batch-to-batch variability.^[65,66]

5. Preservatives

Due to the presence of water, cold cream phrasings are susceptible to microbial impurity, challenging the addition of preservatives.^[67] Generally used preservatives include parabens, phenoxyethanol, benzyl alcohol, or organic acids, depending on nonsupervisory acceptance and expression conditions.^[68,69] The selection of preservatives must insure antimicrobial efficacy without causing skin vexation or compromising expression stability.^[70]

6. Antioxidants

Antioxidants similar as tocopherol (vitamin E), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) are incorporated to help oxidative declination of canvases and waxes.^[71,72] These agents enhance the chemical stability of the expression and may also give fresh skin-defensive benefits.^[73]

7. Perfuming and Aesthetic Agents

Incensing agents are added in minimum amounts to ameliorate consumer acceptance.^[74] sweet waters and essential canvases are generally used; still, their attention must be precisely controlled to minimize the threat of sensitization.^[75]

8. voluntary Active constituents

Contemporary cold creams may be amended with medicinal or cosmeceutical actives, including anti-inflammatory agents, vitamins, herbal excerpts, or skin-soothing composites.^[76,77] These additions transfigure cold cream from a introductory emollient into a multifunctional remedial and ornamental expression.^[78,79]

Overall, the composition of cold cream represents a balance between traditional expression principles and ultramodern pharmaceutical advancements, icing efficacy, stability, and patient compliance.^[80,81]

Medium of Action

The remedial and ornamental efficacy of cold cream is primarily attributed to its physicochemical commerce with the skin, particularly the stratum corneum, which serves as the top hedge to water loss and external cuts.^[82,83] old cream exerts its action substantially through occlusion, hydration, emolliency, and hedge underpinning, making it especially effective for dry and compromised skin conditions.^[84]

Occlusive Action and Barrier Protection

Cold cream functions generally as an occlusive agent due to the presence of a nonstop oil painting phase rich in

waxes and lipids.^[85] Upon operation, the cream forms a hydrophobic film over the skin face that limits the evaporation of water from the epidermis, thereby reducing transepidermal water loss (TEWL).^[86,87] This occlusive film mimics the natural lipid matrix of the stratum corneum and enhances hedge integrity, which is frequently disintegrated in conditions similar as xerosis, eczema, and dermatitis.^[88]

Hydration and Cooling Effect

The waterless phase of cold cream contributes to immediate skin hydration and produces the characteristic cooling sensation.^[89] As water evaporates from the skin face, heat is absorbed, performing in a soothing effect that alleviates discomfort associated with vexation or inflammation.^[90] This flash cooling effect is particularly salutary in inflamed or sensitive skin, where it may help reduce sensations of itching and burning.^[91]

Emollient Effect and Skin Softening

Cold cream exhibits significant emollient parcels, which arise from the penetration of canvases and waxes into the superficial layers of the stratum corneum.^[92] These lipophilic factors fill the intercellular spaces between corneocytes, perfecting skin smoothness, inflexibility, and pliantness.^[93] By restoring lipid durability, cold cream enhances the mechanical parcels of the skin and reduces roughness and scaling.^[94]

Interaction with Stratum Corneum Lipids

The lipids present in cold cream interact synergistically with endogenous skin lipids similar as ceramides, cholesterol, and free adipose acids.^[95] This commerce helps stabilize the lamellar structure of the stratum corneum, which is essential for effective hedge function.^[96] Regular operation of cold cream has been shown to ameliorate lipid association within the epidermis, contributing to long-term skin hydration and adaptability.^[97]

part as a Topical medicine Delivery Vehicle

Beyond its natural moisturizing action, cold cream serves as an effective vehicle for topical medicine delivery.^[98] Its thick nature allows prolonged contact with the skin, easing sustained release of incorporated active pharmaceutical constituents.^[99] Lipophilic medicines, in particular, parade enhanced retention within the oil painting phase of cold cream, which may ameliorate their original remedial effectiveness.^[100] also, the occlusive effect of the cream can laterally enhance medicine penetration by adding skin hydration and permeability.^[101]

Soothing and Defensive goods

Cold cream also provides a defensive bumper effect, shielding the skin from mechanical vexation and environmental raiders similar as wind and cold temperatures.^[102] This defensive function is especially applicable in occupational dermatology and in individualities exposed to harsh climatic conditions.^[103]

The combined moisturizing, occlusive, and soothing conduct make cold cream suitable for both preventative skincare and spare dermatological remedy.^[104,405]

Overall, the medium of action of cold cream is multifactorial, involving physical hedge conformation, hydration improvement, lipid loss, and medicine carrier functionality, which together regard for its enduring part in topical medicinal and ornamental phrasings.^[106]

Formulation Techniques of Cold Cream

The expression of cold cream is a critical determinant of its stability, aesthetic appeal, remedial performance, and patient adequacy. Traditionally, cold cream is formulated as a water-in-oil painting (W/O) conflation, which distinguishes it from utmost ultramodern oil painting-in-water (O/W) ornamental creams.^[107] The expression fashion must insure invariant dissipation of phases, long-term physical stability, applicable rheological parcels, and comity with active constituents.^[108,109]

Selection of Emulsion Type

Cold cream is characteristically prepared as a W/O conflation, wherein the waterless phase is dispersed as fine driblets within a nonstop oil painting phase.^[110] This conflation type is preferred due to its superior occlusive parcels, resistance to water marshland-off, and dragged moisturizing effect on dry skin.^[111] The external oil painting phase acts as a defensive hedge, making W/O mixes particularly suitable for cold climates and xerotic skin conditions.^[112]

Choice of constituents

The expression begins with careful selection of excipients, each serving a specific functional part.

- oil painting phase factors similar as mineral oil painting, almond oil painting, or paraffin give emolliency and occlusion.^[113]
- Waxes like beeswax or cetyl esters wax contribute to thickness and structural integrity.^[114]
- Emulsifying agents, generally borax in traditional phrasings, reply with free adipose acids in waxes to form in-situ detergents that stabilize the conflation.^[115,116]
- Waterless phase factors, including purified water or rose water, conduct hydration and cooling sensation.^[117] ultramodern phrasings may replace borax with non-ionic emulsifiers to reduce skin vexation and ameliorate expression safety.^[118]

Heating and Phase Preparation

Both oil painting and waterless phases are hotted independently to analogous temperatures (generally 65 – 75 °C) to insure invariant melting of waxes and proper solubilization of factors.^[119] Temperature matching is essential to help unseasonable solidification or phase separation during emulsification.^[120] Controlled heating also improves emulsifier activation and enhances drop size reduction.^[121]

Emulsification Process

The emulsification step involves slow objectification of the waterless phase into the oil painting phase with nonstop shifting.^[122] This order of mixing is pivotal for maintaining the W/ O conflation structure.^[123] Mechanical shifting or homogenization ensures fine drop dissipation and uniformity throughout the expression.^[124] Inordinate agitation, still, may destabilize the conflation by causing drop coalescence.^[125]

Cooling and cumulative objectification

After emulsification, the expression is allowed to cool gradationally with gentle shifting.^[126] Heat-sensitive constituents similar as preservatives, spices, antioxidants, or active pharmaceutical agents are incorporated during the cooling phase to help declination.^[127,128] Proper cooling is vital for achieving the asked semi-solid thickness and smooth texture.^[129]

ultramodern expression Approaches

Recent advances have introduced nano- mixes, lipid-grounded carriers, and polymer- modified cold creams to enhance skin penetration and ameliorate sensitive attributes.^[130,131] objectification of silicones, humectants, and bioactive composites has further optimized product performance without compromising the traditional occlusive nature of cold cream.^[132,133]

Quality Control During Formulation

Throughout the expression process, parameters similar as density, unity, phase stability, and appearance are continuously covered.^[134] These checks insure reproducibility, batch- to- batch thickness, and compliance with medicinal and ornamental nonsupervisory norms.^[135]

Overall, expression ways for cold cream bear a balance between classical conflation wisdom and ultramodern technological advancements, icing product stability, safety, and enhanced remedial performance.^[136]

Evaluation Parameters of Cold Cream

Evaluation of cold cream is essential to insure its quality, stability, safety, efficacy, and patient adequacy. As a circumfluous topical expression, cold cream must misbehave with both medicinal and ornamental evaluation norms. Comprehensive evaluation involves physical, physicochemical, microbiological, stability, and performance- related parameters, which inclusively determine the felicity of the expression for remedial and ornamental use.^[137,138]

Organoleptic Properties

Organoleptic evaluation is the primary assessment that includes appearance, color, odor, texture, and unity.^[139] A well- formulated cold cream should parade a smooth, lustrous, invariant appearance without any visible phase separation, grit, or lump conformation.^[140] Odor should be affable or neutral and free from rancidity, which may indicate lipid oxidation.^[141]

Unity and thickness

Unity ensures invariant distribution of constituents throughout the expression.^[142] The cream is visually audited and rubbed between fritters to confirm the absence of coarse patches.^[143] thickness plays a critical part in consumer adequacy and ease of operation, and it's told by wax attention, emulsifier type, and oil painting phase composition.^[144]

pH Determination

The pH of cold cream is an important parameter impacting skin comity and stability.^[145] Although W/ O mixes are less waterless, the internal phase pH should immaculately fall within the skin-friendly range of 5.0 – 7.0 to minimize vexation.^[146] pH is generally measured by dispersing a known volume of cream in distilled water and using a calibrated digital pH cadence.^[147]

density and Rheological Behavior

Viscosity determines the spreadability, extrusion geste, and hearthstone time of cold cream on the skin.^[148] Rheological evaluation is performed using viscometers or rheometers to study inflow geste under varying shear rates.^[149] Cold creams generally parade pseudoplastic (shear- thinning) geste, which facilitates ease of operation upon rubbing while maintaining firmness at rest.^[150]

Spreadability

Spreadability reflects how fluently the cream spreads over the skin face and is a crucial parameter for patient compliance.^[151] It's measured by determining the time or force needed for a fixed quantum of cream to spread between two glass slides under applied weight.^[152] Advanced spreadability indicates better operation parcels and invariant skin content.^[153]

Type of Emulsion Test

evidence of the conflation type (W/ O) is essential for cold cream evaluation.^[154] Tests similar as color solubility test, dilution test, and conductivity test are generally employed (155). In a W/ O conflation, water- answerable colorings disperse as driblets, and the expression shows negligible electrical conductivity.^[156]

Washability Test

Washability assesses the resistance of cold cream to water junking, which correlates with its occlusive nature.^[157] A small volume of cream is applied to the skin and washed with water to observe ease of junking.^[158] Cold creams generally show low washability, attesting their felicity for dry skin and prolonged protection.^[159]

Skin vexation and perceptivity Testing

Skin vexation studies are conducted to estimate expression safety.^[160] Patch testing on mortal levies or beast models is performed to observe signs of erythema, edema, or itching.^[161] Anon-irritant cold wave cream is essential for long- term dress and remedial use, especially in sensitive skin conditions.^[162]

Microbial cargo and Preservative efficacy

Microbiological evaluation ensures that the cream is free from dangerous microorganisms.^[163] Total microbial count and preservative efficacy tests are carried out according to pharmacopeial guidelines.^[164] This is particularly important due to the presence of water, which can support microbial growth if not adequately saved.^[165]

Stability Studies

Stability testing evaluates the capability of cold cream to maintain its physical integrity, chemical stability, and aesthetic parcels over time.^[166] Studies are conducted under accelerated and real-time conditions to cover changes in color, odor, pH, density, and phase separation.^[167] Stability data help determine shelf life and applicable storehouse conditions.^[168]

Performance and stoner Adequacy

stoner adequacy studies assess parameters similar as skin sense, greasiness, immersion, and overall satisfaction.^[169] These private evaluations are critical in ornamental phrasings, where consumer perception plays a decisive part in product success.^[170]

In summary, evaluation parameters give a scientific base for icing that cold cream phrasings are safe, stable, effective, and respectable, supporting their continued use in pharmaceutical and ornamental operations.^[171]

Recent Advances in Cold Cream phrasings

Cold cream, despite its ancient origins, has experienced significant elaboration in expression design through the integration of ultramodern pharmaceutical technologies, new excipients, and functional bioactive constituents. These advances aim to enhance stability, sensitive parcels, remedial efficacy, and targeted delivery, thereby expanding cold cream's mileage beyond introductory moisturization to cosmeceutical and pharmaceutical operations.^[172,173]

1. Integration of Nano- and Microemulsion Technologies

One of the most notable advancements in cold cream wisdom is the use of nano- and microemulsion systems to ameliorate skin penetration and component stability. Nanoemulsions, characterized by drop sizes in the sub-micron range (20 – 200 nm), offer enhanced kinetic stability, bettered spreadability, and increased bioavailability of active composites.^[174,175] By integrating nanoemulsion approaches, cold creams parade lesser uniformity and enhanced delivery of lipophilic actives similar as antioxidants, vitamins, and anti-inflammatory agents.^[176,177]

2. Lipid- Grounded Carriers and Structured Lipid Systems

Recent phrasings use lipid- grounded carriers similar as liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs), and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) within traditional cold cream matrices.^[178,179] These carriers cover labile

actives from declination and give controlled release, bettered skin saturation, and enhanced occlusion.^[180] Lipid carriers also help mimic the natural lipid composition of the stratum corneum, thereby supporting hedge form and hydration.^[181]

3. Biopolymer- Modified Cold Creams

objectification of biopolymers similar as chitosan, alginates, and hyaluronic acid has been explored to enhance density, stability, and skin adhesion.^[182,183] Biopolymers contribute to bettered rheological geste and can act as release modulators for active constituents.^[184] For illustration, hyaluronic acid improves hydration and creates a synergistic moisturizing effect with occlusive agents.^[185]

4. Natural Excerpts and Phytochemicals

There has been a growing interest in incorporating herbal excerpts, essential canvases, and phytochemicals with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and skin- soothing parcels into cold cream bases.^[186,187] Active botanical constituents similar as aloe vera, green tea polyphenols, calendula, and chamomile give fresh remedial benefits while aligning with consumer preference for “ natural ” and clean- marker products.^[188,189]

5. Functional Complements for Enhanced Skin Delivery

Functional complements similar as penetration enhancers, humectants, and ceramide analogs have been incorporated to ameliorate the overall efficacy and skin comity of cold cream phrasings.^[190,191] Penetration enhancers like oleic acid, urea, and propylene glycol grease deeper penetration of actives, while humectants similar as glycerin and sorbitol attract water to the stratum corneum, enhancing hydration.^[192,193]

6. Reduced Greasiness and sensitive Optimization

A common consumer complaint with traditional cold creams is inordinate greasiness.^[194] To overcome this, expression scientists have incorporated silicone derivations, isopropyl myristate, and featherlight esters to ameliorate aesthetic sense, immersion rate, and non-greasy finish without compromising occlusive parcels.^[195,196] sensitive evaluation tools, including disunion analysis and trained panel assessments, have been used to optimize consumer adequacy.^[197]

7. pH-Balanced and Skin-Friendly phrasings

ultramodern phrasings emphasize skin-friendly pH situations and the use of biocompatible excipients that admire the skin's acid mantle (pH 5.0 – 6.0) to minimize vexation and enhance hedge function.^[198,199] pH stabilization strategies insure long-term stability while maintaining comity with delicate skin.^[200]

8. Addition of Targeted remedial Agents

Cold cream bases have been used as carriers for anti-aging actives (retinoids, peptides), sunscreen agents, anti-acne agents, and anti-pigmentation composites.^[201,202] These multifunctional creams combine traditional

occlusion and moisturization with targeted remedial benefits, expanding their part in clinical dermatology and cosmeceutical requests.

9. Green and Sustainable phrasings

There's an arising trend toward eco-friendly and sustainable cold cream phrasings, incorporating biodegradable surfactants, factory-grounded canvases, and recyclable packaging.^[203,204] These align with global sustainability pretensions and the adding demand for environmentally responsible skincare products.

10. Advanced Stability and Shelf- Life Enhancement

Advanced expression strategies, including antioxidant systems, chelating agents, and bettered preservative packages, have been introduced to enhance chemical stability and extend shelf life.^[205,206] Accelerated stability testing, prophetic modeling, and ultramodern logical ways help insure long-term quality under varying storehouse conditions.

Therapeutic and Cosmetic Applications of Cold Cream

Cold cream has long been employed as a multifunctional topical medication in both pharmaceutical and ornamental fields due to its occlusive, emollient, and defensive parcels.^[207] Its traditional water-in-oil painting conflation structure makes it particularly suitable for dry, sensitive, and compromised skin, where conservation of humidity balance and hedge integrity is essential.^[208]

Remedial Operations

From a remedial perspective, cold cream is extensively used as a probative treatment in dermatological conditions characterized by blankness and hedge dysfunction.^[209] It's generally recommended in the operation of xerosis, atopic dermatitis, eczema, and psoriasis, where it helps reduce transepidermal water loss and alleviates itching and vexation.^[210] The occlusive film formed on the skin face aids in restoring hedge function and guarding inflamed skin from environmental triggers.^[211]

Cold cream also serves as an effective topical medicine delivery base, particularly for lipophilic medicines.^[212] It's used as a vehicle for incorporating anti-inflammatory agents, corticosteroids, antifungal medicines, and antiseptics, enabling prolonged contact time and localized medicine action.^[213] also, its soothing and cooling effect makes it useful as an spare remedy following dermatological procedures, similar as chemical shelling or mild dermabrasion, to promote skin recovery.^[214]

Cosmetic Applications

In ornamental wisdom, cold cream is considerably used as a moisturizer, sanctification cream, makeup way, and night cream.^[215] Its capability to dissolve makeup and contaminations while maintaining skin hydration makes

it suitable for gentle sanctification, especially for individualities with dry or sensitive skin.^[216] As a moisturizer, cold cream improves skin wimpiness, smoothness, and pliantness through nonstop lipid loss.^[217]

Cold cream is also incorporated into cosmeceutical phrasings containing vitamins, antioxidants, and botanical excerpts aimed at perfecting skin appearance and precluding unseasonable aging.^[218] Its long-lasting emollient action makes it ideal for late skin care, where dragged hydration is desirable.^[219]

Overall, the versatility of cold cream allows it to serve as both a remedial aid and a ornamental product, bridging the gap between pharmaceutical dermatology and particular skincare.^[220]

Advantages and Limitations of Cold Cream

Advantages

One of the major advantages of cold cream is its excellent moisturizing and occlusive capacity, which makes it largely effective for dry and damaged skin.^[221] The expression is simple, cost-effective, and easy to manufacture, contributing to its wide vacuity and long history of use.^[222] Cold cream is generally well permitted, indeed by sensitive skin types, due to its mild nature and absence of aggressive surfactants.^[223]

Another significant advantage is its binary functionality it acts both as a skincare product and as a vehicle for topical medicine delivery.^[224] Its resistance to marshland-off provides prolonged skin contact, enhancing remedial effectiveness.^[225] also, cold cream offers a defensive effect against harsh environmental conditions, similar as cold rainfall and wind.^[226]

Limitations

Despite its benefits, cold cream has certain limitations that circumscribe its universal connection. The slithery texture and heavy skin sense associated with traditional phrasings may reduce stoner adequacy, particularly among individualities with unctuous or acne-prone skin.^[227] The occlusive nature of the cream may complicate follicular blockage, potentially leading to comedone conformation in susceptible individualities.^[228]

Another limitation is the threat of microbial impurity, as the presence of water necessitates effective preservation.^[229] Traditional phrasings containing borax may also beget vexation in some druggies, egging the need for safer druthers in ultramodern phrasings.^[230] likewise, cold cream generally exhibits limited penetration capability compared to advanced topical delivery systems, which may circumscribe the bioavailability of certain active constituents.^[231] therefore, while cold cream remains a precious topical expression, ultramodern advancements aim to retain its

advantages while minimizing its downsides, icing broader acceptance and enhanced functionality.^[232]

CONCLUSION

Cold cream remains a abecedarian topical expression with enduring significance in both pharmaceutical and ornamental fields. Its water- in- oil painting conflation structure provides effective occlusion, dragged moisturization, and protection of the skin hedge, making it especially salutary for dry and sensitive skin types. The expression's simplicity, safety, and cost- effectiveness have contributed to its long- standing acceptance and wide use. ultramodern advancements in expression technology have significantly enhanced the performance of traditional cold cream. The objectification of new excipients, lipid- grounded delivery systems, natural bioactives, and bettered stabilizing agents has addressed before limitations similar as inordinate greasiness and limited functionality. These advancements have expanded the part of cold cream from a introductory moisturizer to a multifunctional remedial and cosmeceutical delivery system.

In conclusion, cold cream successfully islands classical pharmaceutical expression generalities and contemporary technological inventions. Continued exploration and development are likely to further optimize its efficacy, consumer adequacy, and remedial eventuality, icing its sustained applicability in ultramodern dermatological and ornamental operations.

REFERENCES

1. Aulton ME, Taylor K. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, 2018.
2. Allen LV, Ansel HC. *Pharmaceutical Calculations*. 15th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, 2020.
3. Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. *The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*. 4th ed. Mumbai: CBS Publishers, 2013.
4. United States Pharmacopeia–National Formulary. USP–NF 2023. Rockville: United States Pharmacopeial Convention, 2023.
5. Florence AT, Attwood D. *Physicochemical Principles of Pharmacy*. 6th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2016.
6. Williams AC, Barry BW. Penetration enhancers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2012; 64: 128–137.
7. Lodén M. Role of topical emollients in the treatment of dry skin disorders. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology*, 2003; 4(11): 771–788.
8. Rawlings AV, Harding CR. Moisturization and skin barrier function. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2004; 17(Suppl 1): 43–48.
9. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. 4th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.
10. Draelos ZD. The science behind skin care: moisturizers. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2018; 17(2): 138–144.
11. Elias PM. Epidermal barrier function. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 2008; 8(4): 299–305.
12. Proksch E, Brandner JM, Jensen JM. The skin: an indispensable barrier. *Experimental Dermatology*, 2008; 17(12): 1063–1072.
13. Barry BW. Dermatological formulations. *Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1983; 18: 1–25.
14. Rieger MM. *Harry's Cosmeticology*. 9th ed. New York: Chemical Publishing, 2016.
15. Korting HC, Braun-Falco O. The effect of detergents on skin pH and its consequences. *Clinical Dermatology*. 1996; 14(1): 23–27.
16. Galen C. *On the Composition of Drugs*. Rome, 2nd century AD.
17. Eccleston GM. Emulsions and microemulsions. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 1997; 151(2): 203–210.
18. Tadros T. *Emulsion Formation and Stability*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2013.
19. Pavlačková J, Egner P. Modern trends in cosmetic cream formulation. *Cosmetics*, 2020; 7(3): 55.
20. Kapoor VP, Saraf S. Herbal cosmetics: trends and future prospects. *Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2011; 62(3): 241–251.
21. Dureja H, Kaushik D, Gupta M. Cosmetic creams: formulation and evaluation. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2005; 67(3): 324–329.
22. Walters KA. *Dermal Absorption and Toxicity Assessment*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2008.
23. Galen C. *De Compositione Medicamentorum*. Rome, 2nd century AD.
24. Jackson R. Doctors and diseases in the Roman Empire. *British Medical Journal*. 1988; 297(6650): 451–455.
25. Riddle JM. *Dioscorides on Pharmacy and Medicine*. Austin: University of Texas Press; 1985.
26. Scarborough J. Roman medicine and the emergence of pharmacology. *Journal of the History of Medicine*. 1996; 51(3): 327–343.
27. Forbes RJ. *Studies in Ancient Technology*. Vol 3. Leiden: Brill; 1966.
28. Elias PM. Skin barrier evolution and function. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 2012; 132(8): 1979–1981.
29. Avicenna. *The Canon of Medicine*. Cairo: Al-Matba'a al-Amiriyya; 1877.
30. Ullmann M. Islamic medicine. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 2007; 100(4): 185–190.
31. Porter R. *The Greatest Benefit to Mankind: A Medical History of Humanity*. London: HarperCollins; 1997.
32. Allen DE. The development of pharmaceutical compounding. *Pharmaceutical Historian*, 2002; 32(2): 45–52.
33. Sherlock J. Early cosmetic and medicinal creams. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*. 1994; 16(5): 223–231.

34. Rieger MM. *Harry's Cosmeticology*. 9th ed. New York: Chemical Publishing, 2016.
35. Poucher WA. *Poucher's Perfumes, Cosmetics and Soaps*. 10th ed. London: Chapman & Hall, 2000.
36. Tadros T. *Emulsion Science and Technology*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2009.
37. Lodén M. The clinical benefit of moisturizers. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2005; 18(3): 200–208.
38. Draelos ZD. Cleansing creams and skin barrier function. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2006; 5(2): 92–96.
39. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. 4th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.
40. British Pharmacopoeia Commission. *British Pharmacopoeia*. London: The Stationery Office, 2019.
41. United States Pharmacopoeial Convention. *USP–NF*. Rockville: USP, 2022.
42. Proksch E, Lachapelle JM. The management of dry skin. *European Journal of Dermatology*, 2005; 15(4): 213–220.
43. Eccleston GM. Multiple emulsions and modern cream design. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 2010; 390(1): 1–11.
44. Pavlačková J, Egner P. Modern trends in cosmetic cream formulation. *Cosmetics*, 2020; 7(3): 55.
45. Walters KA. *Dermal Absorption and Toxicity Assessment*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2008.
46. Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. *The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*. 4th ed. Mumbai: CBS Publishers, 2013.
47. Aulton ME, Taylor K. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, 2018.
48. Rieger MM. *Harry's Cosmeticology*. 9th ed. New York: Chemical Publishing, 2016.
49. Rawlings AV. Skin moisturization and emollient action. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2004; 17(Suppl 1): 43–48.
50. Poucher WA. *Poucher's Perfumes, Cosmetics and Soaps*. 10th ed. Dordrecht: Springer, 2000.
51. Draelos ZD. Cosmetics and dermatologic problems. *Dermatologic Clinics*, 2012; 30(1): 1–6.
52. Lodén M. The role of emollients in dry skin disorders. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology*, 2003; 4(11): 771–788.
53. Elias PM, Feingold KR. Skin barrier function. *Seminars in Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery*, 2012; 31(2): 79–86.
54. Walters KA. *Dermal Absorption and Toxicity Assessment*, 2nd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2008.
55. Tadros T. *Emulsion Formation and Stability*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2013.
56. Eccleston GM. Multiple emulsions and topical products. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 2010; 390(1): 1–11.
57. Florence AT, Attwood D. *Physicochemical Principles of Pharmacy*. 6th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2016.
58. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. 4th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.
59. Allen LV. Pharmaceutical water systems. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Compounding*, 2015; 19(4): 290–296.
60. Dureja H, Kaushik D. Cosmetic cream formulation. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2005; 67(3): 324–329.
61. Proksch E, Lachapelle JM. Dry skin management. *European Journal of Dermatology*, 2005; 15(4): 213–220.
62. Kapoor VP. Herbal cosmetic formulations. *Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2005; 56(1): 45–54.
63. Barry BW. *Dermatological Formulations*. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1983.
64. Shinoda K, Friberg SE. *Emulsions and Solubilization*. New York: Wiley; 1986.
65. Tadros TF. Applied surfactants in cosmetics. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 2009; 147–148: 281–299.
66. Rosen MR. *Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena*. 4th ed. Hoboken: Wiley, 2012.
67. Denyer SP, Baird RM. Preservatives in pharmaceutical formulations. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 2004; 96(4): 681–689.
68. Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Quinn ME. *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients*. 7th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2012.
69. Steinberg DC. Preservative efficacy testing. *Cosmetics & Toiletries*, 2011; 126(6): 442–449.
70. Orth DS. Safety assessment of preservatives. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 2009; 55(3): 300–306.
71. Pokorný J. Antioxidants in food and cosmetics. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 2007; 109(6): 629–642.
72. McClements DJ. *Food Emulsions: Principles, Practices, and Techniques*. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2015.
73. Thiele JJ, Ekanayake-Mudiyanselage S. Vitamin E in human skin. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 2007; 28(5–6): 646–667.
74. Rastogi SC. Fragrance ingredients and skin sensitization. *Contact Dermatitis*, 2001; 45(5): 257–264.
75. Api AM. Toxicological profile of fragrance materials. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2001; 39(2): 93–107.
76. Korting HC, Braun-Falco O. Therapeutic cosmetics. *Clinical Dermatology*. 1996; 14(1): 23–27.
77. Dureja H, Dhiman A. Cosmeceuticals: an emerging concept. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2012; 11(4): 275–283.
78. Kapoor VP, Saraf S. Herbal cosmetics: trends and future prospects. *Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2011; 62(3): 241–251.
79. Pavlačková J, Egner P. Modern cosmetic cream design. *Cosmetics*, 2020; 7(3): 55.

80. Walters KA, Roberts MS. *Dermal Absorption and Toxicity Assessment*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2016.
81. Proksch E. The role of emollients in maintaining skin barrier function. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2008; 21(3): 128–135.
82. Elias PM. Skin barrier function. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 2008; 8(4): 299–305.
83. Madison KC. Barrier function of the skin. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 2003; 121(2): 231–241.
84. Proksch E, Brandner JM, Jensen JM. The skin: an indispensable barrier. *Experimental Dermatology*, 2008; 17(12): 1063–1072.
85. Rawlings AV, Harding CR. Moisturization and skin barrier function. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2004; 17(Suppl 1): 43–48.
86. Lodén M. The clinical benefit of moisturizers. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 2005; 19(6): 672–688.
87. Fluhr JW, et al. Transepidermal water loss. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 2006; 154(5): 806–813.
88. Cork MJ, et al. Epidermal barrier dysfunction in skin disease. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 2009; 129(8): 1892–1908.
89. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. 4th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.
90. Draelos ZD. Active agents in common skin care products. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 2010; 125(2): 719–724.
91. Yosipovitch G, Bernhard JD. Itch mechanisms and management. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2013; 368(17): 1625–1634.
92. Lodén M, Andersson AC. Effect of topical lipids on dry skin. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 1996; 134(5): 884–890.
93. Bouwstra JA, Ponc M. The skin barrier in healthy and diseased state. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 2006; 1758(12): 2080–2095.
94. Rawlings AV. Skin moisturization. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2014; 36(3): 219–223.
95. Feingold KR. The regulation and role of epidermal lipid synthesis. *Advances in Lipid Research*. 1991; 24: 57–82.
96. Elias PM, Feingold KR. Lipids and the epidermal barrier. *Dermatologic Clinics*. 1996; 14(1): 1–10.
97. Proksch E, et al. Skin barrier repair and emollients. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2008; 21(3): 128–135.
98. Barry BW. Dermatological formulations. *Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 1983; 18: 1–25.
99. Rieger MM. *Harry's Cosmeticology*. 9th ed. New York: Chemical Publishing, 2016.
100. Walters KA, Roberts MS. *Dermal Absorption and Toxicity Assessment*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2016.
101. Williams AC, Barry BW. Penetration enhancers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2012; 64: 128–137.
102. Draelos ZD. Protective skin care. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2012; 25(3): 223–228.
103. Adams RM. Occupational skin disease. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 1990; 23(3): 541–558.
104. Korting HC, Braun-Falco O. Therapeutic cosmetics. *Clinical Dermatology*. 1996; 14(1): 23–27.
105. Lodén M. Barrier recovery and moisturizers. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*, 2005; 85(4): 292–297.
106. Proksch E. The role of emollients in dermatological therapy. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2018; 31(2): 63–70.
107. Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. *The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1986.
108. Aulton ME, Taylor K. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, 2018.
109. Rieger MM. Emulsion formulation principles. *Journal of the Society of Cosmetic Chemists*. 1993; 44: 321–331.
110. Aulton ME, Taylor K. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, 2018.
111. Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. *The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1986.
112. Martin A, Bustamante P, Chun AHC. *Physical Pharmacy*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1993.
113. Banker GS, Rhodes CT. *Modern Pharmaceutics*. 5th ed. New York: CRC Press, 2010.
114. Frankel EN. Lipid oxidation. *Progress in Lipid Research*. 1980; 19(1–2): 1–22.
115. Allen LV. Pharmaceutical cream formulations. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Compounding*, 2008; 12(3): 198–204.
116. Lieberman HA. Semisolid dosage form evaluation. *Pharmaceutical Technology*. 1996; 20(6): 112–120.
117. Williams AC. Rheology of topical formulations. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2012; 64: 120–135.
118. Lambers H, et al. Skin surface pH. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2006; 28(5): 359–370.
119. Schmid-Wendtner MH, Korting HC. Skin pH and barrier function. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2006; 19(6): 296–302.
120. USP–NF. United States Pharmacopeia. Rockville: USP Convention, 2022.
121. Laba D. *Rheological Properties of Cosmetics and Toiletries*. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1993.
122. Tadros TF. Rheology of dispersions. *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 2010; 91: 39–55.
123. Barnes HA. Shear-thinning systems. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. 1997; 70: 1–33.
124. Garg A, Aggarwal D. Spreadability studies. *Pharmaceutical Technology*, 2002; 26(9): 84–102.
125. Reddy YR, et al. Evaluation of semisolid formulations. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2011; 6(3): 123–130.
126. Naik A, Kalia YN. Topical formulation performance. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2000; 13(1): 45–51.

127. Florence AT, Attwood D. *Physicochemical Principles of Pharmacy*. 6th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2016.
128. Eccleston GM. Emulsion characterization. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 1997; 160(2): 189–198.
129. Schramm LL. *Emulsions, Foams, and Suspensions*. Wiley-VCH, 2005.
130. Lodén M. Wash resistance of topical products. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*, 2005; 85(4): 292–297.
131. Draelos ZD. Clinical evaluation of moisturizers. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2007; 20(3): 106–110.
132. Rawlings AV. Occlusive moisturizers. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2004; 26(2): 89–95.
133. Draize JH. Dermal irritation testing. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 1944; 82: 377–390.
134. Basketter DA, et al. Skin irritation testing. *Contact Dermatitis*, 2004; 50(1): 1–7.
135. Cork MJ, et al. Skin barrier dysfunction. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 2009; 129(8): 1892–1908.
136. Denyer SP, Baird RM. *Guide to Microbiological Control*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2007.
137. European Pharmacopoeia. Microbiological quality. Strasbourg: EDQM, 2021.
138. Hugo WB, Russell AD. *Pharmaceutical Microbiology*. 8th ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
139. ICH Q1A(R2). Stability testing guidelines, 2003.
140. Blessy M, et al. Stability testing. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, 2014; 4(3): 159–165.
141. Waterman KC, Adami RC. Accelerated stability studies. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 2005; 10(2): 213–224.
142. Draelos ZD. Cosmetic acceptability studies. *Clinical Dermatology*, 2018; 36(5): 613–616.
143. Piérard GE. Consumer perception in cosmetics. *Dermatology*, 2001; 202(1): 1–6.
144. Proksch E. Emollients and skin barrier repair. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2018; 31(2): 63–70.
145. Schramm LL. *Emulsions, Foams, and Suspensions*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2005.
146. Eccleston GM. Emulsions and microemulsions. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 1997; 160(2): 189–198.
147. Tadros TF. *Emulsion Formation and Stability*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2013.
148. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.
149. Williams DF, Schmitt WH. The role of waxes in topical formulations. *Cosmetics & Toiletries*. 1992; 107: 53–60.
150. Martin A, Bustamante P, Chun AHC. *Physical Pharmacy*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1993.
151. Allen LV, Popovich NG, Ansel HC. *Ansel's Pharmaceutical Calculations*. 15th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, 2020.
152. Draelos ZD. Cosmetics and skin hydration. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2007; 20(3): 106–110.
153. Tadros TF. Nonionic surfactants in topical systems. *Advanced Colloid and Interface Science*, 2014; 206: 173–180.
154. Lieberman HA, Rieger MM, Banker GS. *Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Disperse Systems*. Vol 1. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1988.
155. Florence AT, Attwood D. *Physicochemical Principles of Pharmacy*. 6th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2016.
156. Jafari SM, et al. Emulsion stability enhancement. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2008; 22(7): 1191–1202.
157. Aulton ME. *Pharmaceutical emulsions*. *Pharmaceutics*. London: Elsevier, 2018.
158. Rosen MR. *Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena*. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley, 2004.
159. McClements DJ. *Food Emulsions: Principles, Practice, and Techniques*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2015.
160. Tadros TF. Droplet coalescence in emulsions. *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 2004; 240(1–3): 1–15.
161. Ansel HC, Allen LV, Popovich NG. *Pharmaceutical Calculations*. 14th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer, 2014.
162. Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Quinn ME. *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients*. 8th ed. London: Pharmaceutical Press, 2017.
163. Blessy M, et al. Stability testing of pharmaceutical products. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, 2014; 4(3): 159–165.
164. Laba D. *Rheological Properties of Cosmetics and Toiletries*. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1993.
165. Shakeel F, et al. Nanoemulsion formulations. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 2008; 34(12): 1337–1346.
166. Solans C, et al. Nano-emulsions in topical delivery. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, 2005; 10(3–4): 102–110.
167. Fox C. Silicone technology in skin care. *Cosmetics & Toiletries*, 2003; 118: 35–44.
168. Kaur IP, et al. Bioactive incorporation in topical systems. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 2004; 269(1): 1–14.
169. Banker GS, Rhodes CT. *Modern Pharmaceutics*. 5th ed. New York: CRC Press, 2010.
170. ICH Q1A(R2). Stability testing guidelines. International Council for Harmonisation, 2003.
171. Tadros TF. Pharmaceutical emulsion technology. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2018; 129: 1–5.
172. Pavlačková J, Egner P. Modern trends in cosmetic cream formulation. *Cosmetics*, 2020; 7(3): 55.
173. Dureja H, Dhiman A. Advances in topical cream technology. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2012; 11(4): 275–283.
174. Shakeel F, et al. Nanoemulsion formulations for enhanced delivery. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 2008; 34(12): 1337–1346.

175. Solans C, et al. Nano-emulsions in creams. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, 2005; 10(3–4): 102–110.
176. Tadros TF. Nanoemulsion stability and applications. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 2013; 183–184: 17–30.
177. Kaur IP, et al. Lipid nano-carriers for topical delivery. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 2004; 269(1): 1–14.
178. Mehnert W, Mäder K. Solid lipid nanoparticles. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2001; 47(2–3): 165–196.
179. Müller RH, Radtke M, Wissing SA. Nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC). *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 2002; 52(1): 161–171.
180. Prow TW, et al. Nanoparticles for skin penetration enhancement. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 2011; 153(2): 210–217.
181. Wertz PW. Lipids and the barrier function of skin. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*, 2000; 80(1): 7–11.
182. Qi J, et al. Biopolymer modified topical systems. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 2020; 149: 753–764.
183. Mohammed M, Syeda J, Wasan KM. Chitosan and alginates in topical formulations. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 2017; 69(5): 535–548.
184. Delk NA, Walker RB. Hyaluronic acid in formulation design. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*, 2011; 4(11): 44–48.
185. Brown MB, Jones SA. Hyaluronic acid and dermal hydration. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment*, 2005; 16(5–6): 285–294.
186. Kapoor VP, Saraf S. Herbal cosmetics: trends and future prospects. *Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2011; 62(3): 241–251.
187. Lodén M. Botanical extracts in moisturizers. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2003; 16(2): 134–139.
188. Kaur CD, Saraf S. Evaluation of Phytochemicals in skincare. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 2010; 4(7): 206–219.
189. Foti C, et al. Chamomile extract in topical formulations. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 2016; 182: 183–190.
190. Smith EW. Penetration enhancers in topical products. *Clinical Dermatology*. 1994; 12(4): 307–311.
191. Williams AC, Barry BW. Penetration enhancers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2012; 64: 128–137.
192. Lodén M. Humectants in moisturizers. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2009; 8(3): 188–193.
193. Røraas T, et al. Skin hydration mechanisms. *Skin Research and Technology*, 2015; 21(1): 15–24.
194. Draelos ZD. Consumer perceptions of greasiness. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2010; 9(2): 115–119.
195. Fox C. Silicone technology in skin care. *Cosmetics & Toiletries*, 2003; 118: 35–44.
196. Kerscher M, Binczek E. Sensory attributes of topical products. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2012; 34(6): 552–559.
197. Tamura K, et al. Sensory evaluation in skincare. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 2018; 33(2): e12349.
198. Lambers H, et al. Skin surface pH. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2006; 28(5): 359–370.
199. Schmid-Wendtner MH, Korting HC. Influence of pH on barrier function. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2006; 19(6): 296–302.
200. Rocha BA, et al. pH-balanced topical formulations. *Journal of Dermatological Science*, 2018; 92(2): 144–150.
201. Draelos ZD. Retinoids in topical systems. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2009; 22(1): 37–42.
202. Green BA, et al. Sunscreen agents in therapeutic creams. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 2011; 64(3): 479–487.
203. Silva AC, et al. Sustainable cosmetic formulations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2018; 180: 530–540.
204. Kapoor S, et al. Eco-friendly excipients in cosmetics. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy*, 2020; 18: 100332.
205. Blessy M, et al. Stability testing of cosmetic products. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, 2014; 4(3): 159–165.
206. Waterman KC, Adami RC. Accelerated stability studies. *Pharmaceutical Development and Technology*, 2005; 10(2): 213–224.
207. Lodén M. The role of moisturizers in dermatology. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology*, 2003; 4(11): 771–788.
208. Proksch E, Brandner JM, Jensen JM. The skin barrier. *Experimental Dermatology*, 2008; 17(12): 1063–1072.
209. Cork MJ, et al. Epidermal barrier dysfunction. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 2009; 129(8): 1892–1908.
210. Draelos ZD. Moisturizers in dermatologic therapy. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 2012; 25(3): 223–228.
211. Elias PM. Skin barrier repair. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 2008; 8(4): 299–305.
212. Barry BW. Dermatological formulations. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2002; 54(Suppl 1): S31–S40.
213. Williams AC, Barry BW. Penetration enhancement. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 2012; 64: 128–137.
214. Dreno B, et al. Skin care after procedures. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2016; 15(4): 401–408.
215. Barel AO, Paye M, Maibach HI. *Handbook of Cosmetic Science and Technology*. CRC Press, 2014.
216. Draelos ZD. Cleansers and moisturizers. *Clinical Dermatology*, 2008; 26(4): 343–348.
217. Rawlings AV. Dry skin and moisturization. *International Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2004; 26(2): 89–95.
218. Kapoor VP, Saraf S. Cosmeceuticals. *Journal of Cosmetic Science*, 2011; 62(3): 241–251.

219. Lodén M, Andersson AC. Long-term moisturizer effects. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 1996; 134(5): 884–890.
220. Korting HC, Braun-Falco O. Therapeutic cosmetics. *Clinical Dermatology*. 1996; 14(1): 23–27.
221. Fluhr JW, et al. Occlusion and hydration. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 2006; 154(5): 806–813.
222. Lachman L, Lieberman HA. *Industrial Pharmacy*. Lea & Febiger; 1986.
223. Schmid-Wendtner MH, Korting HC. Tolerance of topical products. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2006; 19(6): 296–302.
224. Walters KA, Roberts MS. *Dermal Absorption*. CRC Press, 2008.
225. Naik A, Kalia YN. Topical residence time. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 2000; 13(1): 45–51.
226. Adams RM. Occupational skin protection. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 1990; 23(3): 541–558.
227. Draelos ZD. Cosmetic acceptability. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 2010; 9(2): 115–119.
228. Kligman AM. Acne and comedogenicity. *Archives of Dermatology*. 1972; 106(6): 843–850.
229. Hugo WB, Russell AD. *Pharmaceutical Microbiology*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
230. Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ. *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients*. Pharmaceutical Press, 2017.
231. Prow TW, et al. Skin penetration limits. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 2011; 153(2): 210–217.