EJPMR, 2026, 13(9), 366-371 **Review Article** **Impact Factor: 8.158**

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

ISSN (O): 2394-3211
ISSN (P): 3051-2573
Coden USA: EJPMAG

VISCERAL–CERVICAL COUPLING AND TEMPORAL SENSING IN THE CRANIO-CERVICAL-HYOID COMPLEX

Dr. Rafie Hamidpour, PH. D, DE, DABFE*[1], Forough Mehrabi, MD[2], Nick Rhodes[3]

Pars Bioscience Research Center, Leawood Kansas 66224 USA.

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Rafie Hamidpour, PH. D, DE, DABFE**

Pars Bioscience Research Center, Leawood Kansas 66224 USA.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22269545

How to cite this Article: Dr. Rafie Hamidpour, PH. D, DE, DABFE*[1], Forough Mehrabi, MD[2], Nick Rhodes[3]. (2026). Visceral-Cervical Coupling And Temporal Sensing In The Cranio-Cervical-Hyoid Complex. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 13(9), 366–371.

Article Received on 05/08/2026 **Article Revised on 25/08/2026** **Article Published on 02/09/2026**

ABSTRACT

Visceral-cervical coupling describes the cross-talk between core internal organ inputs (viscera) and the neck (cervical) region via spinal and vagal convergence. This coupling impacts temporal sensing—how the brain measures internal biological timing and external rhythms—by utilizing rhythmic bodily signals like heartbeats and respiration as an internal clock. Neural Mechanisms and Viscerosomatic Convergence, signals from internal organs meet the same spinal cord neurons that process inputs from the neck and shoulders, leading to shared sensory processing. **Hypothesis.** We hypothesize that living systems preserve traces of developmental organization through genetic, epigenetic, structural, mechanical, neural, fascial, and rhythmic continuities, and that self-regulation may represent the ongoing expression of this retained organization acting within present physiological conditions. We examine the cranio-cervical-hyoid (CCH) complex as a visible convergence zone where these continuities may be observed and tested. **Framework.** We propose a four-level hierarchy: developmental memory gives rise to visceral–cervical coupling, which is monitored through temporal sensing, which in turn enables biological self-regulation. Visceral–cervical coupling is defined as a proposed anatomical and physiological network linking the visceral column, diaphragm, anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL), esophagus, infrahyoid system, hyoid, cervical fascia, and cranio-cervical structures. Temporal sensing is defined as a pre-conscious capacity by which living systems detect and maintain phase relationships among interacting physiological rhythms, distinct from conscious time perception, interoception, and proprioception. **Predictions.** Predictions of Visceral–Cervical Coupling and Temporal Sensing in the Cranio-Cervical-Hyoid Complex. The core theory behind "Predictions of Visceral–Cervical Coupling and Temporal Sensing in the Cranio-Cervical-Hyoid Complex" proposes a four-level Developmental – Memory – Visceral –Cervical Coupling-Temporal This model redefines our understanding of how the head, neck, and throat interact, shifting from a purely structural or mechanical model to a dynamic system driven by rhythmic, pre-conscious feedback; The framework yields testable predictions linking CCH structural integrity to respiratory-postural coupling, hyoid position and cervical alignment to heart rate variability coherence, and cervical proprioceptive acuity to physiological synchronization, with impaired temporal coherence anticipated in dysphagia, temporomandibular, chronic pain, dysautonomia, and disordered breathing populations. **Significance.** The significance of the Visceral–Cervical Coupling and Temporal Sensing paradigm lies in how it fundamentally shifts our understanding of human movement, posture, and systemic health. By moving past traditional mechanical "structuralist" models, it bridges the gap between anatomy, evolution, and fluid homeostatic rhythms.

KEYWORDS: *Visceral–cervical coupling; temporal sensing; biological self-regulation; cranio-cervical-hyoid complex; anterior longitudinal ligament; hyoid; fascial continuity; embryological migration; respiratory–postural coupling.*

çC ' Core Concept

- **Autonomic Rhythms:** Ascending inputs from baroreceptors and mechanoreceptors feed into brainstem structures like the nucleus tractus solitarius, setting a baseline temporal pulse for neural excitability

- **Cervical Afferent Feedback:** Proprioceptive signals from neck muscles modulate how the central nervous system perceives and filters visceral distress or baseline pacing.
- **Effects on Temporal Sensing; Heartbeat-Evoked Timing:** The timing of external sensory events relative to internal cardiac cycles alters subjective duration and temporal order judgments
- It integrates **genetic, epigenetic, and neurobiological processes** into a stable framework that guides self-regulation across life stages.
- This memory is not just cognitive—it includes **autonomic, metabolic, and neuroendocrine imprints** that shape how the body maintains balance (homeostasis and allostasis).

Challenges & Risks

- **Clinical heterogeneity**: Disrupted developmental memory may explain why disorders like ADHD or autism vary so widely in presentation.
- **Sensitive periods**: Interventions must align with developmental windows; missing them can lead to maladaptive regulatory states.
- **False memory risks**: At the autobiographical level, distortions can destabilize self-regulation and identity.

Clinical & Diagnostic Challenges

- **Referred Pain Confusion:** Visceral pathology (such as cardiac ischemia or diaphragmatic irritation) frequently presents as neck, jaw, or shoulder pain, leading to unnecessary musculoskeletal treatments while delaying critical medical interventions.
- **Bi-Directional Aggravation:** Chronic cervical tension or whiplash can over-stimulate autonomic pathways, artificially altering heart rate variability, blood pressure regulation, and gastric motility.
- **Diagnostic Blind Spots:** Standard clinical imaging (like cervical MRIs) fails to capture functional visceral-cervical cross-talk, often leaving patients with idiopathic or poorly managed chronic pain syndromes.

Cognitive & Temporal Risks

- **Time Distortion in Trauma:** Hyper-aroused visceral states (such as panic-induced tachycardia combined with high cervical muscle tension) distort the brain’s internal clock, causing time to feel agonizingly slow or fragmented.
- **Interoceptive Dissociation:** Chronic misalignment between actual visceral states and perceived cervical tension can cause individuals to either over-monitor (hypervigilance) or completely lose touch with their body's internal regulatory signals.
- **Sensory Overload:** When the brain stem fails to properly filter the combined influx of cervical proprioception and visceral inputs, it can trigger chronic fatigue, brain fog, and a diminished capacity to track complex external temporal sequences.

INTRODUCTION

The Problem of Continuity After Separation

Living systems are thermodynamically open but organizationally closed. Their material components turn over continuously, yet a material-independent property — organization — persists. The central question this raises is how organization is established, maintained, and recovered across time, particularly when the structures embodying that organization undergo migration, differentiation, and spatial separation during development. During embryological development, organs that originate in proximity migrate to distant final positions. The heart, the thymus, the gonads, and the digestive viscera all descend or translocate from their sites of origin. Yet these structures do not become independent of their developmental relationships. They remain connected through neural pathways, vascular pedicles, fascial planes, and mechanical continuities that trace the route of their migration. The phrenic nerve descending from the cervical spine to the diaphragm, the vagus accompanying the gut, and the testicular vessels arising high on the posterior abdominal wall are each a record of where a structure began, preserved within where it ended.

We propose that this preservation is not incidental but functionally significant. We term it developmental memory: the persistence of organizational information across development, differentiation, migration, and adaptation. This central proposition may be stated directly:

Self-regulation may not simply maintain organization; it may be the ongoing expression of retained developmental organization adapting to present physiological conditions.

This paper develops that proposition. We do not claim to have proven a missing link. We propose a framework, define its terms, locate it within existing theory, and specify the predictions by which it may be tested. We use the cranio-cervical-hyoid (CCH) complex as the anatomical case study because it is the most visible convergence zone in which developmental memory, visceral–cervical coupling, and temporal sensing may be simultaneously observed. The neck is the case study; developmental memory is the organizing principle.

Existing Models of Self-Regulation

Several established frameworks describe how living systems maintain themselves, each capturing part of the phenomenon while leaving the question of retained organization incompletely addressed.

Autopoiesis and organizational closure

The theory of autopoiesis describes living systems as networks of processes that continuously produce and regenerate the components from which they are made, thereby maintaining the organization that defines them as a unity. Relational and organizational accounts similarly emphasize that living systems are defined by closure of their constitutive processes rather than by their material substrate. These accounts establish that organization is the invariant of life, but they characterize organization as a present-tense property maintained in the moment, with less attention to how prior organizational states are retained and expressed.

Allostasis and predictive regulation

Allostatic models describe regulation as the achievement of stability through change, in which the organism anticipates demand and adjusts set points predictively rather than defending fixed values. Active inference and free-energy formulations extend this by modeling the organism as minimizing the discrepancy between expected and sensed states. These frameworks foreground prediction and anticipation, which are temporal in nature, but they typically locate the relevant priors in neural and statistical models rather than in retained structural and developmental organization.

Interoception and the sensing of internal state

The science of interoception describes how the organism senses, integrates, and regulates signals arising within the body. Interoception establishes that internal sensory information is continuously monitored and is central to regulation and affect. We build upon this literature while distinguishing our proposed construct of temporal sensing, which concerns the detection of phase relationships among rhythms rather than the magnitude of any single internal signal.

Temporal Sensing

We define *temporal sensing* as a pre-conscious capacity by which living systems detect and maintain phase relationships among interacting physiological rhythms. It is distinct from conscious time perception, which concerns the subjective experience of duration; from interoception, which concerns the sensing of internal bodily states; and from proprioception, which concerns the sensing of body position and movement. Temporal sensing concerns none of these directly. It concerns whether processes are in phase: whether a cycle has completed, whether two rhythms are synchronized, whether the window for a coordinated action is open or closed.

Many essential biological processes breathing, heartbeat, gait, swallowing, peristalsis, vocalization, and postural stabilization depend on cyclic or wave-like patterns that must begin, continue, adjust, complete, and transition at appropriate times relative to one another. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia, the coupling of heart rate to the respiratory cycle, is a familiar instance of two rhythms held in phase. Locomotor-respiratory coupling is another. We propose that the maintenance of such phase relationships requires a sensing capacity, and that this capacity is logically and physiologically prior to motor correction: the organism must detect that phase has drifted before it can act to restore it.

We hypothesize that temporal sensing is the mechanism by which retained developmental organization is continuously compared against present physiological conditions. Where the phase relationships predicted by retained organization and those present in current function diverge, the organism experiences a regulable mismatch. Self-regulation, on this view, is the process of reducing that mismatch — not toward a fixed set point, but toward the phase coherence implied by the system's retained organization.

Visceral–Cervical Coupling

We define *visceral–cervical coupling* as a proposed anatomical and physiological coupling network linking the visceral column, diaphragm, anterior longitudinal ligament, esophagus, infrahyoid system, hyoid, cervical fascia, and cranio-cervical structures. This network is proposed as one concrete anatomical expression of developmental memory — a continuity that persists from the embryological proximity of the foregut and pharyngeal structures to the cervical region, retained through the descent of the viscera into the thorax and abdomen.

The anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL) runs from the occiput to the sacrum along the anterior surface of the vertebral bodies, constituting the longest continuous ligamentous structure in the axial skeleton. The crura of the diaphragm attach directly to the anterior surfaces of the upper lumbar vertebrae — the right crus extending across the L2–L4 bodies and the left across L1–L3 in recent cadaveric measurement (Khadanovich et al., 2025) — placing the diaphragm in direct fibrous continuity with the ALL. Through this continuity, the rhythmic activity of respiration is transmitted as cyclic mechanical loading along the anterior spinal axis. The esophagus descends immediately anterior to this column, traversing the diaphragm at the esophageal hiatus, and the infrahyoid musculature and anterior cervical fascia continue the chain superiorly to the hyoid and cranio-cervical structures.

We propose that this network functions as a coupled mechanical and informational pathway. Respiratory-postural coupling, in which the diaphragm contributes to both ventilation and trunk stabilization, is well documented and provides direct evidence that the diaphragm participates simultaneously in visceral and postural domains. We extend this established coupling cranially, proposing that the cervical and cranio-cervical structures are mechanically and rhythmically coupled to the thoracoabdominal viscera through the continuity described above.

The Hyoid as a Myofascial Transducer

Within the visceral–cervical coupling network, the hyoid bone occupies a uniquely informative position. We propose that the hyoid functions as a sensitive myofascial transducer because it is suspended without direct bony articulation and participates simultaneously in swallowing, airway regulation, tongue mechanics, cervical posture, and visceral-cervical force transmission.

Unlike every other osseous element of the region, the hyoid articulates with no adjacent bone. Its position is determined entirely by the dynamic equilibrium of the suprahyoid musculature acting superiorly and the infrahyoid musculature acting inferiorly. Because the inferior chain is continuous with the laryngotracheal complex and, through the anterior cervical fascia, with the thoracic inlet, the hyoid registers tension transmitted from the visceral column. Because the superior chain is continuous with the mandible and skull base, changes in hyoid position propagate to craniomandibular function. The hyoid is therefore positioned to transduce visceral-cervical tension into a mechanical signal accessible to the densely innervated structures of the neck.

The Fall-Away Model

We define the *fall-away* as the persistent inferior tension bias associated with the embryological descent and migration of visceral structures from a more cranial developmental organization. As the foregut and associated viscera descend into the thorax and abdomen, the anterior visceral column comes to exert a sustained inferior load on the structures with which it retains continuity the ALL, the anterior cervical fascia, and the infrahyoid suspension of the hyoid.

We propose that this inferior bias is not transient but persistent, reflecting the retained organizational relationship between the cervical region and the descended viscera. The organism accommodates this bias through continuous compensatory postural and tensional adjustment. We hypothesize that the quality of this accommodation depends on the integrity of temporal sensing within the CCH complex: the more accurately the system can detect the phase relationships among respiratory, postural, and visceral rhythms, the more adaptively it can regulate against the inferior bias of the fall-away.

The fall-away model thus integrates the preceding constructs. Developmental migration establishes a retained organizational relationship (developmental memory); that relationship is embodied in a continuous anatomical network (visceral–cervical coupling); the network imposes a persistent mechanical bias (the fall-away); and the organism regulates against that bias through the detection of phase relationships (temporal sensing) in the service of self-regulation.

Testable Predictions

The framework yields the following testable predictions, ordered from structural to clinical:

1. Cranio-cervical-hyoid structural integrity will correlate with measures of respiratory-postural coupling.
2. Hyoid position and cervical alignment will correlate with heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia coherence.
3. Cervical proprioceptive acuity will predict the degree of respiratory-postural synchronization.
4. Populations characterized by dysphagia, temporomandibular dysfunction, chronic pain, dysautonomia, and disordered breathing may show impaired temporal coherence across physiological rhythms.
5. Interventions that improve cranio-cervical-hyoid mobility may improve physiological coherence markers.

Clinical Implications

If temporal sensing within the visceral–cervical coupling network contributes to biological self-regulation, then disorders characterized by disrupted self-regulation may share an upstream contribution from degraded coupling or temporal sensing at the CCH complex. This would suggest that the clinical assessment of conditions such as temporomandibular dysfunction, chronic neck pain, dysphagia, disordered breathing, and certain forms of autonomic dysregulation may benefit from evaluation of thoracoabdominal and visceral-cervical mechanics, not only local structures.

We emphasize that these implications are hypotheses for investigation rather than established clinical recommendations. The framework is offered to generate testable questions and to organize existing observations, not to prescribe treatment in advance of evidence.

CONCLUSION

Visceral-cervical coupling represents a critical intersection where structural anatomy meets autonomic physiology, serving as a primary driver of how humans perceive both internal state and external time. When functioning properly, this system seamlessly integrates feedback from the neck and core organs to anchors our sense of presence and temporal continuity.

Key Takeaways

- **Interconnected Systems:** The brain does not process neck movement and internal organ function in isolation; they share neural pathways that directly influence global central nervous system arousal.
- **Anchoring Time:** Temporal sensing relies heavily on a stable internal clock built from predictable, rhythmic visceral inputs like heartbeats and respiration.
- **Vulnerability to Distortion:** Disruption to either the visceral or cervical system can trigger a domino effect, leading to clinical misdiagnosis, distorted time perception, and systemic interoceptive confusion.

Ultimately, decoding this coupling shifts our understanding of health from a model of isolated parts to a dynamic network. It highlights why physical alignment and autonomic balance are both essential for maintaining cognitive clarity and accurate sensory processing.

Competing / Conflict of Interest
None. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of / or competing interests.

Funding
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' Contributions: Both Authors contributed to conceptual work, framework, final draft write-up, critical reading and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Hoy D.G., Protani M., De R., Buchbinder R. The epidemiology of neck pain. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol, 2010; 24: 783–792. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2011.01.019.
2. Hoy D., March L., Woolf A., Blyth F., Brooks P., Smith E., Vos T., Barendregt J., Blore J., Murray C., et al. The global burden of neck pain: Estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 2014; 73: 1309–1315. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204431
3. Driessen M.T., Lin C.W., van Tulder M.W. Cost-effectiveness of conservative treatments for neck pain: A systematic review on economic evaluations. Eur. Spine J., 2012; 21: 1441–1450. doi: 10.1007/s00586-012-2272-5.
4. Bono C.M., Ghiselli G., Gilbert T.J., Kreiner D.D., Reitman C., Summers J.T., Baisden J.T., Easa J., Fernand R., Lamer T., et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders. Spine J., 2011; 11: 64–72. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2010.10.023.
5. Kjaer P., Kongsted A., Hartvigsen J., Isenberg-Jorgensen A., Schiottz-Christensen B., Soborg B., Krog C., Moller C.M., Halling C.M.B., Lauridsen H.H., et al. National clinical guidelines for non-surgical treatment of patients with recent onset neck pain or cervical radiculopathy. Eur. Spine J., 2017; 26: 2242–2257. doi: 10.1007/s00586-017-5121-8.
6. Falco F.J., Erhart S., Wargo B.W., Bryce D.A., Atluri S., Datta S., Hayek S.M. Systematic review of diagnostic utility and therapeutic effectiveness of cervical facet joint interventions. Pain Physician, 2009; 12: 323–344.
7. Ambrose N.L., Cunnane G. Importance of full evaluation in patients who complain of neck pain. Ir. J. Med. Sci., 2009; 178: 209–210. doi: 10.1007/s11845-008-0256-6.
8. Childs J.D., Cleland J.A., Elliott J.M., Teyhen D.S., Wainner R.S., Whitman J.M., Sopky B.J., Godges J.J., Flynn T.W. Neck pain: Clinical practice guidelines linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health from the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther., 2008; 38: A1–A34. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2008.0303.
9. Giamberardino M.A., Costantini R., Affaitati G., Fabrizio A., Lapenna D., Tafuri E., Mezzetti A. Viscero-visceral hyperalgesia: Characterization in different clinical models. Pain., 2010; 151: 307–322. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.06.023.
10. Giamberardino M., Affaitati G., Costantini R. Visceral referred pain. J. Musculoskelet. Pain., 2010; 18: 403–410. doi: 10.3109/10582452.2010.502624.
11. Maturana, H.R., & Varela, F.J. (1980). *Autopoiesis and Cognition: The Realization of the Living*. D. Reidel Publishing Company.
12. Varela, F.G., Maturana, H.R., & Uribe, R. Autopoiesis: The organization of living systems, its characterization and a model. *BioSystems*, 1974; 5(4): 187–196.
13. Rosen, R. (1991). *Life itself: A comprehensive inquiry into the nature, origin, and fabrication of life*. Columbia University Press.
14. Márquez-Zacarías, P., Ortiz-Muñoz, A., & Bingham, E.P. The nature of organization in living systems. *arXiv*, 2025; 2503.03950.
15. Sterling, P. Allostasis: A model of predictive regulation. *Physiology & Behavior*, 2012; 106(1): 5–15.
16. McEwen, B.S., & Wingfield, J.C. The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Hormones and Behavior*, 2003; 43(1): 2–15.
17. Friston, K. The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2010; 11(2): 127–138.
18. Kirchhoff, M., Parr, T., Palacios, E., Friston, K., & Kiverstein, J. The Markov blankets of life: Autonomy, active inference and the free energy principle. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 2018; 15(138): 20170792.
19. Chen, W.G., Schloesser, D., Arensdorf, A.M., et al. The emerging science of interoception. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 2021; 44(1): 3–16.
20. Craig, A.D. How do you feel? Interoception: The sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2002; 3(8): 655–666.
21. Hodges, P.W., & Gandevia, S.C. Changes in intra-abdominal pressure during postural and respiratory activation of the human diaphragm. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 2000; 89(3): 967–976.
22. Hodges, P.W., & Gandevia, S.C. Activation of the human diaphragm during a repetitive postural task. *The Journal of Physiology*, 2000; 522(1): 165–175.
23. Hodges, P.W., & Gandevia, S.C. Postural activity of the diaphragm is reduced in humans when respiratory demand increases. *The Journal of Physiology*, 2001; 537(3): 999–1008.
24. Hodges, P.W., & Gandevia, S.C. Contraction of the human diaphragm during rapid postural adjustments. *The Journal of Physiology*, 1997; 505(2): 539–548.
25. Baaj, A.A., Papadimitriou, K., Amin, A.G., Kretzer, R.M., Wolinsky, J.P., & Gokaslan, Z.L. Surgical anatomy of the diaphragm in the anterolateral approach to the spine. *Journal of Spinal Disorders &*

Techniques, 2014; 27(4): 220–223.
26. Sirasanagandla, S.R., Nayak, S.B., Pamidi, N., Somayaji, S.N., & Rao, K.G.M. Duplicated right crus of the diaphragm: A cadaveric case report. *Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association*, 2014; 58(1): 39–43.
27. Khadanovich, A., Beneš, M., Kaiser, R., Reynolds, J., Mawhinney, G., Štulík, J., & Kachlík, D. Anatomy of the diaphragmatic crura and other paraspinal structures relevant to en-bloc spondylectomy for lumbar spine tumours. *European Spine Journal*, 2025; 34(8): 3532–3539.
28. Nason, L.K., Walker, C.M., McNeeley, M.F., Burivong, W., Fligner, C.L., & Godwin, J.D. Imaging of the diaphragm: Anatomy and function. *RadioGraphics*, 2012; 32(2): E51–E70.
29. Willard, F.H., Vleeming, A., Schuenke, M.D., Danneels, L., & Schleip, R. The thoracolumbar fascia: Anatomy, function and clinical considerations. *Journal of Anatomy*, 2012; 221(6): 507–536.
30. Sutcliffe, P., & Lasrado, S. (2023). Anatomy, head and neck, deep cervical neck fascia. *StatPearls*. NCBI Bookshelf.
31. Standring, S. (Ed.). (2020). *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice* (42nd ed.). Elsevier.
32. Pearson, W.G., Langmore, S.E., & Zumwalt, A.C. Evaluating the structural properties of suprahyoid muscles and their potential for moving the hyoid. *Dysphagia*, 2011; 26(4): 345–351.
33. Matsuo, K., & Palmer, J.B. (2008). Anatomy and physiology of feeding and swallowing. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America*, 19(4): 691–707.
34. Treleaven, J. Sensorimotor disturbances in neck disorders affecting postural stability, head and eye movement control. *Manual Therapy*, 2008; 13(1): 2–11.
35. Kristjansson, E., & Treleaven, J. Sensorimotor function and dizziness in neck pain: Implications for assessment and management. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 2009; 39(5): 364–377.
36. Boyd-Clark, L.C., Briggs, C.A., & Galea, M.P. Muscle spindle distribution, morphology, and density in longus colli and multifidus muscles of the cervical spine. *Spine*, 2002; 27(7): 694–701.
37. Hilton, R.C., Ball, J., & Benn, R.T. Vertebral end-plate lesions in the dorsolumbar spine. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 1979; 35(2): 127–132.
38. Shaffer, F., & Ginsberg, J.P. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 2017; 5: 258.
39. Yasuma, F., & Hayano, J. Respiratory sinus arrhythmia: Why does the heartbeat synchronize with respiratory rhythm? *Chest*, 2004; 125(2): 683–690.
40. Juvin, L., et al. Neurogenic mechanisms for locomotor-respiratory coupling. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 2022; 16: 953746.
41. Sadler, T.W. (2018). *Langman's Medical Embryology* (14th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
42. Kluth, D., & Hofmann von Kap-herr, S. Embryology of the foregut. *Seminars in Pediatric Surgery*, 1995; 4(4): 191–197.
43. Hutson, J.M., Hasthorpe, S., & Heyns, C.F. Anatomical and functional aspects of testicular descent and cryptorchidism. *Endocrine Reviews*, 1997; 18(2): 259–280.
44. Liang, J., et al. Development and molecular regulation of the thymus. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology*, 2015; 12(5): 553–557.