FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 08	3/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0083			I	Date of visit: 24/02/20	)20
Time spent on site:	hrs	M	lain Inspector:		
Site No: FS0544 Business No: FB0317	Site Name: Business Name:	Glen Orrin Fish Fa Glen Orrin Fish Fa			=
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 2.1	Thermometer No:	T152	<b>]</b>	FHI 045 completed	N
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see ad	lditional informa	ation/clinical score shee ation/clinical score shee ation/clinical score shee	et.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:			

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Ova on site sourced from sites own broodstock which were stripped in January 2020.

No fish moved onto site. Fish moving off are transported by site staff to local put and take fisheries.

No treatments undertaken since 2014 and as such, no medicines currently held on site.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 12			Iss	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0083		Site No:	FS0544	4			
Date of Visit:		24/02/2020	ō		Inspector(s):			]
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site represen	tative?			Y Y	}
Site Details		all rendo				T		
Total No facilities Species	DTD	19 ponds	Facilities st		7	No facilitie	s inspected	19
Age group	RTR 19	RTR 18	RTR 17	RTR 16	RTR Ova			
No Fish	2,500	1,500	1,500	60	No count yet			
	60g	250g	450g	1kg	<1g			
Mean Fish Wt			450g			<u></u>		
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plan		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	No plan		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		or inspection.					16/04/2018	
3. Are records comp		rectly entered	?				12.2	Y
4. Are movement re		•		?				Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certification	ates for intro	ductions (out	with GB) avai	ilable?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		ut by (or on be	ehalf) of the b	ousiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst			•	•				Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Domestic was	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	•	d correctly ent	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (	`			lities across the	site over the la	ast 4 weeks		
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facilit	ty/reason:				
O A		in a serie de	1 110					N
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	n mortality di	uring period ci	necked?					N
7. Have increased (u	(nevolained)	mortalities he	en reported	to yet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	листрічні сч,	Illortantics be	erreported	to vet of 1111.				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FH	? If no, add	MRT case and	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

16/04/2018 - 24/02/2020

Description of the state of the		······································													
Percussive blow.	02/2020 Additional Sample Information:														
Total Tests assigned 0	Percussive blow.														
	0	Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI Date of issue:							
Case Number:	2020-0083		Sit	te No:	FS0544		Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/02/2020		No	o of mo	Score			
Live fish movements				0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS		0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone	or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	-	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	$\pm$	0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of des		-	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site conta	acts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion		1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion		1	3	6		ш
		or in a coastal zone with category \ n or within 1 tidal excursion	V	1	4	8		
Management practices			No	one	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent wa	ters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro			0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)							
	Processing fish		2					
	Processing fish equivalent state		4					
		r from Category III farm		8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm		10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	$\top$	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms		3				ш
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms		5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	$\overline{}$	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	$\overline{}$	5				-
Biosecurity		Number of s	ites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment		0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		т	0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No			1				ш
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes			0				
with regulator or industry code of practice	No			3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes			0				0
	No			2				
						Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0083		Site No:	FS0544						
Sea Lice Inspection (										
·	nced sea lice problems in the previo	•								
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?										
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?  4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm  Management Area (or equivalent)?										
Management Area (or equivalent)?										
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)										
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard spe	cified in the SSI and the	e CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	x 6)					
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the sugge (CoGP Annex 6)	ested criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period	that					
8. Have average adult frecords are inspected?	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numb	ers per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or a	above during the perio	d that					
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspec	torate? If no, FHI see c	omment.							
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered	d to cause significant w	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	.3.50)					
	eatments been administered or other eatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is o									
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where applicable)?									
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions taken had a	a significant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?						
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried out in coope	ration between participa	ating farms?	?						
14. Is there a harvestin sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewer	populations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatmen	t for					
	ific written lice management proced scalation of a sea lice infestation?	ure with waypoints des	cribing set a	actions to deal with rec	ognised					
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea li	ce count data? If no ple	ase detail r	easons.						
Containment Inspecti	on									
•	on nced equipment damage due to pre	dators in the current or	previous pr	oduction cycles?	N					
·	ce to mitigate against the predation			•	Y					
Lids on tanks	0 0 1			,						
If other, detail below:										
3. Have escape incide	nts or events been experienced on	or in the vicinity of the s	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N					
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question	10								
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Ministers?									
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB forthwith (when	re they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)						
6. Have these been rep	oorted to the SSPO and local fisheri	es trusts forthwith (whe	re they exis	et)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)					
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail								
8. If gill nets were deplo	oyed was this action agreed with loo	cal wild fish interests an	d was perm	nission given by Scottis	h					
Ministers? (Legal, CoG										
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minimise the risk	of further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could						
be considered under	satisfactory measures of the Ad	et)								
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to cor	tainment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ					

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Site No: FS0544

Case No: 2020-0083

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0083	Date of visit: 24/02/2020									
Site No:	FS0544	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
	<del>                                     </del>										
	<del>                                     </del>										
	<del>                                     </del>										
	•										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD	28/02/2020										
	ļ										
	_										





Glen Orrin Fish Farm Glen Orrin Lodge Urray, Muir of Ord Ross-shire IV6 7UL

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0317 SITE NO FS0544 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 24/02/2020
SITE NAME Glen Orrin Fish Farm
CASE No 20200083

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained. No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

R04

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 04/03/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter">www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter</a>