FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0431			Date of visit: 21/10/2020			
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Ir	nspector:			
Site No: FS0577 Business No: FB0070	Site Name: Business Name:	Kindrochet Fish Farm Kindrochet Fish Farm				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.8	Thermometer No:	T172	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:				

### **Additional Case Information:**

Reportedly fish dumped from Westmill. Large fish were needed to be moved off to make space for smaller fish moving on but there were no buyers due to the closure of fisheries (COVID-19). A hole was dug (location unknown) and the fish buried (approx. 30 tonnes).

All triploid

Paperwork conducted on the phone on 01/10/2020.

Hatchery unlikely to be used in the future as fish are now brought in as fingerlings, instead of eggs. This has decreased workload for manager.

No longer importing eggs from outside GB.

Unsure of restocking plans due to uncertainty related to COVID-19

Some morts given to Auchingarrach wildlife park to feed otters and racoons.

ERM and red mark observed last year, but not causing any increase in mortality. Treated successfully with Aquatet.

Business starting to pick up recently due to easing of lockdown restrictions.

FHI 059, Version 13			ls	sued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No:	2020-043		Site No:	FS05	77					
Date of Visit:		21/10/20	)20		Inspector(s	s):		ı		
Registration/Author	orisation De	etails								
1. Business/site deta			v site represe	ntative?			Υ	1		
2. Changes made to		.,	,				N	1		
Site Details (include	lo cloanor i	ich for all c	actions)							
Total No facilities	ie Ciealiei	43	Facilities	stocked	42	No facilit	ies inspected	43		
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	TRO	72	INO IACIII	les inspected	40		
Age group	2019	2019	2018	2019						
No Fish	18,000	6,000	7,000	1,000						
Mean Fish Wt	<200g	400g	600g	200g						
Next Fallow Date (S		No plans	ooog		Date (Site)	2021 (un	sure at presen	t due to		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•			rtoxt input	Y Any escape			N		
If yes, detail:			ot treated as	so few fish affe		•	vious cycles. N			
<ol> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are movement re</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certific</li> </ol> Transport Records <ol> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>yes, is there a sys</li> </ol>	cords availablete and cocates for intense ates for intense ates carried	able for dead orrectly entero coductions (o	fish and was ed? utwith GB) av behalf) of the	ailable? business (not	•			N/A N/A N/A		
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records	available fo	r inspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalities	es disposed	l of?			Domestic v	vaste - <25k	g			
If other detail:										
3. Mortality records	complete a	nd correctly e	entered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks)		30 RTR, r	no signs of dise	ease.					
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased	d/atypical mo	rtalities?					N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality p	er facility/no	stock per faci	lity/reason:						
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality	during period	I checked?					N		
If yes, detail:										
7. Have increased (	unexplained	d) mortalities	been reported	d to vet or FHI?	?			N/A		
If yes, detail action:										
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been	reported to F	HI? If no, ent	er details on m	ortality events	sheet.		N/A		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	· ·
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Ť
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Pacards chacked between: 20/11/18 - 21/10/2020	

						ISS	uea by: I	-HI			
2020-04	31	Site No:	Œ	-S0577					21/	10/2020	21/
VI		ВА	=	PA				g. HI			
13:30	0:00	13:40	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	). <b>[</b>	1
1	Dry	2	Cloudy	3	Windy	4	$\overline{}$	5			
HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
1											
RTR											
400g											
N/A											
FW											
			- 1								
	_		- 1								
≣	_		- 1								
estr											
We											
9											
	13:3 HIST  HIST  RTR 400g N/A FW	13:30:00  1 Dry  HIST  1  RTR  400g  N/A  FW	VI BA  13:30:00 13:40  1 Dry 2  HIST BA  1 RTR  400g N/A FW  □ UST STR  400g N/A FW	VI BA	VI BA PA  13:30:00 13:40:00  1 Dry 2 Cloudy 3  HIST BA MG  RTR  400g  N/A  FW	NI	2020-0431       Site No:       FS0577         VI       BA       PA       MG         13:30:00       13:40:00       Inspector:         1 Dry       2 Cloudy       3 Windy       4         HIST       BA       MG       VI         RTR       400g       N/A         FW       FW       FW	Date of Samplin   Site No:   FS0577   Date of Samplin   Samplin	Date of visit/   Sampling:   VI	2020-0431   Site No:   FS0577   Date of visit   21/ Sampling:   VI	Name

000,	111 000, Volulo 110									aca by.			
10/2020 Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0431		Site No:	FS0577		Insp:	
Date of Visit	21/10/2020		No of m		Score		
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	
opeoies	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							40
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts			6-10	10	10
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	I -3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	oorehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
		from zone or compartment of	1				
	equivalent statu	from Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	re only processed		, ]			
products		esses with other farms	0	•			0
		for waste from other farms	3	1			
			5	]			
Use of unpasteurised feeds		<u>'</u>	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		25
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0431	Site No:	FS0577
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems		
·	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,
and the second and according to the second line of the second sec	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sala	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	lout in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Netting over ponds, screens at discharge		1
If other, detail below:	pointe	
,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action as Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Case No:	2020-0431			Date of visit:	21/10/2020						
Site No:	FS0577	1	Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
	ĺ										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1							
ECI, CNI, VMD	22/10/2020										





Kindrochet Fish Farm St Fillans Perthshire

PH6 2JZ

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0070 SITE NO FS0577 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 21/10/2020
SITE NAME Kindrochet Fish Farm 20200431

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publicatio">https://www.gov.scot/publicatio</a>ns/fish-health-inspectorate-service-

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 22/10/2020

charter/