FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0481			Date of visit: 12/11/2020
Time spent on site:	ŀh	Main	nspector:
Site No: FS1310 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Pooltiel Kames Fish Farming L	td
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-25
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		nal information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		nal information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		n If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed on 9/11/2020 remotely, site inspection completed 12/11/2020

Failed fish syndrome' reported to be an issue, this is being managed by grading, functional feeds and pre transfer health checks in the hatchery. Some gill health issues were observed earlier in the cycle, qPCR gill swabs confirmed gill amoeba.

Fish in good condition, shoaling well and feeding well.

No clinical signs of disease or gross pathology observed in the fish sampled for VMD.

Records of dead haul harvests were maintained in the movement book until April 2020, after this they are recorded in a harvest book, this was available for inspection.

Looking to get a barge for the next cycle.

The future of the other three sites (owned by Grieg) in the management area has been in doubt for some time, this has hampered efforts to co-ordinate treatments and fallow in the area. It is hoped that production synchronisation will be able to occur once the future of the management area is decided.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0481		Site No:	FS1310				
Date of Visit:		12/11/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made	•	,	·				N	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	es inspected	6
Species	RTR Q3							
Age group	2019							
No Fish	23,992							
Mean Fish Wt	3.9kg							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	January 202	1	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	April 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	de							
Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last insp		n mopeodon:					15/10/2019	
Are records com		ectly entered?	,				10/10/2013	Y
4. Are movement r	•	•)				Y
5. Are records com								Y
6. Are health certifi	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record	ls							
1. Are any movement		ıt by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sy		• `		•	•			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		inspection?						Y
2. How are mortality		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:			ies for unlifts	by Billy Bowie	, ,			
3. Mortality records				by Billy Bowle	are taken and	корт.		Y
4. Recent mortality				03%), week 43	0 week 42 13	36 (0 49 %)	week 41 349	(0.94)
5. Evidence of rece	` '	atypical mortal		3070), WOOK 10	0, WOOK 12 10	o (0.10 70)	WOOK 11 0 10	N
If yes, facility nos/r		* *		/reason:				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 1	<u> </u>	7					
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ıring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:	(vin aventaire a 1)	no o uto liti I	on von (a vict or FLUO				NI/A
7. Have increased		mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action		ported to EUI	2 If no onto-	dotoile on mart	colity overtees	noot.		N/A
8. Have 'mortality e	events been re	eported to FHI	r il no, enter	uetails on mort	anty events sr	ieet.		IN/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If you details		

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 15/10/2019 to 12/11/2020	

TI 059, VEISIOII 15							133	ueu by. i	1 11			
Case no:	2020-04	181	Site No:		FS1310					12/1	1/2020	
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g. HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	10:3	0:00	11:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	2
Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Cloudy	3	Calm	4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1											
Species	RTR											
Average weight	4kg											
Sex	N/A											
Water Type	SW											
Stock Origin	Selcoth											
	Case no: Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight 4kg Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling 10:30:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight 4kg Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 10:30:00 11:0 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Brish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 10:30:00 11:00:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry 2 Cloudy Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Brish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight 4kg Sex N/A Water Type SW	Priority samples: VI	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA PA Time sampling 10:30:00 11:00:00 Inspector starts/ends: Environmental conditions: I Dry 2 Cloudy 3 Calm Summary samples HIST BA MG Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight 4kg Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: 2020-0481 Site No: FS1310 Priority samples: VI BA PA MG Time sampling starts/ends: 10:30:00 11:00:00 Inspector: starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry 2 Cloudy 3 Calm 4 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI Add Fish/Pools - click BA MG VI Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: Pool/Fish No Fish nos Inspector: Inspector: Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: Pool Fish No Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: <	Case no: 2020-0481 Site No: FS1310 Date of Samplin Priority samples: VI BA PA MG Time sampling 10:30:00 11:00:00 Inspector: Starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry 2 Cloudy 3 Calm 4 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI MG VI MG Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species RTR Average weight 4kg Sex N/A Water Type SW MG	Case no: 2020-0481 Site No: FS1310 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2020-0481 Site No: FS1310 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2020-0481 Site No: FS1310 Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Date of visit/ Date of visit/ Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Date of visi

Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0481		Site No:	FS1310		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/11/2020		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	20	0
Ороско	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6 6	10	0
Exposure via water	i tambér er dec	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	l 0	1			0
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	Feeding unpas	·	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		6
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0481	S	Site No:	FS1310	7

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, a these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm M
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Anne
- 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/lf yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when L. salmonis levels have exceeded
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with rec
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
top nets	tensioned nets	ADD	False bottom nets	
If other, detail be	elow:			
3. Have escape in	ncidents or events been exp	erienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI i	nspection?
If Yes proceed wit	h questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10)	
4. Have these bee	en reported to Scottish Minis	sters?		

- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scotti-
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

EHLOEO Voroion 12	loound by: EUI	Data	of issue: 12/05/2020
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI Site No: FS1310	Date	01 ISSUE. 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0481	Site No: FS1310		
Date of Visit: 12/11/2020	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?		Y
If N, no further questions require comple			
Points of Compliance for Both Farm N			V
Has a current farm management agreIs the current FMAg/S available for ins	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i prepared?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	<u> </u>		Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	•	or statement?	Υ
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimur		be introduced to the area or	Y
farm?			N.
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•		Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the specie Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim 	•		Y
individual farm?	an electing density of any pen en	arry rarrir in the area of the	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	•	l of any dead fish from any	Υ
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?		
Arrangements for The Management o	f Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea li	ice numbers and treatments?	Υ
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab	pility and the use of medicines on fa	rms covered by the agreement	Y
of statement?	The disc of medicines of the	mis covered by the agreement	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require		available treatments for sea	Y
lice on farms in the area or individual far			
Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa		trols and cleaner fish are to be	Υ
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		s on farms within the area?	N
<i>y = 1, a</i>			
Live Fish Movements			N.
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be intro	duced or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for the movement of live fis	h on and off sites in the area	Y
or individual farms?			

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable have	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be falled? or more year classes may be stocked onto dstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ent Agreements Only t include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 1-30/5/19	statement? Y

Site No: FS1310

Case No: 2020-0481

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0481			Date of visit:	12/11/2020			
Site No:	FS1310	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	Į							
							-	
							-	
		-						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	25/11/2020							
case completeion	20/01/2020							





Kames Fish Farming Ltd Kilmelford Oban Argyll PA34 4XA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0134
 Date of Visit
 12/11/2020

 Site No
 FS1310
 Site Name
 Loch Pooltiel

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200481

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 16/1/2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 20/01/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/





Kames Fish Farming Ltd Kilmelford Oban Argyll PA34 4XA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0134Date of Visit12/11/2020Site NoFS1310Site NameLoch PooltielInspectorCase No20200481

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to containment.

Recommendations were issued in relation to sea lice management and records:

- The Code of Good Practice (CoGP) farm Management Area (M-25) is not fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis, to meet the requirements of the CoGP chapter 4, 3.101 the following should be provided;
 - A documented risk assessment, which considers the risks to the company's own operations and to the operations of other companies operating within the FMA and in any adjacent FMA, should be undertaken and management systems adopted that maintain risks at a satisfactorily low level;
 - This risk assessment should include detailed information on strategies to be followed for pathogen and parasite control in the absence of fallowing;
- The site did not have a harvesting strategy where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice;
- There was no site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation.

The farm management statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by **16/1/2021** Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



Date: 8/12/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-servicecharter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to:

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

- The management of parasites⁽²⁾ This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments.
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting This must identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on the site.
- (1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture
- (2) Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means Calique elongatus and Lepeophtherius salmonis