FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date	of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2020-0508			Date of visit:	17/11/2020					
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Ma	in Inspector:						
Site No: FS0560 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Torhouse Mill Kames Fish Farmin	g Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 MIX 5	6	]					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 com	pleted					
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type:	F CoGP MA						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

# **Additional Case Information:**

First inspection since the site was taken over by Kames Fish Farming Ltd, but there has been no change to equipment and practices on site, although there have been slightly more movement off site.

Gibb Hatchery, a A. salmon restocking/research hatchery for the Galloway Fisheries Trust is located on site and waste from her

VMD fish appeared healthy when sampled

Remote inspection to complete paperwork completed on 12/11/2020, physical inspection of site completed on 17/11/2020

The site was in the process of vaccinating against SAV

Site thermometer used, as inspectors faulty.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0508		Site No:	FS0560				
Date of Visit:		17/11/2020			Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	tive?			Y N	}
Site Details								
Total No facilities		33	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	33
Species	RTR	RTR						
Age group	2019/20	Ova						
No Fish	506,974	272,000						
Mean Fish Wt	103.2g	N/A						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Mid Decen	nber	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease nrohl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last)	visit\?	N
If yes, detail:	disease probi	cilis:			Ally escapes	(Silice last	visity:	IN
Movement Records	6							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							18/04/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement red		•						Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certification		•		ble?				Y
Transport Records								
Are any movement		t by (or on be	half) of the hu	einess (not us	ing a STR\2			
If yes, is there a syst			•	•	_			Ÿ
	ioni in piaco i		oc or transpor		•			
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records a	vailable for i	enection?						
2. How are mortalities		•			Whole fish -	Dundae Ch	omicala	'
If other detail:	s disposed o	l f			WHOLE HISH -	Duriuas Crie	eniicais	
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (	•	correctly error		the whole site	ner week			
5. Evidence of recen	· ·	typical morta		THE WHOLE SILE	per week			N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		reason.				.,
ii yes, lacility 1103/110	mortality per	Tacility/110 Std	ock per facility/	reason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
				between July	and August 20	018 across	the site	
7. Have increased (u								Y
If yes, detail action:	,	Formalin						
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re		? If no, add M	RT case and e	enter on morta	lity events s	heet.	N/A

If yes, detail:	Formalin, T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
	available for inspection?	Y
•	ete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdra		Y
5. If yes, what treatme	ent(s)? Formalin, T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stor	ed appropriately?	Y
<b>Biosecurity Records</b>		
1. Biosecurity records	available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner an	nd frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner an	nd period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplain	ed) mortality at the site been included?	Y
	will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
	uded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
	us of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certifica	ation if required)?	
6 Have the bushends	ay and biggger with a manager as implemented between each anidomiclogical unit to minimize	
	ry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise se been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	evailable regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals h		
•	ty procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	,	
Results of Surveilla	nce	
1 Has any animal he	alth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
-	entirisarvemarice been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business:	Y
3. Any significant resu	·	N
•	tailed under recent disease problems).	
, , ( (		

18/04/2018-12/11/2020 Records checked between:

1111	059, Version 12							155	ueu by. r	111			
(	Case no:	2020-05	808	Site No:		FS0560			Date of v		17/	11/2020	17/°
F	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI		1	
	Fime sampling starts/ends:	14:3	0:00	15:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	1
E	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
5	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add	d Fish/Pools - click												
F	Pool/Fish No												
F	ish nos	F1											
F	Pool Group												
5	Species	RTR											
/	Average weight	150g											
		N/A											
V	Nater Type	FW											
		Northern Trout - Brow well											
Details		ın T											
Det		the.											
	Stock Origin	lor											
Stock		R08											
0)	,												

Additional Sample Information:  O Total Tests assigned 0
Total Tests assigned 0

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0508		Site No:	FS0560		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/11/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	. 0	9	18	26	П
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	T 0	3	6	10	6
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contact	:s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		ш
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		ш
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent water	s O	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				$\Box$
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				ш
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				5
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			ш
CoGP/Regulator				J			
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			0
	No		2				Ш
					Total Rank		25 MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0508	Si	ite No:	FS0560						
· ·	(Seawater Sites Only) enced sea lice problems in the previous 4	years?								
2. Is the CoGP Farm N	Management Area (or equivalent) fallowe	d synchronously on a	single ye	ear class basis?						
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?  4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm										
Management Area (or										
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection? (Legal S	SI, CoGP Annex 6)								
6. Do records adequat	tely reflect the required standard specified	d in the SSI and the C	CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex	(6)					
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> records are inspected)	nonis) record levels below the suggested?(CoGP Annex 6)	criteria for treatment	in the Co	GP during the period t	that					
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers p 0/6/19) during the period that records are		l of 3 or a	bove (prior to w/b 10/6	6/19) or					
If yes, have these bee	n reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate	e? If no, FHI see com	ment.							
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which is considered to o	cause significant welfa	are proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.	3.50)					
	reatments been administered or other actreatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered.									
11. Has any other acti	on been taken (where applicable)?									
12. Have therapeutic t	reatments or the actions taken had a sigr	nificant impact upon th	he lice lev	els recorded?						
13. Are treatments, wh	nere conducted, carried out in cooperation	n between participatin	ng farms?							
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	ng strategy for the site, where fewer popu	lations or part popula	tions are	held without treatment	t for					
	cific written lice management procedure viscalation of a sea lice infestation?	vith waypoints describ	oing set a	ctions to deal with reco	ognised					
16. Do the sea lice lev	els observed on stocks reflect sea lice co	ount data? If no please	e detail re	easons.						
Containment Inspect	ion									
1. Has the site experie	enced equipment damage due to predator	rs in the current or pre	evious pro	oduction cycles?	N					
2. Are measures in pla	ace to mitigate against the predation expe	erienced on site? (Det	ail below)	)	Y					
Site Stringed	Electric Fence									
If other, detail below	:									
0. 11		H1-161616	-1 41		N					
	ents or events been experienced on or in	the vicinity of the site	since the	e last FHI inspection?	IN .					
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 ported to Scottish Ministers?									
5. Have these been re	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where the	ev exist)? (CoGP – 4.	4.37. 5.4.	.17)						
	ported to the SSPO and local fisheries tru				4.17)					
7. Were methods (if a	ny) used to recover escapees? If yes give	e detail								
9. If all note were den	loved was this action agreed with land wi	ld fich interests and	(OC POPPE	acion divon by Costial						
Ministers? (Legal, Co	loyed was this action agreed with local wi GP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	in instrumenests and v	vas permi	soluti given by Scottisi	" -					
, -	ken to prevent and minimise the risk of fu	rther escapes? (Not o	covered in	code but could						
	er satisfactory measures of the Act)									
	ed as satisfactory with regards to contain	ment? If no, please de	etail reaso	on(s)	Υ					

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Case No:	2020-0508	Date of visit: 17/11/2020										
Site No:	FS0560	Inspector:										
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification										
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp				
Penort Summon												
Report Summary Case Type	Dete	lnen	ond .									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp									
ECI, CNI, VMD MIX	23/10/2020											
IVIIA	03/12/2020											
	-											





Kames Fish Farming Ltd Kilmelford Oban Argyll PA34 4XA

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0134 SITE NO FS0560 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 17/11/2020
SITE NAME Torhouse Mill
CASE NO 20200508

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 23/11/2020