FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202			
Case No: 2021-0147			Date of visit: 19/07/2021			
Time spent on site:	3hrs	Main Inspect	or:			
Site No: FS1027 Business No: FB0440	Site Name: Business Name:	Linga (Setterness) Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.2	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-4			
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ved?	N If yes, see additional info N If yes, see additional info N	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.			

Ownership of site about to change so uncertain regarding next input date.

One incident where seal entered cage through damage in top net. The seal was released by the staff on site, as nets on site are seal pros and it would have been highly improbable for it to find its own way out. Divers were out on the same day and no holes were discovered. Top nets are sewn on to the handrails. Application for temporary ADD submitted - denied by local council due to farm being in protected area. The company does not identify this incident as a potential breach in containment otherwise it would have been reported to the FHI.

No mention on FMS that the area is stocked with a single year class but stated in VHWP.

Remote inspection on 13/07/21 done by solver observed by solver. Physical inspection and VMD sampling carried out by solver observed by solver.

Fish looked healthy and behaving normally, no dead fish noted and very few moribunds/lethargic fish noted. The fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy, with no clinical signs observed. No sea lice observed.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0147	Site No: F	S1027
Date of Visit:	19/07/2	021	
Registration/Authorisation Details			

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities
Species
Age group
No Fish
Mean Fish Wt
Next Fallow Date (Site)
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?
If yes, detail:

	9	Facilities sto	cked
SAL			
2021 S1			
866,186			
240g			
	October 2022		Next Input Da
			N

Wk 24 - 579 (0.07%), Wk 2

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection:
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
- If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?						
If yes, detail:	See mortality events.					
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?						
If yes, detail action:						

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	T.M.S., Slice	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?5. If yes, what treatment(s)?If other, detail:6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		T.M.S., Slice
Biosecurity Records1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal,3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will no been included?	- ·	

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is de when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health st

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmi (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture ani 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

03/12/2019 - 1

Inspector(s):		
	Y N	3
10	No facilities inspected	9
te (Site)	Spring 2023	
Any escapes	(since last visit)?	N
	03/12/2019	
		Y
		Y
		N/A
		N
Whole fish - T	WMA (Shetland)	Y
5 - 1 060 (0 1)	2%), Wk 26 - 702 (0.08%	Y
5 - 1,000 (0.12	276), WK 20 - 702 (0.087	o), VVK 27 - N
		Y
		N/A
		IN/A
		N

	V
	T
	Y Y Y
	Y
d (<i>unexplained</i>) mortality at the site tected been included and <i>how</i> and atus, certification if required)?	Y Y Y
ssion of disease been covered	Y
mals held on site?	Y
	Y Y N
13/07/2021	

Fŀ	HI 059, Version 13							Issu	ued by: F	=HI			
	Case no:	2021-0 ⁻	147	Site No:		FS1027			Date of v		19/	07/2021	19/(
l	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:3	80:00	15:1	5:00	I	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	». Г	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-3	4-6										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight		0.2400										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Girlsta FS0504	പ Girlsta FS0505										
0	r donity No		5										

. . .


J7/2021	J21 Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

		1350CG by. 1111			Dute	01 13340	. 12/00/2020
Case Number:	2021-0147		Site No:	FS1027		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/07/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0	5		14	0
Maximum and a stf							
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0	3	6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	reamber of des	Site contacts	-	1-5	_		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0	1			
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				· · ·
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of	4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		18
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0147	Site No:	FS1027
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)	in the manifold Assessed	
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems		N N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or eq		
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as w	vell as access to suitable biological and/or med	chanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	COGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salm	<i>nonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which i	s considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. el</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded? Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	? Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, \boldsymbol{v}	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager	ment procedure with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damag	e due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles? Y
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against th		
Top nets, tension nets, seal pro nets	· · ·	
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been expe	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
9. If all note ware deployed was this set	road with local wild fish integrate and war	ningion divon by Contrict
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	hission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minin		in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y



FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms? Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one	which the area or individual farm will be fallov ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto si	
agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broc covered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	t on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ie, or cease to be, Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or s the FMAg/S? 17/01/2021	statement? Y

Issued by: FHI

Case No: 2021-0147 Site No: FS1027 Date of visit: 19/07/2021

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
14/09/20	20/09/2020	≥750g	6.5kg		S1	Weekly	1.06	Explained	CMS

If unexplained, select observations:		Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	808	End of cycle.	Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2019

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2021-0147]		Date of visit	19/07/2021]		
Site No:	FS1027]		Inspector		I		
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
							-	
							-	

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/07/2021		
Case Comp	27/08/2021		





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0440 FS1027 SITE NO CASE NO 20210147 DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2021 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

Linga (Setterness)

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 27/08/2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 27/08/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-servicecharter/

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0440

 SITE No
 FS1027

 Case No
 20210147

DATE OF VISIT 19/07/2021 SITE NAME Linga (Setterness) INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 27/08/2021. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Date: 26/07/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

(a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and

(b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture