FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0397			Date of visit: 13/10/2021				
Time spent on site:	5h	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: FS0822 Business No: FB0551	Site Name: Business Name:	Hoghill Fish Farm Hoghill Trout Farm Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A				
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type: F	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:					

## **Additional Case Information:**

A few mortalities observed at the bottom of two ponds. These can be difficult to reach for removal.

FHI 059, Version 13			ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0397		Site No:	FS08	322			
Date of Visit:		13/10/202	21		Inspector(s	):		l
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			site represer	ntative?			N	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities	ic cicanci na	9	Facilities s	tocked	9	No facilit	ies inspected	9
Species	TRO	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	TRO	
Age group	2016	2018	2019	2019	2020	2021	2020	
No Fish	500	200	5,500	10,000	16,000	10,000	1,500	
Mean Fish Wt	3kg	1.6kg	1kg	500g	80g	10g	650g	
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	none		Next Input	Date (Site)	unsure		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?			N Any escape	es (since las	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Date of last inspectations.</li> <li>Are records complete.</li> <li>Are movement respectations.</li> <li>Are records complete.</li> <li>Are health certification.</li> <li>Are any movement of the seconds.</li> <li>Are any movement of the seconds.</li> <li>Mortality Records.</li> </ol>	colete and correctords available end corrected and corrected services for introduced services and corrected out the end of the end o	le for dead to ectly entereductions (ou to by (or on before maintenative)	fish and wasted? twith GB) ava pehalf) of the	ailable? business (not			12/11/2019	N N/A N/A N/A
Mortality records		•			011/-1-1-	-11		Y
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	la aita a alada a al	Other (deta	,		
3. Mortality records				bait, advised	to contact loca	i council for	approved metr	nods.
4. Recent mortality	•	Correctly er	0-1 per po	nd per day				
5. Evidence of recei	•	atypical mort		nu per uay				Y
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		itv/reason:				
Between 11th-16th		•	•	•	age from Hero	ns in Pond 8	B. Resolved by	top net being
put over the pond.	·			•	3		•	, ,
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period	checked?					Y
If yes, detail:					23 per day due	to RTFS		
7. Have increased (	unexplained)	mortalities b	een reported	to vet or FHI	?			N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FI	∃I? If no, ente	er details on m	ortality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Me	dicinos Bosor	rdo			
Recent treatments		- <del></del>			Y
1. INECETIC II CAUTICITIS	`	Dxytetracycl			
If yes, detail:		oxytetracyci ne			
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records	available for in	nspection?			Y
3. Are records compl					N
4. Are fish in a withdr					Y
5. If yes, what treatm	•		Oxytetracyclin	ie .	
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stor	red appropriate	ely?			Y
Direction Descend					
Biosecurity Records		in-mostion?			
Biosecurity records     Has the manner as		•	rding and safe (	disposal been considered?	Y
		-	_	•	
	•	at the site been included?		s or veterinary professional of any	Y
` .	•			on of the presence of a listed disease	
		in the event that the pres and <i>when</i> that will be no	•	·	, YI
				site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certifica	•	_	or the farm	nte been covered (equal or riights.	
6. Have the husband	Irv and biosecu	ritv measures implement	ted between ea	ch epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	•	•		ent, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		•		the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals	_				
•		been adequately implem	ented on site?		N
	• •			confirmed that mortalities are not curr	ently disposed
Results of Surveilla					
		ce been carried out by, o	r on behalf of t	ho husinges?	N
2. If yes, are results a		-	I On Denan Or, u	He business:	N/A
3. Any significant res		speciion:			N/A
		ecent disease problems).			
ii yoo, actaii (ii i.e. a.	stanca arrac	room allocado propinio,			
R	Records checke	ed between:	12/11/2019-13	3/10/2021	

	mi 059, version 15							133	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2021-03	397	Site No:		FS0822			Date of		13/	10/2021	13/ <sup>-</sup>
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g. HI		l	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:2	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	1
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Cloudy	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Δ	add Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
Г	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	500g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	FW											
Stock Details		တ Dunsop Bridge											

10/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2021-0397		Site No:	FS0822		Insp:		
Date of Visit	13/10/2021		No of movements/supp./dest. Sco					
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	,		40	26		
openio c	Number of sup	cluding third country	0			26 14	0	
							40	
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0			10 10	10	
Exposure via water	rumber of desi	Site contacts						
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	Π					
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	С					
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1	
,		or in a coastal zone with category III						
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	8			
	iainis upstream	TO WILLIII T LIGAT EXCUISION	<u>'</u>	4	٥			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	С	1	2		0	
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	C	)			0	
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2				
	Processing fish	from zone or compartment of		1				
	equivalent statu		4	ŀ				
		from Category III farm	8	3				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	C	)			0	
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed		_ ]			0	
·	Feeding unpas	·	5	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		0	
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			,				
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			1	
CoGP/Regulator				J				
Practices in accordance	Yes		Ι ,	]				
with regulator or industry	No							
code of practice			3	<u>'</u>			3	
Platform access to cages	Yes		C				0	
	No		2	2				
					Total		25	
					Rank		MEDIUM	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0397	Site No:	FS0822
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
O		
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
		-
If other, detail below:		
Bird netting for ponds with small fish		
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		4.47)
•	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (COGP = 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8 If gill nets were deployed was this action as	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	mission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	g Williams Will Williams and Was Peril	and the second of the second o
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Site No: FS0822

Case No: 2021-0397

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0397			Date of visit:	13/10/2021	]		
Cita Na:	E00000			l				
Site No:	FS0822			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.							
,		Database	Insp	Phone	te of Notifica Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
								2 1110
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	06/04/2022							
Case completion	16/06/2022							





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0551 Date of Visit 13/10/2021

SITE NO FS0822 SITE NAME Hoghill Fish Farm

Case No 20210397 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- FS/FIS numbers must be recorded in the source/destination section of the movement record book, to allow for better traceability of stocks. It was discussed with the site manager that this would be recorded in future. No further action is required.
- Currently, the site does not operate in accordance with the biosecurity measures plan (BMP) in place for the operation of the site. The current mortality disposal method described in the BMP is not being followed. Mortalities must be disposed of in an approved and biosecure manner (<u>Disposal of aquaculture animal by-products Animal by-products: disposal guidance gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>). It is recommended that a review and update is undertaken of the biosecurity measures plan with regards to disposal of mortalities from the site in accordance with current animal by-products legislation. You may wish to contact your local council environmental health department or the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) for further guidance on the disposal of animal by-product.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The withdrawal period was not recorded on the treatment records.

Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0551 DATE OF VISIT 13/10/2021
SITE NO FS0822 SITE NAME Hoghill Fish Farm

CASE NO 20210397 INSPECTOR

### Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 06/06/2022. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 16/06/22

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>