FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0347			Date of visit: 15/08/2022
Time spent on site:	2hours	Main Inspec	ctor:
Site No: FS0462 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Kames Bay (east) Kames Fish Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ESC	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

## **Additional Case Information:**

Escape investigation conducted on 15/08/2022. Site had harvested part pen in the morning of the escape investigation.

Background: An escapes investigation visit was carried out following an escape notification being submitted by Kames Fish Farming on the 22/06/2022. The initial notification stated that two holes were found by divers which were considered large enough for stock to escape through. Potential cause was identified as pen snagging on an unknown object during a towing operation. The final notification was received on 20/07/2022, where it was noted that 0 fish escaped and underlying cause was still unknown.

The average weight on site, on the day of inspection, was 4kg, although site currently holds rainbow trout at 6-7kg which will be used for broodstock (individual weight).

On the day of the escape investigation, Underwater Contracting (UCO) were on site deploying the ROV. The company was contracted to check the mooring grid at Kames Bay (east), Kames Bay (west) and Shuna, to ensure that no riser rope was floating or loose from the weight. Site manager will pass on footage/report from the ROV survey. In addition, manager informed that to mitigate against the occurred events, any riser ropes will be disconnected by divers prior to the new cycle starting in October 2022.

Pens are towed from both Shuna and Kames Bay (west). Generally, the pens are towed from Kames Bay (west); pens from Shuna are usually towed depending how quickly it needs harvested. Towing usually occurs at 0.5 knots, and takes ~4 hours to reach the site. Kames Bay (east) can be seen as the harvesting station, where pens come to get harvested. The event potentially occurred during a towing procedure. The towing procedure is the first process allowing harvesting to commence.

Due to new site manager on site (started 1 month ago), inspector spoke to site operatives who were present on the day of the event. Site operatives believe that the event could only have occurred in the last 30m close to the site. Riser rope is approx. 100m out and 30m long. Site operative informed that when the site's moorings went in the riser ropes were left (usually are anchored or weighted).

Due to weather and ROV faults, complete review of the site's moorings and grid was not completed on the 15/08/2022. Manager informed that ROV work will recommence in early September 2022. Site fallow as of 18/08/2022.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/202	20
Case No:	2022-0347		Site No:	FS0462					
Date of Visit:		15/08/2022	ı		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils							
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	7	
2. Changes made to	•						Y	1	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)						
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	rked	2	No facilitie	s inspected	6	
Species	RTR		i dominos sto	I	_	140 racinalo			
Age group	2020					_		_	
, igo gioup	10681(3400								
	after								
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt	harvest)								
	3.5kg	10/00/2022		Novt Input Do	oto (Cito)	Ootobor 20	100		
Next Fallow Date (S	,	18/08/2022		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	October 20			V
Recent (last 4 wks)			1.00/00/000		Any escapes	•	,	<u> </u>	Y
If yes, detail:	•			2. Reason for o	•	_	nown object.	. Escape	
	investigation	conducted 15	5/08/2022 and	d investigation	internally still o	ongoing.			
Movement Records									$\overline{}$
Movement record		r inspection?							Υ
<ol><li>Date of last inspe</li></ol>							03/11/2021		
<ol><li>Are records comp</li></ol>		•							Y
4. Are movement re	cords availabl	e for dead fis	h and waste?						Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?							Υ
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	ith GB) availa	able?				N/	Α
Transport Records	<b>;</b>								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	by (or on bel	nalf) of the bu	ısiness (not us	ing a STB)?				
If yes, is there a sys					-				
	·		·						
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?							Υ
2. How are mortalitie					Ensiled - on s	site			
If other detail:									
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	red?					1	Y
4. Recent mortality (	•	•		ortality for the la	act 4 wooks				Ė
<ol> <li>Kecent mortality (</li> <li>Evidence of recer</li> </ol>	•			maily for the la	151 4 WEEKS				N
		* *		//					1 4
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ck per facility	reason:					
C. A	n no out a lite.	win as an and a start	2 2 2 2 2						М
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ning period ch	ecked?						N
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (	unexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/	A
If yes, detail action:									
<ol><li>Have 'mortality ev</li></ol>	ents' been rei	ported to FHI'	? If no. enter	details on more	tality events sh	neet.		N/	Α

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					
Piere and the Presents					
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	•				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scott	ish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of					
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ed on site?				
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	behalf of, the business?				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Records checked between: 03	3/11/2021-15/08/2022				

Case No:	2022-0347			Date of visit:	15/08/2022	2		
Site No:	FS0462	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
							-	
							-	
							=	
							-	
							-	
							-	
							-	
							-	
Report Summary	<u> </u>		1	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1				
ESC	25/08/2022							





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0134 Date of Visit 15/08/2022

SITE NO FS0462 SITE NAME Kames Bay (east)
CASE NO 20220347 INSPECTOR

## **Escape Investigation**

The site was inspected following notification of circumstances which gave rise to a significant risk of escape of rainbow trout on 22/06/2022 (Marine Scotland escape incident number MSe220622RTR1)

All epidemiological units were inspected.

On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Following the circumstances that gave rise to a significant risk of escape of rainbow trout on the 22/06/2022, the following recommendations are made for improvement.

To ensure it continues to meet the requirements of the CoGP (Chapter 4, point 4.16), it is recommended that a documented review is undertaken of the documented standard operating procedure and documented inspection plan for pen and mooring components, which is based on risk assessment.

It is recommended that a documented review of workboat operations is conducted to ensure they continue to be planned and conducted in a manner which avoids damage to nets and pens, in accordance with the CoGP (Chapter 4, point 4.28).

It is recommended that a documented review is undertaken of procedures which could increase the risk of fish escaping from pens to ensure that they are carefully planned and supervised to minimise risk as required by the CoGP (Chapter 4, point 4.29.

It is recommended that a documented review should be undertaken of staff training to ensure that all farm staff are aware of factors affecting the potential breaches of containment and that they are

trained in actions to take in the event of an escape in accordance with the CoGP (Chapter 4, point 4.35).

It is also advised that to ensure the inherent risk of moving fish in pens is minimised the practical steps in Annex 4, part A4.7 of A Technical Standard for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture should be undertaken.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 25/08/2022