

Case No:	2022-0540	Date of visit:	24/11/2022			
Time spent on site:	2 hrs	Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS0598	Site Name:	Trossachs Trout Ltd			
Business No:	FB0007	Business Name:	Invicta Trout Ltd			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3	4	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	9.2	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region:	CE	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	☐ Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	☐ Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	☐ N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	☐ N					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

--

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork inspected with the exception of the movement records and waste transfer inspected remotely 23/11/2022. Physical inspection conducted on 24/11/2022. Case inspector was accompanied by UKAS auditors during site inspection.

Increase in mortality August 2021 and was only occurring in the female stock. Fish were below mean weight of 750g and mortality percentage was never above 1.5%. Was thought to be enteric redmouth disease (ERM) but was confirmed to be a case of furunculosis after vet was called and diagnostic samples taken. Mortality levels dropped back down to normal levels during September after water temperatures dropped. No treatment was required.

Stock on site all comes from Invicta Trout (FS0537) with fish on site currently ranging in size from a minimum mean weight of 32g to 1,155g. With mean weight of site currently at 287g.

Some dead fish observed during inspection but numbers were low. One fish was spotted with a fungal lesion on the side of the head. Internal examination of this fish showed no signs of clinical disease so no diagnostic samples were taken.

Only 2 of the stocked ponds contain female RTR with the remaining stock all triploid. Site only intends to hold triploid stock once remaining female stock have been removed. Site has seen a reduction in disease issues since the move to holding triploid stock.

Site has experienced some equipment damage due to badgers but this was only damage to the fence around the site with the health and the containment of the fish not being compromised. A new perimeter fence is to be installed. Site used to suffer from heron but this has since stopped after bird nets were installed.

Invoices/receipts for purchased treatments/medication not held on site but at companies main office.

Case No: 2022-0540 Site No: FS0598

Date of Visit: 24/11/2022

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Y
Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	35	Facilities stocked	25	No facilities inspected	35
Species	RTR				
Age group	2020/22				
No Fish	237,615				
Mean Fish Wt	287.4g				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	never fallow	Next Input Date (Site)	Early 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?		N
If yes, detail:					

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection:
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Y
26/05/2021
Y
Y
Y
N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Y
Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
2. How are mortalities disposed of?
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Y
Other (detail)
Whole fish removed from site by Oakbank Waste Management Ltd for incineration
Y
Wk 43 - 632 (0.22%), wk 44 - 511 (0.18%), wk 45 - 304 (0.10%), wk 46 - 380 (0.16%)
N
Y
Aug. 2021. Increase in morts in female stocks. Not above reporting level. See additional info.
Y
Reported to vet and diagnostic samples taken. Confirmed as furunculosis
N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:

26/05/2021 - 24/11/2022

Case Number:	2022-0540	Site No:	FS0598	Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/11/2022	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			0
	Common processes with other farms	3			
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			
Total Rank				21	MEDIUM

Case No: 2022-0540

Site No: FS0598

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Perimeter

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Inspector: [REDACTED]

[illegible]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0007	DATE OF VISIT	24/11/2022
SITE No	FS0598	SITE NAME	Trossachs Trout Ltd
CASE No	20220540	INSPECTOR	

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

R04

Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB

Tel - 0131 244 3498

Email - ms.fishhealth@gov.scot

Website - <https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/>

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Medicine records are to include the following information:

- Lot No. of product when acquired.

This information should be kept for all future treatments. No further action is required.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 28/11/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>