FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0542			Date of visit: 07/11/2022
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS1062 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Ardifuir Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.4	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail reas	son below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

## **Additional Case Information:**

Poor gill health attributed to micro jellyfish- gill health improving.

Slice treatments carried out in August and this week (31/10/22). They have plans for salmosan tarp treatments, but the last two days the sea has been too rough to safely treat and they are standing by for a good weather gap

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

Sea lice average adult female wk40 1.17; wk41 1.69, wk42 1.75, wk43 2.14

From health reports; primary cause of gill health challenge during this period starting August, and continues to be present today albeit in much lower concentrations. The main species identified is Muggeia atlantica, a hydrozoan species.

Dundas and Barkip for mort disposal final destination.

Mort level week 44 lower - 0.44% as divers were unavailable to work on site due to covid issues. Week 45 will be up over reporting as divers will be back on site but general gill health improved in larger fish but population of poor doers continues be cause increased mort levels.

Lethargic poor doers observed in pens but not moribund, Some dead fish observed in pens. Are all removed daily but had appeared in afternoon as divers not available to remove dead fish that have not ended up in the dead baskets.

Planned tarp salmosan treatment week 14/11/22 - 5 cages to do. Not being done this week due strong tides.

All processing done at Loch Duart in Dingwall - recorded in Harvest schedule reports. Destination not specified but all fish go to Dingwall and going forward will record destination.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issue	ed by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0542		Site No:	FS1062			
Date of Visit:		07/11/2022			Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils					
1. Business/site deta			te representa	tive?			Υ
2. Changes made to		,	•				Υ
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fisi	h for all secti	ons)				
Total No facilities	c orcarrer ris	6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected
Species	RTR						
Age group	growers						
No Fish	205,914						
Mean Fish Wt	2.7						
Next Fallow Date (S		28/2/23		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Jul-24	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?
If yes, detail:	gill health						
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection.</li> <li>Are records composite.</li> <li>Are movement records composite.</li> <li>Are records composite.</li> <li>Are health certification.</li> <li>Transport Records.</li> <li>Are any movement if yes, is there a system.</li> </ol>	elete and correctords available lete and corrected attesting for introduction of the corrected out the	e for dead fishectly entered? luctions (outwood)	ith GB) availa	siness (not usi			05/11/2018
<b>Mortality Records</b>							
1. Mortality records a				,	D: D		
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:	es disposed o	f'?			Biogas - Bark	пр	
	nomplete and	oorroothy onto	rod?				
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente		400/ 0400 fi-	h 40 4 400	/ 0040 finh	M/I-44 0 C40/
4. Recent mortality (	laet 4 wke).		wk40 1.52%	.18%- 2469 fis	n; wk42 1.42%	% 3013 IISN.	. VVK41 U.64%
5. Evidence of recen	,	typical mortali		3219 11311			
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		reason:			
across site - see figu	- , .	•					
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:							
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) i	mortalities bee	en reported to	vet or FHI?			
If yes, detail action:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	If no, enter o	letails on morta	ality events sh	eet.	

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: SLICE Benzocaine
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
<ul><li>1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?</li><li>2. If yes, are results available for inspection?</li></ul>
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
branchial disease suggestive of chronic gill damage possibly 2ndry environmental factor.  Records checked between:  5/11/18- 7/11/22
Records checked between: 5/11/18- 7/11/22





FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0542 Site No: FS1062						
Date of Visit	07/11/2022		ovements/s	vements/supp./dest.			
Live fish movements			O	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent zone or	_	_			
species		cluding third country	C			26	
	Number of supp	bliers	C	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of m	ovements off	C	3		10	3
	Number of dest	inations	C		-	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	С				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I		_	4		0
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	С				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	C	Ī			0
	Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		С				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		C				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			7			0
	No		2				
				ı			
					Total		15
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0542 S	Site No: FS1062	
Date of Visit: 07/11/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with		Y
If N, no further questions require completi	on.	
<ul><li>2. Has a current farm management agree</li><li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp</li><li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li><li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li></ul>	farm management area? site(s) to which it applies? ommencement of the agreement or statem	ed?
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination to the FMAg/S identify the species 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	on health standards for the stocks to be intro- on requirements for stocks held in the area of fish which may be stocked into the area um stocking density of any pen on any farm ements for the storage and disposal of any	a or farm? a or farm? Y Y n in the area or the
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	Sea Lice nts for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
agreement of statement?	lity and the use of medicines on farms cov	
lice on farms in the area or individual farm	ments for the sensitivity testing of available ns? stances under which biological controls and	
used on farms in the area or individual far		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptab	ole harvest practices on farms in the area or indi-	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be resto 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether the agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto some or more year classes may be stocked onto some or more year.	sites covered by Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreer parties to the agreement?	gement Agreements Only ment include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	d operated in accordance with the agreement or e of the FMAg/S?  Lower Lorn FPA Apr2	

Case No:	2022-0542		Site No:	FS1062		
Sea Lice Inspection (	Seawater Sites Only)					
	nced sea lice problems in the pre	vious 4 years?			N	
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	Management Area (or equivalent)	fallowed synchronously or	n a single y	ear class basis?	Υ	
	access to a range of licenced in-fe		•	•	Υ	
	amectin benzoate) as well as acc I in a reasonable period of time?	cess to suitable biological a	and/or mec	hanical control measur	es, and	
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	cumented farm management agrequivalent)?	eement or statement releva	ant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm	Y	
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			Υ	
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard s	specified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex	(6) Y	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the sug? (CoGP Annex 6)	ggested criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period t	hat N	
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) nul 0/6/19) during the period that rec		vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6	5/19) or Y	
If yes, have these been	n reported to the Fish Health Insp	ectorate? If no, FHI see co	mment.		Υ	
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is conside	ered to cause significant we	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.	3.50) N	
	reatments been administered or creatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is					
	on been taken (where applicable)			(000:	N/A	
·	reatments or the actions taken ha		n the lice le	vels recorded?	Y	
-	nere conducted, carried out in coo				Y	
	ng strategy for the site, where few	•	_		for Y	
	cific written lice management proc scalation of a sea lice infestation	- ·	cribing set a	actions to deal with reco	ognised Y	
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea	a lice count data? If no plea	ase detail r	easons.	Y	
		·				
Containment Inspecti						
·	nced equipment damage due to p	·	•	<u>-</u>	N	
	ice to mitigate against the predati	1	etail below	')	Υ	
top nets If other, detail below:		net bottoms				
ii otrici, actali below.						
3. Have escape incide	ents or events been experienced	on or in the vicinity of the s	ite since the	e last FHI inspection?	N	
If Yes proceed with que	estions $4 - 9$ . If No skip to question	on 10		·		
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB forthwith (w	here they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fish	eries trusts forthwith (wher	e they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	ny) used to recover escapees? If	yes give detail				
O If all noto were dead	aved was this action agreed with	local wild fish interests	d 14/00 15 5 11/1-	iggion given by Castill	h	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action agreed with SP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	iocai wiiu iisii interests and	u was perm	ission given by Scottisi	'	
	ken to prevent and minimise the ri	isk of further escapes? (No	ot covered in	n code but could		
	r satisfactory measures of the		. COVOIGU II	. Jodo Bat Godia		
	ed as satisfactory with regards to		detail reas	on(s)	Υ	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, p. 15360		· /		

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0542			Date of visit:	07/11/2022			
Site No:	FS1062	-						
Site No.	F31002	l		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.		I.		te of Notifica	tion	1.	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	1							
							-	
Report Summary	Б. /		and .					
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date 29/11/2022	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 07/11/2022

 SITE NO
 FS1062
 SITE NAME
 Ardifuir

 CASE NO
 20220542
 INSPECTOR

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. The destination of the harvested fish was not detailed in the records. It was agreed during the visit that this would be recorded for future harvests.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 25/11/2022

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>