FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0064			Date of visit: 01/03/2023
Time spent on site: 3.8	5hrs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0106 Business No: FB0071	Site Name: Business Name:	Allt Mhor JS Salmon Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.7	Thermometer No:	T305	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	nil reason below:	

### **Additional Case Information:**

Water temperature Broodfish = 5.9C, heated water for ova, alvin & fry = 8.7C

Currently a lot of movements ova on and off the site this is conjunction with their own production at Carnasserie. Going forward plans are to only produce rainbow trout from own (Kames) broodfish.

The site has stocked broodstock without authorisation. Authorisation team has been notified. To be resolved outwith the case.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2023-0064		Site No:	FS010	6		
Date of Visit:		01/03/202	3		Inspector(s):		
Registration	/Authorisatio	n Details					
_		mary checked	by site repres	sentative?			Y
	nade to details	•		ooman o			Y
3							
Site Details (	include clear	ner fish for all s	sections)				
		1 hatchery,			1 hatchery,		1 hatchery,
Total No facil	ities	43 tanks	Facilities s	tocked	15 tanks	No facilities	s inspected 43 tanks
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR			
Age group	Broodstock	Ova	Fry	Alvins			
No Fish	250	697,000	364,953	124,730			
Mean Fish W	6kg	0.1g	0.3g	0.1g			
Next Fallow D		none		Next Innu	t Date (Site)	Feb/March	2023
	1 wks) disease				Y Any escapes		
,	Gut fungus i	•			7 trly cocapes	(Silloc last Vi	51t):
ii yes, detaii.	Out lungus ii	ir tile iry					
Movement R	ecords						
		able for inspection	on?				Y
2. Date of las			J				09/03/2020
	•	d correctly ente	red?				Y
	•	vailable for dea		aste?			Y
		d correctly enter					Y
		introductions (		available?			N/A
Transport Re							
-		ied out by (or or				3)?	Y
If yes, is there	e a system in p	place for mainte	nance of trar	nsportation re	ecords?		Y
Mortality Red	oorde						
		e for inspection	?				Y
•	ortalities dispo	•			Domestic wa	ste - <25ka	
		rs of mortality (e	e a culls) ao	to Kames pie		_	nent book
If other detail:		io oi mortanty (	ong came, go	to rtained pie	and are recei		
3. Mortality re	cords comple	te and correctly	entered?				Y
			2023/wk5:	Ova 2.69% (	(10,606), Brood	fish 0% (0); 2	2023/wk6: Ova 0.55%
					, , , , ,	` ' '	/wk7: Ova 4.29% (7500),
			Fry 2.77%	(7945), Broo	dfish 0% (0); 20	023/wk8: Ova	a 4.06% (6321), 1.43%
	rtality (last 4 w	•		oodfish 0% (0	0)		
		ased/atypical mo					Y
		ity per facility/no	•				
		ngus this has si		ed and gut fu	ingus has since	cleared up	
	eaks in morta	ality during perio	d checked?				N
If yes, detail:							
		ined) mortalities	s been report	ted to vet or l	-HI?		N/A
If yes, detail a			= 110				
8. Have 'mort	ality events' be	een reported to	FHI? If no, e	nter details o	n mortality eve	nts sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	
Aquacen Tricaine	
If other, detail: Formalin	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
Aquacen	
If other, detail: Formalin	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
P'annual's Bassa Is	
Biosecurity Records	V
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of	V
any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	V
disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	ľ
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	ľ
higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	V
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	-
etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	N
If no, detail: See issue raised.	
See issue faiseu.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).  Gut Fungus	
Records checked between: 15/08/2022-01/03/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0064		Site No:	FS0106		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/03/2023		No of m	Score			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS			5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	0
•	Number of supp		0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			0
a.ioiiii accooc to dagoo	No		2				0
				J			
					<b>Total</b> Rank		19 MEDIUM
							-

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/0	05/2020
Case No:	2023-0064		Site No: FS010	6	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seaw	vater Sites Only)				
	sea lice problems in the previous	s 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm Manag	gement Area (or equivalent) fallo	wed synchronously on a si	ngle year class bas	is?	
	ss to a range of licenced in-feed a ctin benzoate) as well as access conable period of time?				
4. Is there a signed docume Area (or equivalent)?	ented farm management agreeme	ent or statement relevant to	the site and CoGP	Farm Management	
	ls available for inspection? (Legal eflect the required standard speci		GP? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> are inspected? (CoGP Ann	) record levels below the suggestex 6)	ted criteria for treatment in	the CoGP during th	e period that records	
_	ale sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) number uring the period that records are i		f 3 or above (prior to	o w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or	
	orted to the Fish Health Inspector on at a level which is considered t			4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
•	nents been administered or other nent or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con				
·	een taken (where applicable)?				
·	nents or the actions taken had a s			!? —	
	conducted, carried out in coopera	• • •		trootment for one	
lice?	ategy for the site, where fewer po	opulations of part population	ris are neid without	treatment for sea	
15. Is there a site specific w	ritten lice management procedur tion of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing	g set actions to dea	I with recognised	
16. Do the sea lice levels of	bserved on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please of	letail reasons.		
Containment Inspection	equipment damage due to preda	ators in the current or previ	oue production evel	es? N	
·	mitigate against the predation ex	•		Y   Y	
		· ·	,		
If other, detail below:					
	nks outside with shade net, pest o			ti0 <b>I</b> NI	
·	or events been experienced on or ns 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	•	nce the last FHI ins	pection?	
4. Have these been reported		,			
·	d to local DSFB forthwith (where	thev exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.3	37. 5.4.17)		
·	d to the SSPO and local fisheries	* '	•	1.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) us	sed to recover escapees? If yes g	give detail			
	was this action agreed with local	wild fish interests and was	s permission given b	by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4	4.4.38, 5.4.18) prevent and minimise the risk of	f further escapes? (Not cov	vered in code but co	buld	
	isfactory measures of the Act)		5.04 III 0040 But 00	w. w	
	satisfactory with regards to conta		il reason(s)	Υ	

Site No: FS0106

Case No: 2023-0064

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0064			Date of visit:	01/03/2023	3			
Site No:	FS0106	1		Inspector:		ı			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
		-							
			-						
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
Case Type ECI, CNI	14/06/2023		2 11100						
case closed	18/07/2023								





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0071
 DATE OF VISIT
 01/03/2023

 SITE NO
 FS0106
 SITE NAME
 Allt Mhor

 CASE NO
 20230064
 INSPECTOR

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection. the Fish Health Inspectorate or a veterinarian of increased mortality under Regulation 23 2(b) of The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- The biosecurity measures plan requires to be updated to accurately reflect the current mortality disposal methods employed on site.
- Broodfish were kept on site during the inspection without authorisation. This matter has been referred to the authorisation team. A retrospective application to stock broodfish has been received and the outcome will be issued in due course. This matter will be resolved outwith the case.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 The treatment records must include the correct withdrawal period for treatments administered on site. These should be recorded as stipulated on the veterinary prescription supplied for each treatment.

Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0071
 Date of Visit
 01/03/2023

 Site No
 FS0106
 Site Name
 Allt Mhor

 Case No
 20230064
 Inspector

### Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 14/07/2023. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 18/07/2023
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>