FHI 059, Version 13	Į:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0122			Date of visit: 23/03/2023			
Time spent on site:	1	Main Inspec	etor:			
Site No: FS0432 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Tay Kames Fish Farming Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 6.1	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	n If yes, see additional info	n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Main issue on site is with cormorants, some curtain nets have been suspended from the walkway. Some peck damage was evident.

TMS treatments Person administering, date, withdrawal not recorded this was rectified during the inspection

No medicines stored on site.

Accompanied by to conduct inspection audit

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0122		Site No:	FS0432				
Date of Visit:		23/03/2023]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		14	Facilities sto	cked	11	No facilitie	s inspected	14
Species	RTR	RTR						
Age group	2022	2021						
No Fish	76,360	67,484						
Mean Fish Wt	180g	2.1kg						
Next Fallow Date (S		ongoing cycl	e	Next Input Da	te (Site)	November	/December	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl			N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	n
If yes, detail:							•	
 Are movement red Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement fyes, is there a system 	elete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	ectly entered? luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi				Y N/A Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Ensiled - on s	site		
	Then on to E		126		Elisiica - oli .	JIC .		
3. Mortality records								Y
4. Recent mortality (•	correctly error	1061/site las	t four weeks				
5. Evidence of recen		typical mortal		St Iour Weeks				N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
, 500, 100/110	por		per recently					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:				nts post vaccina	ation			
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail:		N
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection 3. Are records complete and correctly entered		Y N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:		l N
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A

В	ios	ecurity	Reco	rds

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of

aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

RMS noted following health manager visit.

Records checked between: 24/6/2021 to 23/3/2023

3/2023 23/0
1
otal Samples

03/2023 Additional Sample Information:														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														
				·										

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2023-0122		Site No:	FS0432		Insp:		
Date of Visit	23/03/2023		No of m	No of movements/supp./dest. Sco				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14		
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		_				
species		ncluding third country	0			26	0	
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	U	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	0	
	Number of des		0			10		
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Icarm is protect	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0					
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1	
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		Secure	Onsecure			
processors			0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0					
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4					
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	rfrom Category ∀ farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			0	
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3					
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2			
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0	
footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator				_				
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0	
	No		2					
					Total Rank		LOW 2	
					I VOLIN		LOW	

Case No:	2023-0122		Si	te No:	FS0432		
	nced sea lice problems	•		oinglo v	oor close basis?		
3. Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema	danagement Area (or eq access to a range of lice amectin benzoate) as v in a reasonable period	enced in-feed and bath well as access to suitab	sea lice medica	tions (inc	cluding deltamethr		
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	cumented farm manage equivalent)?	ement agreement or sta	atement relevant	to the si	ite and CoGP Farr	m	
	ecords available for inspely reflect the required s	· -		oGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP A	nnex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	nonis) record levels belo (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criter	ria for treatment	in the Co	oGP during the per	riod that	
	female sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> 0/6/19) during the perio			of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b	10/6/19) or	
-	n reported to the Fish He station at a level which i				ems? (CoGP 4.3.8	31, 5.3.50)	
•	reatments been adminis reatment or where <i>C. el</i>						
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where a	pplicable)?					
•	reatments or the actions	_					
	ere conducted, carried	•		_			
14. Is there a harvestir sea lice?	ng strategy for the site, v	where fewer population	s or part popula	tions are	held without treat	ment for	
	ific written lice manager scalation of a sea lice in		aypoints describ	ing set a	actions to deal with	recognised	
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please	e detail re	easons.		
Containment Inspect	ion						
1. Has the site experie	nced equipment damag	e due to predators in the	ne current or pre	evious pr	oduction cycles?		N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against th	ne predation experience	ed on site? (Deta	ail below	')		Υ
other							
If other, detail below:	ets, curtain nets, wildlife	dian					
•	ents or events been expe	•	cinity of the site	since the	a last FHI inspection	on2	n
•	estions 4 – 9. If No skip		cirility of the site	Since th	e last i ili llispecti	011:	n
	ported to Scottish Minist						
	ported to local DSFB for		st)? (CoGP - 4.	4.37, 5.4	l.17)		
	ported to the SSPO and	•			•	7, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if ar	y) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If gill nets were depl Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action ag SP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish	n interests and w	as perm	ission given by Sc	ottish	
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further	escapes? (Not c	overed in	n code but could		
	r satisfactory measure		,				
	d as satisfactory with re	•	If no, please de	tail reas	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0122			Date of visit	: 23/03/2023						
Site No:	FS0432			Inspector	:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	+										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI,CNI,VMD	30/03/2023		2 11100								
	+										
	 										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOFB0134DATE OF VISIT23/03/2023SITE NOFS0432SITE NAMELoch Tay

Case No 20230122 Inspectors

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 The name of the person administering treatments, the date treatments were administered and the withdrawal period post treatment were not recorded. This was discussed during the inspection and records updated, no further action is required.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/