FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2023-0448			Date of visit: 03/10/2023		
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Ir	nspector:		
Site No: FS0403 Business No: FB0449	Site Name: Business Name:	Meavag Hatchery Meavag Fish Farming			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed N/A		
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	nil reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Meavag is currently stocked with approximately 7,800 rainbow trout of various sizes, averaging 100g of stock which was sourced from Aquasearch in Denmark in 2019. The site is also stocked with 16,352 fry averaging 5g. The ova for this fry stock was imported from Troutlodge, USA in May 2023.

Meavag also has one tank stocked with 50 Koi carp, these fish were stocked without permission, sourced from Cuttlebrook Koi Farm in Oxford, England. APB breach of authorisation letter is to be sent.

The site currently has 7 tanks stocked of the 19 which are present on the site. On the date of inspection the electricity which powers the lights in the main hatchery facility had tripped / wasn't working during the inspection, which made for a very difficult observation of the stock. Inspection of the sites facility was conducted under torch light.

From the few fish that were clearly visible, no clinical signs of disease were observed. The larger stock of trout were observed responding positively to hand feeding.

The Koi carp appeared healthy and were also observed responding well to routine hand feeding. No mortality has been recorded to any of the Koi carp stock since input in July 2022.

The water source for the hatchery is taken from a neighbouring freshwater loch via a pipeline network. Waste water from the hatchery tanks is then transferred by a connecting pipe to sea. Where the waste water pipe exits the site at the shoreline, there is a screened box which is in place to catch any potential escaping fish which could make it through the pipeline in the event of a tank screen failure. This box surrounding the waste water pipe was positioned within the tidal range of the sea and was visibly damaged and broken. Site management have been advised that a replacement should be ordered as soon as possible, an enhanced containment inspection will also be scheduled in due course.

Water temprature was 12 degrees on the date of inspection, temperature was taken using the sites thermometer for biosecurity.

The mortality records supplied is for the 2019 RTR stock only, no records for Koi or RTR fry has been supplied.

No treatments have been conducted onsite since the date of last inspection.

Morts stored in 25L plastic bin on site, waste is transported to landfill via company van.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		lss	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0448		Site No:	FS0403	3			
Date of Visit:		03/10/20	23		Inspector(s):		•
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det	tails summary	checked b	y site represen	tative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	•		,				N]
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities		19	Facilities st	tocked	7	No facilitie	es inspected	19
Species	Koi carp	RTR	RTR				T .	
Age group	2022	2023	2021					
No Fish	50	16,352	7,800					
Mean Fish Wt	35g	5g	100g					
Next Fallow Date (S		N/a	1009	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Unknown		•
Recent (last 4 wks)					_ ` ′	es (since last		N
If yes, detail:	alcodes pros				, iii goodpi	<i>ye</i> (000 laot	71011)	
4. Are movement re 5. Are records com 6. Are health certific Transport Record 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system.	plete and corr cates for introd s ents carried ou	ectly entereductions (or	ed? utwith GB) ava behalf) of the b	ilable? ousiness (not us				N/A Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records								Y
2. How are mortaliti					Other (deta	uil)		
If other detail:	See addition							
Mortality records	•	correctly e						N
4. Recent mortality	•			3 - 30/09/2023 -	21 RTR. Se	e additional in	nfo.	
Evidence of rece		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no	stock per facilit	ty/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ıring period	checked?					N
If yes, detail:			h	(NI/A
7. Have increased (mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		ported to F	ILIO If no ant-	r dotoile en m	tolity overte	ohoot		N/A
8. Have 'mortality e	vents been re	eported to F	mi? ii no, ente	i details on mor	tality events	sneet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
December of Orange Ways and	
Results of Surveillance	N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	IN
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ir yes, detair (ir not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 19/10/2021 - 03/10/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0448		Site No:	FS0403		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/10/2023		No of movements/supp./des				Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	20	0
орсою	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0			26 14	5
				ļ			
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts				10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	T	1-3 	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		4
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		1
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of		-			
	equivalent statu	ıs .	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	ו 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of	No		1	1			4
footbaths etc			1	J			1
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry			0	1			
code of practice	No		3]			3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
and the second second second	No		2				
				J			
					Total		25
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2023-0448		Site No:	FS0403	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)				
•	iced sea lice problems in the prev	ious 4 years?			
·	anagement Area (or equivalent) f	•	n a single y	ear class basis?	
	ccess to a range of licenced in-fee	•			
	mectin benzoate) as well as accein a reasonable period of time?	ess to suitable biological a	and/or mecl	hanical control measu	ures, and
4. Is there a signed doc Management Area (or e	umented farm management agreequivalent)?	ement or statement releva	ant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count red	cords available for inspection? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6))		
6. Do records adequate	ly reflect the required standard sp	pecified in the SSI and the	e CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anno	ex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the sug (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period	that
~	emale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) num 0/6/19) during the period that reco	•	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspe	ctorate? If no, FHI see co	omment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which is consider	ed to cause significant we	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)
	eatments been administered or otleatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is				
11. Has any other action	n been taken (where applicable)?				
12. Have therapeutic tre	eatments or the actions taken had	l a significant impact upor	n the lice lev	vels recorded?	
	ere conducted, carried out in coop		~		
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewe	r populations or part popu	ulations are	held without treatme	nt for
	fic written lice management proce calation of a sea lice infestation?	dure with waypoints desc	cribing set a	ctions to deal with re	cognised
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks reflect sea	lice count data? If no plea	ase detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspection	on				
·	ced equipment damage due to pr		•	•	N
	e to mitigate against the predation	n experienced on site? (D	Detail below)	Υ
Rat and mouse traps,	, site inside.				
If other, detail below:					
3. Have escape incider	nts or events been experienced or	n or in the vicinity of the s	ite since the	e last FHI inspection?	N N
·	stions $4 - 9$. If No skip to question	•		·	
4. Have these been rep	orted to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB forthwith (who	ere they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	.17)	
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO and local fishe	ries trusts forthwith (when	re they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover escapees? If ye	es give detail			
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoGI	eyed was this action agreed with $R = 4.4.38, 5.4.18$	ocal wild fish interests and	d was perm	ission given by Scotti	sh
, -	en to prevent and minimise the ris	k of further escapes? (No	ot covered in	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the A				
	I as satisfactory with regards to co		detail reaso	on(s)	N
	situated around the waste water p				

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2023-0448			Date of visit:	03/10/2023					
Site No:	FS0403	1		Inspector:		1				
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Date of Notification Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp						
								2 11139		
Report Summary	D (- nd -							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI, CNI Case Completion report	19/10/2023 25/01/2024									



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0449Date of Visit03/10/2023Site NoFS0403Site NameMeavag HatcheryCase No20230448Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were not available for inspection.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or the Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Mortality records for all stocks present on site were not available for inspection. Mortality records should include the number of any aquaculture animals that have died in each epidemiological unit.

- Movement records for dead fish and waste were not available for inspection. Records
 are required to include details of the movement of any aquaculture animal or any
 aquaculture animal product into or out of the area in respect of which the business
 operates.
- Transport records were not available for inspection. Records are required to detail the number of animals which die during the course of transport.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) by the 30th November 2023.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

The site has been recommended for an enhanced inspection and this will be conducted in due course.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

Date: 19/10/2023

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0449 Date of Visit 03/10/2023
Site No FS0403 Site Name Meavag Hatchery
Case No 20230448 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 30/11/2023. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 25/01/2023
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)