FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0510			Date of visit: 22/11/2023			
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Ins	spector:			
Site No: FS1086 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Geocrab Incubation Hato Bakkafrost Scotland	hery			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 6	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Site stocked 28th September 2023 with 823,580 eggs from Bencumark Genetics, Iceland. The stock have performed well since input with very little mortality being observed on site.

From the physical inspection of the stock, no clinical signs of disease were observed. Eggs have started to hatch, all alvins observed appeared healthy and were observed moving actively within the trays.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0510		Site No:	FS1086				
Date of Visit:		22/11/2023	3		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	es inspected	1
Species	SAL							
Age group	2024 Q3							
No Fish	823,530							
Mean Fish Wt	0.12g							
Next Fallow Date (S	•	12/2023		Next Input Da		09/2024		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspeech Are records compound Are movement remainder Are records compound Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd ats carried out	ectly entered le for dead fis ectly entered luctions (outv	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa ehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			22/11/2023	Y Y Y Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•		,				Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Ensiled - on site								
If other detail:			y Billie Bowie.					V
3. Mortality records		correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 3780, 0.46 % since 28/09/2023						N.I		
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:							N	
if yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per facility	reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring pariod a	hockod2					N
If yes, detail:	IT ITIOITAILLY GU	ring period ci	neckeu!					11
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?						N/A		
If yes, detail action:	anoxpiamou) i		on reported to	7.00.011111.				, ,
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	l? If no enter	details on mort	ality events sl	heet		N/A
o laro monanty of	J.110 2001110			actano on more	, 0.10 1110 01			

Treatments and Me						
1. Recent treatments	(see comment)?		Y			
If yes, detail:	Buffidine					
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records	available for inspection?		Y			
3. Are records compl	ete and correctly entered?		Y			
4. Are fish in a withdr	awal period?		N			
5. If yes, what treatm	ent(s)?					
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stor	red appropriately?		Y			
		•				
Biosecurity Record	s					
1. Biosecurity records	s available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner ar	nd frequency of mortality removal, recor	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner ar	nd period in which the APB will notify Sc	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y			
4. Has the action that	t will be taken in the event that the prese	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
·	· · ·					
6. Have the husband	rv and biosecurity measures implement	ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals						
•	ity procedures been adequately implement	ented on site?	Y			
If no, detail:	.,					
.,						
Results of Surveilla	nce					
	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of the business?	Y			
•	available for inspection?		Y			
3. Any significant res	•		N			
, ,	etailed under recent disease problems).					
jos, aotan (ii riot ac	talled arraol recent diocade problems).					
R	ecords checked between:	22/11/2023 - 22/11/2023				
	JULIUS OF TOUR OUT TOUR					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0510		Site No:	FS1086		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/11/2023 No of movements/supp./dest.						Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
opeolog	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	5
NA							2
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	Trambor or door	Site contacts	ļ		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		
uiscuscsy	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1				
	Farm is on-line	or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	1	3	6		
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	I A		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raide of the amount	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	4				
	equivalent statu	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	, 			
products		sses with other farms	3	•			3
		for waste from other farms	5				3
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No fooding of u	proctourized food	1 0]]			0
Ose of unpasteurised reeds	Feeding unpast	•	5				U
Biosecurity	r ccairig aripasi	Number of sites	ļ] 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0		2		1
		aff and equipment	0		2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2023-0510	Site No:	FS1086
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only	1	
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
	icenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in s well as access to suitable biological and/or me and of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in6. Do records adequately reflect the require	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? ((Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels b records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or riod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
· ·	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. h is considered to cause significant welfare probabilities.	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?	
•	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice l	
	ed out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations ar	re held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection	and the terms determine the comment of the second	No. of the control of
·	age due to predators in the current or previous p	
Pest control - Rent - O - Kill.	t the predation experienced on site? (Detail belo	w) 1
If other, detail below:		
3. Have ascane incidents or events been o	xperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since t	he last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk		ne last i i i inspection:
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
·	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mi	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory meas	ures of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail rea	son(s) Y
a the the inspected as satisfactory with	Togaldo to contaminant: It no, piedoc detali fed	1

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2023-0510			Date of visit:	22/11/2023	В		
Site No:	FS1086	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	30/11/2023							

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0169 **DATE OF VISIT** 22/11/2023

SITE NO FS1086 SITE NAME Geocrab Incubation Hatchery

Case No 20230510 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

R04



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30/11/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)