FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0541			Date of visit: 28/11/2023			
Time spent on site: 48	5mins	Main I	Inspector:			
Site No: FS0777 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Eilean Coltair Kames Fish Farming L	.td			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.39	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Treatment conducted end of WK 47, so mortality from this treatment will be captured in wk 48 mortality figures.

Site pushes whole cages - therefore no transport records detailed (no water exchange and no water discharged elsewhere).

Last mechnical treatments - 25/11/2023 (all pens), thermolicer

Site has since the summer been experiencing issues with jellyfish and plankton. No recent health suveillance conducted. Health team only visit when there are significant issues.

During site inspection some fish were observed with large lesions during attempts to remove, but were not able to be caught. A few moribund fish were observed but again not able to be removed. No diagnostic samples were taken.

FHI 059, Versi	ion 13		Is	ssued by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0541		Site No:	FS0777			
Date of Visit:		28/11/2023]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/	Authorisation	Details					
		mary checked	by site represe	entative?			Υ
2. Changes m	ade to details?	,					N
Site Details (i	include clean	er fish for all s	sections)				
Total No facili		3	Facilities stock	ked	3	No facilities in	nspected
Species	RTR						
Age group	2023 (spring)						
No Fish	76,721						
Mean Fish Wt	1.75g						
Next Fallow D	ate (Site)	July 2024		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Spring 2025	
Recent (last 4	, ,				Any escapes		t)?
If yes, detail:					,	(10000000000000000000000000000000000000	,
 Date of last Are records Are movem Are records Are health of Transport Re Are any modifyes, is there 	inspection: complete and cent records ava- complete and certificates for cords ecords evements carrie a system in pl	ble for inspection I correctly enter vailable for dead I correctly enter introductions (of ed out by (or or lace for mainte	red? d fish and wast red? outwith GB) ava	ailable?			03/10/2023
Mortality Red							
•		e for inspection	?		Other (detail)		
2. How are mo	· ·	nes pier and th	en taken away	via fergusons	,		
If other detail:		ico pier ana ur	on takon away	via rergasorio	•		
3. Mortality red	cords complete	e and correctly	entered?				
			2023: Wk47, (0.04%, 28; Wk	46, 0.16%, 12	2; Wk45, 0.29 ^e	%, 223; Wk44, (
4. Recent mor	• '						
		sed/atypical mo					
If yes, facility i	nos/no mortalit	ty per facility/no	stock per faci	lity/reason:			
6 Any other n	eaks in mortal	ity during perio	d checked?				
If yes, detail:	Caro III mortal	ty during perio	d officered:				
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							
If yes, detail a	` .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		en reported to	FHI? If no, ent	er details on m	nortality events	sheet.	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail: T.M.S		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S	
If other, detail:		

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

- 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
- 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?
- 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?
- 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
- 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?
- 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?


If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

03/10/2023-28/11/2023





FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI				Date of issue: 12/05/2			
Case Number:	2023-0541		Site No:	FS0777		Insp:		
Date of Visit	28/11/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26		
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0		6	10	6	
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4	
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0	
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	equivalent statu		4					
		from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0					
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	5 1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Ιο	1			0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0	
	No		2					
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM	

	FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue:	12/05/2020	
	Case No:	2023-0541		Site No:		
	Sea Lice Inspection (Seawat	er Sites Only)				
	1. Has the site experienced se	a lice problems in t	the previous 4 years?			
	2. Is the CoGP Farm Manager	ment Area (or equiv	valent) fallowed synchronously on a single year cla	ass basis?		
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of						
	4. Is there a signed documente	ed farm manageme	ent agreement or statement relevant to the site and	I CoGP Farm Management /	<u>.</u>	

5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)

- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or during the period that records are inspected?

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

- 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggest where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scel a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Rird	nets.
DIIU	HEIO.

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail

8.	. If gill ne	ts were deployed	was this action	agreed with lo	cal wild fish	interests and	was pe	ermission give	en by S	Scottish I	Ministers'	Ì
5.	.4.18)											

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could

be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	
FS0777	
	N
	N
and emamectin benzoate) as	
time?	
Area (or equivalent)?	Υ
	V
	Y Y
	T
are inspected? (CoGP Annex	N
, ,	
above (from w/b 10/6/19)	Υ
	Y
	N
ed criteria for treatment or	Υ
ou ontoine for troutmont of	
	N/A
	Υ
	Υ
?	Υ
narios during the escalation of	Υ
	V
	Υ
	N
	Υ
	N
	· ·
(Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38,	
(Logal, 0001 - 4.4.00,	
	Υ
	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by	/: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0541	Site No: FS0777		
Date of Visit: 28/11/2023	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	vithin a farm managemer	t area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreemen	ts and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agre			Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	spection?		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	t farm management area	?	Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fare	n site(s) to which it applie	s?	Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	commencement of the ag	greement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag			
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standards for th	e stocks to be introduced t	o the area or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•		
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie			
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of a	ny pen on any farm in the a	area or the Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa	-	nd disposal of any dead fis	h from any Y
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of da	ta on sea lice numbers and	d treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medi	cines on farms covered by	the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far		testing of available treatm	ents for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum		logical controls and cleane	r fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual f		ogical controls and cicane	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		treatments on farms within	the area?
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish m	ay be introduced or remov	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the movemer	t of live fish on and off site	es in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptab	ole harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
Fallowing 21 Does the FMAg/S identify the dates	s by which the area or individual farm will be fallo	ow and the earliest Y
date when a farm or area may be resto	cked?	
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the Y
_	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept?	pt on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag	gement Agreements Only ment include arrangements for persons to becor	mo or coase to be
parties to the agreement?	ment include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
 Is the fish farm being managed and What is the version no/date of issue 	d operated in accordance with the agreement or e of the FMAg/S? April 2023 V12	statement? Y

Case No:	2023-0541			Date of visit:	28/11/2023]			
Site No:	FS0777	1		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	Date of Notification				
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary			1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI, SLI	11/12/2023		z irisp						
201, 0111, 021	,								

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0134Date of Visit28/11/2023Site NoFS0777Site NameEilean ColtairCase No20230541Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• One movement of fish was not recorded on the site's movement records. Amended records were received. No further action required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 11/12/2023