FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0025			Date of visit: 06/02/2024
Time spent on site:	3 Hours	Main Insp	pector:
Site No:FS1291Business No:FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Caolas A Deas Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: W-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	ed?	N If yes, see additional N If yes, see additional N	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected in overcast weather and high winds, sea state was choppy with poor visability.

4 moribund fish were observed across the site during the inspection, physical damage was observed on these fish, a likely result from recent crowding operations during harvest.

The site is nearing the end of its production cycle with a targetted fallow date in April 2024. Between weeks 37 and 46 of 2023 the site experianced a prologned period of increase mortality above 1% per week. This mortality was attributed to CGD combined with low oxygen and environmental events. The health of the stock has improved onsite with December 2023 and Januray 2024 sustaining much lower levels of site mortality. Mortality in week 3 2024 was elevated at 1.12% due to treatment loss following a thermolicer treatment.

The site last treated with freshwater, followed by FLS at the end of November 2023.

Mortalities are ensiled onsite, ensiled waste collected by whiteshore cockles.

Cleanerfish mortality - Lumpfish : Week 5 (346, 0.73%), Week 4 (235, 0.49%), Week 3 (251, 0.53%), Week 2 (485, 1%). Wrasse : Week 5 (202, 0.42%), Week 4 (120, 0.24%), Week 3 (180, 0.36%), Week 2 (371, 0.73%)

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0025]	Site No:	FS1291]			
Date of Visit:		06/02/2024	4		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Y N	
Ũ								
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis			akad	7		a increased	2
Total No facilities Species	SAL	8 LUM	Facilities sto WRS	оскеа	1	NO TACILITIE	s inspected	3
Age group	2022 Q4	2022	2022	-				
No Fish	2022 Q4 429,521	50,836	40,278					
Mean Fish Wt	429,521 4.8kg	120g	40,278 90g					
Next Fallow Date (S		April 2024	90 <u>9</u>	Next Input Da	te (Site)	November	2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						(
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement records 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certificat Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a 2. How are mortalities If other detail:	s available fo ction: lete and corr cords availab lete and corr ates for intro- nts carried ou tem in place	ectly entered ble for dead fis ectly entered ductions (out t by (or on be for maintenar	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa ehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi		site	24/10/2023	Y Y Y N/A N
			10					V
3. Mortality records of	complete and	a correctly ent		04 0 050() 14	aal: 1 (050 0	100() \\		Y
4. Recent mortality (2 (791, 0.15	684, 0.35%), W %)	eek 4 (859, 0. ⁻	19%), Weeł	3 (5,252, 1.12	2%), Week
5. Evidence of recen		••		,			L	Y
If yes, facility nos/no		racility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
See additional inform 6. Any other peaks in		uring pariod a	hockod2					N
If yes, detail:	I monality ut	aning period c	neckeu?					IN
7. Have increased (u	(nexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:								1.1/1
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	leet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Q4 2023 - Complex gill disease.	
Records checked between: 15/09/2021 - 06/02/2024	

F۲	H 059, Version 13							lssu	ued by: F	ΉI			
	Case no:	2024-00	25	Site No:	Γ	FS1291			Date of v	visit/	06/0	02/2024	06/(
	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:00	0:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [10
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sar	nples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
_	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1							_				
	Pool Group	0.41											
	Species	SAL			_				_				
	Average weight Sex	5kg N/A							_				
	Water Type	SW							_				
			_								_		
		Glenfinnan (FS0742)											
		Р. К.											
s) L											
tail		BUL											
De		enfii											
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Gle											
Sto	Facility No	7											


)2/2024	Addition	hal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
					2					
0		Total To	ests ass	ligned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date t	J 13300	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0025		Site No:	FS1291		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/02/2024		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of dest		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	:	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of Is	4				
	_	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	0	[0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		14 LOW

2024-0025

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0025	Site	No: FS1291	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ec 3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as w	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a si nced in-feed and bath sea lice medicatio	ns (including deltamethrin,	N Y Y
can these be deployed in a reasonable period		the site and CoCD Form	V
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	-		Ť
 Are sea lice count records available for insp Do records adequately reflect the required s 		GP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in	the CoGP during the period that	Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	· ·	³ 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which i	•		Y N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. et</i>	longatus is considered to have welfare im	plications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where applied to the section of the sections)12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried to the section of the section of	taken had a significant impact upon the out in cooperation between participating f	lice levels recorded? arms?	N/A Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in		g set actions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please d	etail reasons.	Y
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damag 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against th Top nets, seal pro nets			N Y
If other, detail below:			
 Have escape incidents or events been experience If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip Have these been reported to Scottish Minist Have these been reported to local DSFB for Have these been reported to the SSPO and 	to question 10 ters? thwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.3	37, 5.4.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail		
 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minin be considered under satisfactory measure 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with respected as satisfactory with respe	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not cov es of the Act)	ered in code but could	Y



FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	dual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow	v and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	es covered by the Y
-	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and c 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or st of the FMAg/S? 24/11/2023	atement?

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0025]		Date of visit:	06/02/2024]		
Site No:	FS1291]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
		-						
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
	40/00/00004							

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD	13/02/2024		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0119

 SITE NO
 FS1291

 CASE NO
 20240025

DATE OF VISIT06/02/2024SITE NAMECaolas A DeasINSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 13/02/2024

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)