

if visit:

27/02/2024

5 completed

CoGP MA: O-2

re sheet.

re sheet.

re sheet.

**Additional Case Information:**

Site transitioning from 12 100m to 9 120m - only 4 x 120m circles on site right now.

Fish arrived on site 20th September 2023

Treatments this cycle: Just finished SLICE - 13th-19th Feb. Freshwater 11th Dec AGD.

Last cycles treatments: Paramove (14-16th July then 11-12 Sep 2022) , SLICE (8-14th August 2022), thermolicer (Nov/Dec 2022)

WRS mortality: In 2024 up to wk5 only 64 fish. End of last year WRS mortality was larger due to freshwater treatment Wk 44 - 118 , wk45 - 275, wk46 - 364, wk47 - 156, wk48 - 233, wk49 - 90, wk50 - 921, wk51 - 78.

WRS stocked 27/10/2023 (total 3394) and then 3 small shipments in November 2023 (1395). Large wrasse mortality during wk50 2023 (921 fish, approx. 26%) due to freshwater treatment on site. Wrasse were put through with the salmon during the freshwater treatment due to the need to also be treated for AGD. As per the company's cleanerfish booklet the freshwater treatments were shortened to 3 hours to try minimise any mortality.

Sealice: Last cycle Adult females were above 1 between Wk3-Wk9 2023 (Thermolicer in week 10) and then Wk22 2023 and above 2 (2.07) in Wk23 2023 - fish had CMS and lice levels were 0.9 in wk24 due to harvesting out of fish.

FMA going to be reviewed in April. The FMA is not fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis but an appropriate risk assessment exists.

The 9 fish taken for VMD samples appeared healthy both internally and externally. Sealice levels observed were low apart from two gravids observed on one fish from cage 9.

Due to bad weather (high winds and large waves) only small sections of each pen were observed from the walkway - the rest was observed from the site workboat. Fish were observed shoaling slightly deeper In the cage. Fish had a good feeding response.

Remote inspection for the majority of the paperwork was conducted on 21/02/2024 with the rest including the physical inspection of the site and VMD samples taken on 27/02/2024.

Case No: 2024-0049

Site No: FS1294

Date of Visit: 27/02/2024

Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?  
2. Changes made to details?

Y  
Y

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	4	Facilities stocked	4	No facilities inspected	4
Species	SAL	WRS			
Age group	23S0	Wild			
No Fish	516,236	Approx. 2200			
Mean Fish Wt	572.6	200g			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	July 2025	Next Input Date (Site)	October 2025		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	Any escapes (since last visit)?		Y
If yes, detail:	Three potential escapes in October 2022 - all due to predators in pens however all final escape notifications noted that no fish escaped.				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?  
2. Date of last inspection:  
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Y  
15/06/2022  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
N/A

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Y  
Y

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?  
2. How are mortalities disposed of?  
If other detail: Keenans Recycling (Near Aberdeen)  
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?  
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):  
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:  
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?  
If yes, detail: WRS mortality see additional details  
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?  
If yes, detail action:  
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Y  
Other (detail)  
Y  
SAL: Wk5: 0.24% (1231 fish), Wk6: 0.08% (420 fish), Wk7: 0.05% (235 fish),  
Wk 8: 0.02% (121 fish)  
N/A  
Y  
N/A  
Y

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  Y  
 If yes, detail: SLICE

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?  Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?  Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?  Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how and when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?  Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?  Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?  Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?  Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  Y

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?  Y

3. Any significant results?  Y

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Paramoeba perurans detected in 3/6 gill swabs.

Create observation

Case no:	2024-0049	Site No:	FS1294	Date of visit/ Sampling:	27/02/2024	27/02/2024
Priority samples:	VI	BA	PA	MG	HI	
Time sampling starts/ends:	10:05:00	10:30:00		Inspector:		VMD No.
Environmental conditions:	1 Windy	2 Cloudy	3 Wet	4	5	
Summary samples	HIST	BA	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No											
Fish nos	1-3	4-6	7-9								
Pool Group											
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL								
Average weight	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730								
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A								
Water Type	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Barcaldine (FS1328)	Barcaldine (FS1328)	Barcaldine (FS1328)							
Stock Origin											
Facility No	4	8	9								

02/2024 Additional Sample Information:

0

Total Tests assigned 0

0

Case Number:	2024-0049	Site No:	FS1294	Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/02/2024	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10
<b>Exposure via water</b>		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			0
	Common processes with other farms	3			
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			
<b>Biosecurity</b>		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			
		Total			
		Rank			
			17		
			<b>MEDIUM</b>		

Case No:

2024-0049

Site No:

FS1294

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?  N

2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?  N

3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?  Y

4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?  Y

5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)  Y

6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)  Y

7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)  N

8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?  Y

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)  N

10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)  Y

11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?  N/A

12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?  Y

13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?  Y

14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?  Y

15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?  Y

16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.  Y

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?  Y

2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  Y

Bird nets, bird poles, Sapphire seal pro nets, weighted nets with froyer ring.

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?  Y

If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10

4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?  Y

5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)  N/A

6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)  N/A

7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail  N/A

8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)  N/A

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)  Nets were upgraded to Seal Pro Nets

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)  Y

Case No: 2024-0049

Site No: FS1294

Date of Visit: 27/02/2024

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement or statement?
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Y  
Y  
Y  
Y  
Y

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Y  
Y

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?  Y

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?  Y

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?  Y

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?  Y

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?  Y

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?  Y

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?  Apr-23

Case No: 2024-0049

Date of visit: 27/02/2024

Site No: **FS1294**

Inspector: [REDACTED]

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No** FB0125  
**SITE No** FS1294  
**CASE No** 20240049

**DATE OF VISIT** 27/02/2024  
**SITE NAME** Wyre  
**INSPECTOR** [REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 13/03/2024

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](https://www.gov.scot)

R25