FHI 059, Version 13	!	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2024-0117			Date of visit: 25/04/2024			
Time spent on site:	.5hrs	Main Insp	ector:			
Site No: FS0598 Business No: FB0007	Site Name: Business Name:	Trossachs Trout Ltd Invicta Trout Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 9.8	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: CE	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

#### **Additional Case Information:**

No treatments conducted since the last inspection.

Mortalities for the site are low, with predation as main cause. The site is covered with bird netting and there is a perimeter fence buried underground (some of it electrified). These are checked and repaired daily.

Water quality on site was clear and the majority of fish observed appeared in good condition with strong feeding response. Very little external damage observed. Some dead fish were present but numbers were low.

Site now only used for restocking purposes.

Case inspection carried out by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0117		Site No:	FS0598				
Date of Visit:		25/04/2024	]		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ils summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		33	Facilities sto	cked	24	No facilitie	s inspected	33
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR					
Age group	2021	2022	2023					
No Fish	48,000	48,000	70,000					
Mean Fish Wt	500g	160g	10g					
Next Fallow Date (Si		No fallow	<u>-</u>	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	June 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks) o	•	ems?			Any escapes	(since last)	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					, ,	`	,	
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		поросиот.					24/11/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	•				2 11 1 11 2022	N
4. Are movement red		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp								Ÿ
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
Transport Records							'	
•	te parried out	by (or on bo	half) of the hi	icinoss (not usi	ing a STR\2			
<ol> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a syst</li> </ol>					_			
ii yes, is there a syst	em in place i	or maintenan	ce or transpor	rtation records	•			
Mortality Records							,	
Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	s disposed of	f?			Whole fish -	Dundas Che	emicals	
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent	ared?					
3. Wortainly records t	complete and	correctly ente		(0.000/) M//	45 04 (0.050	() MIZ 4.4	00 (0.050() )	NIZ 40 70
4. Recent mortality (I	ast 4 wks):		(0.04%).	5 (0.06%), WK	15 - 91 (0.05%	%), VVK 14 -	80 (0.05%), V	VK 13 - 73
5. Evidence of recen	t increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			'	
			<u> </u>					
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					Y
If yes, detail: PKD August 2023, mortalities slightly increased but still well below the threshold.								
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

To the out of Madicines Beauty						
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N				
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		N/A				
Are records complete and correctly entered?		14/7				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A				
o. Are medianes stored appropriately.						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify So	-					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pres						
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement	ited between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, v						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behalf of, the business?	N				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between:	24/11/2022 - 25/04/2024					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	i issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2024-0117 Site No: FS059				S0598 Insp:			
Date of Visit	25/04/2024		No of movements/supp./dest. Score				Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0	
opesies .	Number of supp	cluding third country	0			14	0	
Marramanta aff			I 0			10	10	
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	10	
Exposure via water	Trainisor or door	Site contacts			6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1	
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0	
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
		from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4					
		from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	Ī			0	
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3					
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	Ī			0	
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0	
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0	
footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0	
	No		2					
					Total Rank		21 MEDIUM	

Case No:	2024-0117	Site No: FS0598				
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?  2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?  3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?						
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	_	ent agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm				
	·	tion? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ndard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)				
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	*	the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that				
		is) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) on at records are inspected?	or			
-	•	th Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.				
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which is c	considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)				
•		ed or other actions taken when $L$ . salmonis levels have exceeded the gatus is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)				
•	on been taken (where appli					
		ken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?				
		in cooperation between participating farms?				
sea lice?	ig strategy for the site, whe	ere fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for				
	ific written lice manageme scalation of a sea lice infes	nt procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognise station?	d			
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks refl	ect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.				
Containment Inspect						
· ·	· ·	lue to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	Υ			
	ce to mitigate against the p	predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y			
Screens, If other, detail below:						
		netting, fencing around perimeter. Mink traps deployed occasionally. Pes	t control.			
2. Have essent incide	nto ar avanta haan avnaria	enced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	INI			
•	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14			
	ported to Scottish Ministers					
		vith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)				
•		cal fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)				
7 More methods (if an	w) used to recever essane	os2 If you give detail				
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escape	es? II yes give detail				
8. If gill nets were depl Ministers? (Legal, CoG		d with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish				
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could						
	r satisfactory measures					
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with regar	rds to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y			

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

		_				_			
Case No:	2024-0117			Date of visit:	25/04/2024				
6'' N	500500								
Site No:	FS0598			Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
results cultillary	r req.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
						J		2 11135	
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
ECI, CNI	30/04/2024	шър	Z insp						
201, 0111	00/01/2021								

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0007 Date of Visit 25/04/2024

SITE NO FS0598 SITE NAME Trossachs Trout Ltd

CASE NO 20240117 INSPECTOR

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Although the movement records were complete, FIS numbers were missing from some individual entries. FHI explained that these should be included for every entry to facilitate contact tracing in the event of a disease outbreak. Site manager has agreed to record FS and FIS numbers for all entries going forward. No further action will be taken on this occasion. These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

Date: 30/04/2024