FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2024-0176			Date of visit: 05/06/2024					
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:					
Site No: FS0180 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Earn Dawnfresh Farming Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 11.8	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed N/A					
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:						

Additional Case Information:

Stock all triploid rainbow trout. Currently taking inputs - pen towed to pier and left for 4 days until complete. Stocking density average 7.3kg/m3, with highest pen at 12.7kg/m3.

No health issues on site.

Main cause of mortality is predation (otters all year round and cormorants in winter) and runts/deformities - no significant peaks during period checked.

Tricaine is used on site but only for lethal doses. No treatments carried out.

The general population of fish on site appeared in good health and actively shoaling in the pens. Minor signs of damage from the otter predation observed in a small number of fish. Some runts observed actively swimming in the pens. One dead fish seen across the whole site. The fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and upon internal examination, with feed in the stomach.

Inspection and sampling conducted by solution observed and audited by

Due to being under movement restrictions the surveillance frequency for this site is high.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2024-0176		Site No:	FS0180					
Date of Visit:		05/06/2024	4		Inspector(s)	:		ı	
Registration/Autho								_	
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)						
Total No facilities		17	Facilities sto	cked	15	No facilitie	s inspected	1/	
Species	RTR	RTR							
Age group	2024	2023							
No Fish	144,250	58,278							
Mean Fish Wt	700g	2.4kg							
Next Fallow Date (S		No fallow		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Ongoing			
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escape		visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	<u> </u>						,		
4. Are movement red 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certification Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system.	lete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	ectly entered' luctions (outv	? with GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			Y Y N/A	
Mortality Records	nveileble for i								
 Mortality records a How are mortalities 		•			Biogas - Ba	rkin		'	
If other detail:	s disposed o	1 :			Diogas - Dai	кір			
	omplete and	correctly ont	orod?						
3. Mortality records (correctly ent			4.50/				
4. Recent mortality (•	t miss! marts		month of May	1.5%			N	
5. Evidence of recer		••		draaaan:				N	
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per facility	reason:					
6 Any other neeks is	n mortality du	ring pariod a	anakad?					N	
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ci	neckeu?					N	
If yes, detail:	un aven la im a all			a vet ex EUIO				NI/A	
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:			10.16 1	-1-4-11-	4-1:4	L 4		N/A	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N
If yes, detail:		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	_
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of ar	nv
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dis	sease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or high	ner Y
health status, certification if required)?	
C. Have the hyphandry and his county management insulance and between each enidencial smit to maining	oio o
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minim	ilse
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	,
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	,
ii no, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	·
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yoo, dotaii (ii not dotaiied diidei redent diaedae problema).	
Records checked between: 16/11/2023 - 05/06/2024	

FHI 059, Version 13						issued by: FHI							
	Case no:	2024-01	176	Site No:		FS0180			Date of v		05/0	06/2024	05/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	J. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	0:00	14:1	5:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No).	1
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	=	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1					\neg		\neg				
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR					$\overline{}$						
	Average weight	600g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	FW											
							- 1						
		808					- 1						
		306					- 1		- 1				
<u>(7)</u>		Kinnaird FS0508					- 1		- 1				
Details		aird					- 1		- 1				
		nna					- 1		- 1				
SC	Stock Origin												
S	Facility No	A5											

06/2024 Additional Sample Information:															
	Lethal dose of tricaine administered.														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0176		Site No:	FS0180		Insp:	
Date of Visit	05/06/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
M			l 0				
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0			10 10	0
Exposure via water	rtuilibor or doo	Site contacts	0	1-5			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect	red (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1		-		<u>'</u>
		n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V		3	ь		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	r from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
		taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		2
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2024-0176		Site No: FS0180	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
•	•	ns in the previous 4 years?		
	•	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on	• •	
	•	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medic		
can these be deployed		_	and/or mechanical control measures, an	a
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		gement agreement or statement releva	ant to the site and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for in	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the require	d standard specified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		elow the suggested criteria for treatmen	nt in the CoGP during the period that	
		nlmonis) numbers per fish been at a lew riod that records are inspected?	vel of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o	r
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see con	mment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which	h is considered to cause significant we	lfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
•		nistered or other actions taken when <i>L.</i> elongatus is considered to have welfar	salmonis levels have exceeded the re implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where	applicable)?		
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significant impact upon	the lice levels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carrie	d out in cooperation between participat	ting farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site	, where fewer populations or part popu	lations are held without treatment for	
15. Is there a site speci scenarios during the es		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ribing set actions to deal with recognise	d
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no plea	ase detail reasons.	
Containment Inspecti	on			
•		age due to predators in the current or p	•	N
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate agains	the predation experienced on site? (De	etail below)	Υ
If other, detail below:				
		ouble skinned nets for top 1.5m.	to since the lest Ellinenestian	l) i
•		operienced on or in the vicinity of the sit	te since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que 4. Have these been rep		• •		
•		forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – -	4.4.27 5.4.17)	\vdash
•		nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where		
o. Have these been rep	offed to the SSFO a	ind local listleties trusts forthwith (where	e tiley exist)? (COGF = 4.4.37, 3.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	v) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail		
in all all	,,			
8. If gill nets were deplo	oved was this action	agreed with local wild fish interests and	was permission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG			,	
, -		nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not	t covered in code but could	
be considered under	•			
	•	regards to containment? If no, please of	detail reason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0176			Date of visit	05/06/2024	<u>+</u>]				
Site No:	FS0180	1		Inspector						
		1								
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
	+	<u> </u>								
	1									
	+									
	+									
	1									
	+									
Report Summary				1						
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD	10/06/2024									
	+									
	1									
	I									

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0456 DATE OF VISIT 05/06/2024 SITE NO FS0180 SITE NAME Loch Earn

CASE NO 20240176 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory. R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>