FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2024-0180			Date of visit: 11/06/2024			
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main In	spector:			
Site No: FS1307 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Wrasse Broodstock Unit Mowi Scotland Ltd	i			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.7	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-49			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

## **Additional Case Information:**

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

Stock held in a recirculation system, that has a sand filter and UV filtration.

Fish were in good condition and had no disease problems. Site have not had any issues with sea lice due to filtration system.

Inspection and case paperwork conducted by supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0180		Site No:	FS1307				
Date of Visit:		11/06/202	24		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by	site represent	tative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities st	ocked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	Wrasse	Wrasse	Wrasse	1			I	
Age group	2019	2013	2012				<del>                                     </del>	
No Fish	894	60	247				<del>                                     </del>	
Mean Fish Wt	489g	779g	1020g					
Next Fallow Date (S		N/A	10209	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Not known		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>(</b>	,	
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records  1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?								
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?					Γ	Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Frozen onsi	te then picke	ed up Denholm	n environmental				
3. Mortality records	•	correctly er	ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (	•			last 4 weeks - 1				
<ol><li>Evidence of recer</li></ol>		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no s	tock per facilit	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	iring period	checked?					N
	If yes, detail:							
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?  N/A								
If yes, detail action:	onto' boon "	ported to El	JI2 If no onto	dotaile en ment	ality avanta al	oot.		N/A
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A								

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  If yes, detail:  O4/06/2024 Formalin Pyceze  If other, detail:  2. Medicines records available for inspection?  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  5. If yes, what treatment(s)?  If other, detail:						
If other, detail:  2. Medicines records available for inspection?  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other detail:						
ii otiler, detaii.						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?     Y						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?						
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: \$26/08/2021 - 11/06/2024						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0180		Site No:	FS1307		Insp:	
Date of Visit	11/06/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	C	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Mayananta aff							2
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	3
Exposure via water	Transpor or doo	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through	_				
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	•	C				0
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	•	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstrean	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	С	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10	)			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	C	]			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	3			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	<u> </u>			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		С	)			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	)			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes			J			
i lationii access to cages	No		2				U
	1			1			
					Total		11
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0180	Site No: FS	1307	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previou  2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallo  3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a  azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access  can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single year on a single year on the sealice medications (including	ng deltamethrin,	A
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreem Management Area (or equivalent)?	ent or statement relevant to the site a	nd CoGP Farm Y	
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Lega 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard speci	•	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the sugges records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatment in the CoGP	during the period that N//	A
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		e (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N//	A
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspecto 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered		? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is co		? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a second treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperate. 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer personners.	tion between participating farms?	N//	A A
sea lice?  15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedur	re with waypoints describing set action	ns to deal with recognised Y	
scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	account data? If no places datail record	ons. N//	<u> </u>
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail reaso	IIS.	Α
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation e  tanks indoors  If other, detail below:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tion cycles?	
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since the las	t FHI inspection?	
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 104. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	E	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail		
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with loca Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)  9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk obe considered under satisfactory measures of the Act	f further escapes? (Not covered in co		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta		) Y	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0180 Sit	te No: FS1307	
Date of Visit: 11/06/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completio	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	nagement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreen		d?
<ol><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for inspeta.</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa</li></ol>		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant ia	_	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cor		ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	riew?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum I farm?	health standards for the stocks to be introc	duced to the area or
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	n requirements for stocks held in the area	or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	of fish which may be stocked into the area	or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximun individual farm?	n stocking density of any pen on any farm	in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	nents for the storage and disposal of any d	lead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of S		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	s for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit	ty and the use of medicines on farms cove	red by the agreement
of statement? 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	pents for the sensitivity testing of available	treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	ances under which biological controls and	cleaner fish are to be Y s within the area?
used on farms in the area or individual farm 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		s within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	ances when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the
area or farm?	pents for the movement of live fish on and	
<ol> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?</li> </ol>	ients for the movement of live lish on and	on sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto roodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 11/10/2023	r statement?

		_				_		
Case No:	2024-0180			Date of visit:	11/06/2024			
Site No:	FS1307	ı		Inspector				
One 140.	101307			mapector.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ite of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
Report Summary								
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	19/06/2024							

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No** FB0119 **DATE OF VISIT** 11/06/2024

SITE NO FS1307 SITE NAME Wrasse Broodstock Unit

CASE NO 20240180 INSPECTORS

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Movement records were not maintained correctly. Movements between Larval Rearing Unit (FS1046) and the Wrasse Broodstock Unit had not been recorded since last inspection. Movements between sites should be recorded within 24 hours. The movement records were updated at the time of inspection. No further action required.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Use of medicines were not recorded correctly. Records must include;
  - Name of product,
  - Date of administration.
  - Quantity administered,
  - o Withdrawal period,
  - Identification of animals treated.
- Use of anaesthetic for euthanasia should also be recorded.

Medicine records will be inspected during the next scheduled inspection to ensure records are being maintained correctly.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)