FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2024-0392			Date of visit: 08/10/2024						
Time spent on site:	7 Hours	Main Insp	ector:						
Site No: FS0629	Site Name:	Poll Na Gille							
Business No: FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 SLI 3 CNI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 13.53	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A						
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-40						
Dead/weak/abnormally behavio	ng fish present?	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.						
Clinical signs of disease obser	ved?	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet.						
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
Diagnostic samples taken?		N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paperwork completed by conducted inspector competency audit.

Cleanerfish Mortality last four weeks:

Lumpfish: Week 40 (227, 0.23%), Week 39 (930, 0.92%), Week 38 (1,422, 1.39%), Week 37 (1,089, 1.05%) Wrasse: Week 40 (417, 0.92%), Week 39 (264, 0.56%), Week 38 (286, 0.60%), Week 37 (381, 0.79%)

Site visited in a calm sea state and clear sunny weather providing good visibility of the stocks during the inspection of the site. Fish were observed to be in good condition and shoaling well. No clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection of the site. 3 fish were observed with some physical damage, likely attributed to recent freshwater treatments. 2 fish were observed with predator damage to the flanks.

Within the last four weeks the site has seen a slight increase in AGD scores, in response to this the site is currently treating with Freshwater and has also had a round of hydrogen peroxide.


Sea lice levels on the site were low, the site is stocked with a mix of wild caught (mixed species wrasse), farmed ballan wrasse and lumpfish. Clearnerfish were observed in each pen and appeared to be a healthy condition.


3 fish were removed form the site for VMD sampling and all appeared healthy internally and externally.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issue	d by: FHI		D	ate of issue: 12	2/05/2020		
Case No:	2024-039	2	Site No:	FS0629	9				
Date of Visit:		08/10/2024	1		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Authorisation Details									
 Business/site details summary chec Changes made to details? 	ked by site	representati	ve?						
		,							
Site Details (include cleaner fish for Total No facilities	all section	ns) 12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilities i			
Species	SAL	LUM	WRS	CRCG		140 lacinues i			
Age group	2024 Q2		2024						
No Fish	882,384	100,105	47,200						
Mean Fish Wt	1.8kg	100g	50g						
Next Fallow Date (Site)	g	08/2025	9	Next Input L	ate (Site)	12/2025			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems	?					(since last vis			
If yes, detail:	AGD								
2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?									
Mortality Records									
 Mortality records available for inspection. 	ction?								
2. How are mortalities disposed of?					Other (detail))			
If other detail:			cted by Billy E	Bowie and Ho	rdafor.				
3. Mortality records complete and corr	ectly entere	ed?							
4. Percent mortality (last 4 w/ks):						0.10%), Week			
 Recent mortality (last 4 wks): Evidence of recent increased/atypic 	al mortalitie	ac2	37 (000, 0.1)	0%) See add	ilional informa	tion for cleane			
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facil			eason.						
y = 1, tability file the file that it is	, 0.0010	- por isomey/it							
6. Any other peaks in mortality during	period ched	cked?							
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?									
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality events' been reporte	ed to FHI? I	f no, enter de	etails on morta	ality events sh	neet.				

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	Hydrogen Peroxide
If other, detail:	T.M.S
2. Medicines records available for inspe	ection?
3. Are records complete and correctly e	entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for insp	
•	ortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
•	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increase
(unexplained) mortality at the site been	
	e event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is d
been included and how and when that	
•	animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health s
certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and hiosecurity	measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transn
	aff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
•	the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture ar
on site?	The measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture at
8. Have the biosecurity procedures bee	n adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:	n adoquately implomented on one.
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance b	een carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspec	etion?
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recen	nt disease problems).

Records checked between: 08/06/2022 - 08/10/2024





П	HI 059, Version 13							155	uea by: FF	11			
	Case no:	2024-03	392	Site No:		FS0629			Date of vis Sampling:		08/	10/2024	08/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	camping.	н			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [19
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	1.8kg	1.8kg	1.8kg									
	Sex	N/A		N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Loch Arkaig FS0649)	Loch Arkaig FS0649)	Loch Arkaig FS0649)									
ţ,	Facility No	3	11	12									

10/2024 Additional Sample Information:														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0392		Site No:	FS0629		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/10/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		I 0			10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		2
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish equivalent statu	4					
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		26 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0392	Site No:
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems i	n the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	ear class basis?
Does the site have access to a range of licer as well as access to suitable biological and/or range.	· ·	·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manager	nent agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm Management /
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required st	tandard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that records
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salme</i> during the period that records are inspected?	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administ where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfa		levels have exceeded the suggest
11. Has any other action been taken (where ap	plicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried of	out in cooperation between participating farms?	>
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, w	here fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for sea lice
15. Is there a site specific written lice managen a sea lice infestation?	nent procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised sce
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons.

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Bird nets, Tensioned nets

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could

be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

' (Legal, CoGP - 4.4.38,

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0392	Site No: FS0629	
Date of Visit: 08/10/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located w	ithin a farm management area?	· ·
If N, no further questions require comple	· ·	Ť
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for instance4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed?
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	e ment m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	tion requirements for stocks held in the area is of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
	ements for the storage and disposal of any m?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	f Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	oility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 28/02/2024	statement? Y

Site No: FS0629

Case No: 2024-0392

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2024-0392			Date of visit:	08/10/2024					
Site No:	FS0629			Inspector:						
DH- C	I			D-	ate of Notification					
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
		Database	шор	THORE	ПЭР	vviiding	шэр	Z IIISP		
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	17/10/2024									
Case Completion	07/05/2025									



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 08/10/2024

 SITE NO
 FS0629
 SITE NAME
 Poll Na Gille

 CASE NO
 20240392
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25



Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, containment and escapes.

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the point that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 17/11/2024. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 16/10/2024



Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement:

• The management of parasites⁽²⁾
This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments.



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 08/10/2024

 SITE NO
 FS0629
 SITE NAME
 Poll Na Gille

 CASE NO
 20240392
 INSPECTOR

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 17/11/2024. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 07/05/2025