FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0395			Date of visit: 09/10/2024
Time spent on site:	hours	Main	Inspector:
Site No: FS0875 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Walters (East Lismore Scottish Sea Farms Lt	,
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.42	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additio	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Last visit 30/03/2022

Mortality events reported since last inspection:

18/07/22 - 1.4% - GH

25/07/2022 - 5.9% - GH BD

01/08/2022 - 11.4% - GH BD

08/08/2022 - 2.1% - GH BD

No recent disease problems but reported low CT values for paramoeba perurans which developed quickly. FW treatments administered to help prevent onset of AGD.

Mortalities incinerated on site which ash disposed of through domestic waste.

Mortality within 2022 stock - peaks as detailed above and reported to FHI. Associated with gill health but also bacterial disease believed to be furunculosis, although testing undertaken was negative for A. salmonicida.


Weekly Optomease treatments for gill scores and lice counts. Recent FW treatments for gill health. Slice treatment whole site-last treatment 25/09/24 to 01/10/24 - withdrawal period ended 8/10/2024.


Occasional dead fish observed. Staff unable to remove mortalities due to weather on day of visit. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Small number of runts observed across the site.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue
Case No:	2024-0395	]	Site No:	FS0875	]		
Date of Visit:		09/10/2024	]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho							
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ
2. Changes made to	details?						N
Site Details (include	a claanar fis	sh for all soct	ions)				
Total No facilities	e Cicanei III	7	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	sinspected
Species	A. salmon	Wrasse	r dominos sto	<u> </u>		110 Idomilios	пороской
Age group	2024 - Q2	2024 - wild					
No Fish	561,048	20,287					
Mean Fish Wt	1.29kg	107g					
Next Fallow Date (Si		End October	2024	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	? Possible	Q2 2026
Recent (last 4 wks) o	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	risit)?
If yes, detail:							
Movement Records							
1. Movement records		or inspection?					
2. Date of last inspec		·					30/03/2022
3. Are records comp		rectly entered?	•				
4. Are movement red	cords availab	ole for dead fis	h and waste?				
5. Are records comp	lete and cori	rectly entered?	•				
<ol><li>Are health certification</li></ol>	ates for intro	ductions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?			
Transport Records							
1. Are any movemen	its carried ou	ut by (or on bel	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?		
If yes, is there a syst		• ,	*	,	•		
M							
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records a	vailable for	inenaction?					
2. How are mortalitie					Incinerated -	on site	
If other detail:	o alopooca (	51.			momerated	OH Sito	
3. Mortality records of	complete and	d correctly ente	ered?				
4. Recent mortality (I		<b>,</b>		0.22; 39 - 0.16	: 38 - 0.21: 37	7 - 0.17. 41 -	0.05 to insp.
5. Evidence of recen		atypical mortal		0.22, 00 0.10	, 00 0.2., 0.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.00 100
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:			
	• •	·					
<ol><li>Any other peaks ir</li></ol>	n mortality d	uring period ch	ecked?				
If yes, detail:	see addition	nal information					
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)		•				
If yes, detail action:		Industry vete		•			
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.	

12/05/2020

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: Optomease (benzocaine); FW; Slice
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Positive PCR - Paramoeba - see addit
Down lead and a 11 of the second section 1 of the section 1 of th
Records checked between: 30/03/2022 to inspection date





гг	11 059, Version 15							155	ueu by.	ГП			
	Case no:	2024-03	395	Site No:		FS0875			Date of Samplin		09/	10/2024	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampili	ig. HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	00:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:		1	VMD No	0.	6
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2	Windy	3	Dry	4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	amples
Ad	dd Fish/Pools - click												
		<b>-</b> 4	F0										
	Fish nos	F1	F2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight		1.2900										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Barcaldine	Barcaldine										
(0	racility NO	4	4										

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0395		Site No:	FS0875		Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/10/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	0
орсою	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
							- 10
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	10
Exposure via water	Inumber of des	Site contacts				10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	. <u> </u>	I-3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing waters	plant discharging into adjacent	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
i lationii access to cages	No		0				0
	140			J			
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0395	Site No:	FS0875
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only  1. Has the site experienced sea lice proble		N
	r equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	rear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of	licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incas well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	agement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
Do records adequately reflect the require	ed standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels becords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
<ol> <li>Have average adult female sea lice (L. s</li> <li>or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe</li> </ol>	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a period that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	h Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	ch is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
•	inistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> and the considered to have welfare implications.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	e applicable)?	Y
	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	ed out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the sit sea lice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	agement procedure with waypoints describing set a e infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stoc	cks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		N. N. A.
	nage due to predators in the current or previous prost the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
oird nets; double net at surface / water		1
f other, detail below:	,,,	
3. Have escape incidents or events been e	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No s		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mil		N/A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	
5. Have these been reported to the SSPO a	and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17) N/A
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover e	scapees? If yes give detail	N/A
	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perm	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	iniming the right of fruth as a second O (A) at a second	N/A
	ninimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory meas	regards to containment? If no, please detail reasons	on(s)
with the the moperate as satisfactory with	Trogando to contaminent: Il no, picase detail reast	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0395	Site No: FS0875	
Date of Visit: 09/10/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require compl	letion.	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agr</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for ir</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far</li> </ol>	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or state	red? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be intr	roduced to the area or Y
•	ation requirements for stocks held in the are	
	ies of fish which may be stocked into the are mum stocking density of any pen on any fare	
	gements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	y dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement of 13.	of Sea Lice nents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	nbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa agreement of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the
•	irements for the sensitivity testing of availab arms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur used on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls ar farms?	nd cleaner fish are to be Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements	gements for synchronous treatments on far	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on the agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto si	ites covered by
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becom	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? issue date 15/072024	statement? Y

Casa Nai	2024 0205			Data af dia	::- 00/40/2	024				
Case No:	2024-0395	J		Date of VIS	sit: 09/10/2	024				
Site No:	FS0875	]		Inspecto	or:					
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification							
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Ins		
	+									
	1									
	+									
	+									
			_							
	+									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp							
ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD	15/01/2025									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	+									
	i									



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS NO** FB0125 **DATE OF VISIT** 09/10/2024

SITE NO FS0875 SITE NAME Walters (East Lismore)

Case No 20240395 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 15 January 2025