FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0410			Date of visit: 30/10/2024
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0001 Business No: FB0012	Site Name: Business Name:	Evanachan Salt Water Otter Ferry Seafish Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 MIX 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T172	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Chronic low level AGD infection in wrasse population. Mortality levels not significantly affected. Fish tested positive by PCR with a high CT-values. Infected tanks treated with freshwater. Subsequent test from Patogen following the treatment returned negative results.

Vaccinated at 25g with a dip vaccination for atypical furunculosis.

HAL and SAL mortality are very low. Most of the mortality on site is due to small ballan wrasse.

Baytril (10%) treatment used on site in summer of 2024. Volume of water in tank reduced and treatment added as a liquid. Oxygen added during treatment and a artificial flow created. After 8 hours the tank is refilled and water is flushed. Its a broad spectrum antibiotic. Used on ballan wrasse growers. Prescribed by a vet (prescription numbers recorded) Aquatet and florocol also used.

Freshwater can be used to treat sea lice infestation if required. However, sea lice have never been observed on stock on site. An issue noted with some missing FS numbers on the movement book but this was mostly dealt with on site with final missing FS number sent via email on same day of inspection.

Intake water (from Loch Fyne) is passed through a sand filter down to 5 microns, then UV treated before entry in the site. Not imported from outside GB and no movement plans in the future due to reducing in the number of wrasse and lumpfish being produced and sold.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Is	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0410		Site No:	FS000	1			
Date of Visit:		30/10/20	24		Inspector(s	s):		
Registration/Auth	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			/ site represe	ntative?			Y	7
2. Changes made t		, 01.00.100.00	,				Y	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fi	sh for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		83	Facilities s	stocked	58	No facil	ities inspected	83
Species	WRS	WRS	WRS	HAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	
Age group	2019	2023	2019	2020-2021	2023	2022	2024	
No Fish	623	180,000	410	710	561	66	1,106	
Mean Fish Wt	1.4kg	25g	470g	2.6kg	2.5kg	8kg	225g	
Next Fallow Date (No plans	og	Next Input D		Summe		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Y Any escape			N
If yes, detail:			d AGD in WF	RS	y cocup	(000	or, .	
,,	г нургоск тол							
Movement Record	ds							
1. Movement recor		or inspection	12					Y
2. Date of last insp		o. mopodion					22/03/2023	
3. Are records com		rectly entere	d?				22,00,2020	N
4. Are movement re	•	•		to?				Y
5. Are records com				.0.				Y
6. Are health certifi	•	•		ailahla?				N/A
o. Ale ficalificerini	cates for inti-	Jaactions (oc	itwitti OD) ave	allabic:				14// (
Transport Record	le.							
•		ut by (or on b	achalf) of the	business (not u	oing o STD\2			N
1. Are any movement					-			10
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place	e for maintena	ance or transp	portation record	S?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		inenection?						
•		•			Diagram Dr	n wlation		'
2. How are mortalit If other detail:	iles disposed	OI?			Biogas - Ba	агкір		
3. Mortality records	s complete an	ia correctly e						T .
			15/10/24)	23 stock): 0.91% , 1.04% (22/10/	24). HAL: 0 fi	sĥ (01/10/2	4), 2 fish (08/10	0/24), 0 fish
4. Recent mortality	(last 1 wks).		,), 5 fish (22/10/2 AL: 0 fish in the	•	odslock (20	rie stock). U iis	n in iast 4
5. Evidence of rece				AL. U IISH III IIIE	iasi 4 weeks			N
If yes, facility nos/n		• •		lity/reason:				
ii yes, racility 1105/11	io mortality pe	er racility/rio s	stock per raci	iity/reasori.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality o	luring period	checked?					N
If yes, detail:	In mortality 0	idilig peliod	CHECKEU!					1
•	(uneyplained) mortalities !	noon roportor	to yet or EUI2				N/A
7. Have increased	•) inortalities t	been reported	I to vet of FHI?				IN/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality e		concreted to E	⊔I2 If no ont	or dotaile on me	rtality avanta	choot		N/A
u. Have Hilliamiv E	ACHIO DEGLI I	EDUITED ID E	. II : II IIO. ENIO	ei detalla on mo	maniv evenis	SHEEL.		1 1 1 / 1

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	, ,
If yes, detail: Aquatet If other, detail: non-food producing WRS population treated	
If other, detail: non-food producing WRS population treated 2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	·
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
c. Are medianes stored appropriately:	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results? If you detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems) ACD confirmed via PCR (high CT yellows)	1
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD confirmed via PCR (high CT value)	ues)
Records checked between: 22/03/2023 - 30/10/2024	
TOUGHOU GHOUNGU DOLWOOH.	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0410		Site No:	FS0001		Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/10/2024		supp./dest.		Score		
Live fish movements			C	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	(5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	C	9	18	26	
•	Number of sup	ocluding third country				14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m			3		10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of dest					10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts		<u> </u>			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	(1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4	- -			
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10)			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	(
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	3			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity	<u>'</u>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	(1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes						0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	<u> </u>			
CoGP/Regulator	_			_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
alioini access to eages	No		2	<u>, </u>			0
	J.,*			J			
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0410	Site No:	S0001
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year need in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included well as access to suitable biological and/or mechan	ding deltamethrin, N
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage	ment agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm
Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for insp 6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6) N/A
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGI	P during the period that N/A
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abod that records are inspected?	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N/A
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Hep. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which i	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. s considered to cause significant welfare problems	N/A N/A N/A
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. el</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where ap 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried or	tered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levilongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications oplicable)? It taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels out in cooperation between participating farms? Where fewer populations or part populations are here	s? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) N/A N/A N/A N/A
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set actionstation?	ons to deal with recognised N
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	sons. N/A
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against th	e due to predators in the current or previous produ ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below) e, central screen inspected daily, another scre	Y
f Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		7)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was permiss nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in co es of the Act) gards to containment? If no, please detail reason(ode but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0410	Site No: FS0001	
Date of Visit: 30/10/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	sithing forms are a second and a	
1. Is the farm under inspection located v		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
-	Management Agreements and Statement	
	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	ed? ✓
Is the current FMAg/S available for inDoes the FMAg/S identify the relevan	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far	m site(s) to which it applies?	Y
•	commencement of the agreement or statem	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?	T
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	um health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
	ation requirements for stocks held in the area	a or farm?
•	es of fish which may be stocked into the area	
<u> </u>	num stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the
individual farm? 12 Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual fa	-	
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	rered by the agreement Y
	rements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual fa	rms?	
Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	d cleaner fish are to be Y Ins within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
	gements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto a podstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only Int include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or f the FMAg/S? CH 01.05.24	statement? Y

Case No:	2024-0410			Date of visit	30/10/2024	1		
Site No:	FS0001)		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						J		2 11105
	1							
					_			
							_	
					-			
					-			
							-	
	1							
Report Summary				1				
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	15/11/2024		Z 1115D					
MIX	14/11/2024							
Case completion	12/03/2025							
- Case completion	,							
	1							
	1							
	1 							
	1 							
	1							
	1							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0012 **DATE OF VISIT** 30/10/2024

SITE NO FS0001 SITE NAME Evanachan Salt Water

Case No 20240410 INSPECTOR

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 15/02/2025. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 12/02/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0012 **DATE OF VISIT** 30/10/2024

SITE NO FS0001 SITE NAME Evanachan Salt Water

CASE NO 20240410 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Some entries into the movement book did not have an FS reference number. These
numbers are required to ensure accurate traceability of aquaculture animals on site. The
reference numbers were provided by the site representative on the day of the inspection.
No further action required.



These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion recommendations were issued in relation to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice).

The recommendations are:

- 1. Please ensure there is a written procedure outlining the harvest strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice.
- 2. Please ensure there is a site-specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation.
- 3. Please ensure counts of parasites on salmon held in sea water are recorded (unless a reason for not conducting a count is recorded) and the records are made available for inspection. The lice count records must also be sent to the fish health inspectorate weekly at ms.fishhealth@gov.scot. Back dated lice counts are not required.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 15/02/2025. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 15/11/2024



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)