FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0420			Date of visit: 04/11/2024
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0052	Site Name:	College Mill	
Business No: FB0043	Business Name:	College Mill Trout Farm	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.4	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: TA	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Accompanied by ______. Water quality has been good and flood alleviation works have been completed. Water temperature can be as high as 20°C. Recently stocked with fingerlings in tanks without issue. There were 1-2 mortalities observed per tank. Have recently had up to 10 mortalities per pond per week. 1 or 2 fish swimming on their sides but no other clinical signs observed in these fish. The larger fish, which have been affected by eye fluke, are due to be harvested out within the next week. Some fish carcasses were evident in the back channel which had been attributed to otter predation. The site also suffers from mink predation. The site has lost two ponds due to landscaping a car park area for customers of the cafe established at the site. No fish treatments are undertaken, ponds are fallowed and treated with copper sulphate before restocking.

FHI 059, Version 13			lss	sued by: FHI		1	Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2	020
Case No:	2024-0420]	Site No:	FS005	2				
Date of Visit:		04/11/2024	4		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails							
1. Business/site deta			site represer	ntative?			Υ	1	
2. Changes made to							Υ	1	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis		tions)						
		9 tanks, 3							
		raceways,			6 tanks, 10				
Total No facilities		12 ponds	Facilities st		ponds	No facilities	inspected	All	
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR	RTR				
Age group	2024	2023	2023	2023	2020				
No Fish	25,560	8,500	10,500	1,200	80				
Mean Fish Wt	25g	600g	900g	1.25 Kg	3.0 Kg				
Next Fallow Date (S	•	No site fallo	W	Next Input D		February/M			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?			N Any escapes	(since last vi	isit)?		N
If yes, detail:									
2. Date of last inspe 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys	plete and correcords available elete and correctes for introduced at the state of t	ole for dead firectly entered ductions (out ut by (or on be for maintenar	sh and waste ? with GB) avai ehalf) of the b	nilable? business (not u			13/08/2024		Y N N/A Y
1. Mortality records		•			Damaetie we	-t			Y
2. How are mortalities If other detail:	es disposed d	of?			Domestic was	ste - <25kg			
									V
3. Mortality records	•	I correctly ent							1
4. Recent mortality (•	1		sh /pond/week	(up to 0.4% per	r week for the	e site)		NI
5. Evidence of recer		* *							N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facilit	ty/reason:					
								_	N.
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	iring period c	necked?						N
If yes, detail:									17.0
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported	to vet or FHI?				l	N/A
If yes, detail action:								_	
8. Have 'mortality ev	∕ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, ente	r details on mo	rtality events sh	ieet.			N/A

Treetments and Medicines Becards		
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		·
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording a	and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	,	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence of	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to	to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on t	he farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented bet		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors	to the state of th	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to r	naintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance	Luis subsection and	N
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on be	ehalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 14/08	8/24 - 04/11/24	
Necolus checked between.	5/24 - 04/11/24	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	ot issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2024-0420			FS0052		Insp:		
Date of Visit	04/11/2024		No of movements/supp./de				Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0	
	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	10	
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	10	
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1	
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0					
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4					
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0	
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3					
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	l 0	i			0	
·	Feeding unpas	·	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0	
footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes		0					
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3]			3	
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0	
	No		2	-				
					Total Rank		MEDIUM	

Case No:	2024-0420	Site No:	FS0052	
 Is the CoGP Farm M Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema 	Seawater Sites Only) nced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? lanagement Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously or access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medi amectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological a in a reasonable period of time?	ications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	d
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agreement or statement releval equivalent)?	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
	ecords available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ely reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment (CoGP Annex 6)	ent in the Co	oGP during the period that	
	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a le 0/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?	vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o	r
•	reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see co			
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered to cause significant we	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
suggested criteria for tr	eatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L</i> reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfable to been taken (where applicable)?			
•	eatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upor	n the lice le	evels recorded?	
•	ere conducted, carried out in cooperation between participa			
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part popu	ulations are	e held without treatment for	
	ific written lice management procedure with waypoints desc scalation of a sea lice infestation?	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognise	d
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no plea	ase detail r	reasons.	
Containment Inspecti	on.			
•	nced equipment damage due to predators in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
•	ce to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (D		•	Υ
	rounding site, netting over ponds, mink traps			
If other, detail below:				
If Yes proceed with que	nts or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the sestions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
•	ported to Scottish Ministers?	4 4 67 5 4	4.47	
•	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – ported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where			
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail			
8. If all nets were denic	oyed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and	d was perm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	·		
	en to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (No r satisfactory measures of the Act)	t covered i	in code but could	
	d as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2024-0420			Date of visit	: 04/11/2024	<u>.</u>		
Site No:	FS0052	1		Inspector				
	1 00002			mopeotor				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI, CNÍ	26/11/2024							

Page 1 of 1



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0043
 DATE OF VISIT
 04/11/2024

 SITE NO
 FS0052
 SITE NAME
 College Mill

 CASE NO
 20240420
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

R04



Movement records for fish waste were not adequate. Discussed with the operator to update the BMP or site procedures to state that mortalities are disposed of through weekly domestic waste collections and which day these occur. This along with the mortality record will constitute the waste movement record. Where larger volumes of waste are disposed of a separate waste disposal record will be maintained.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

Date: 26/11/2024