FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0429			Date of visit: 13/1	1/2024
Time spent on site:		Mair	n Inspector:	
Site No: FS0242 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Gravir Bakkafrost Scotland		=
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 12.1	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed	
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	CoGP MA: W	<i>'</i> -4
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed?	•	N If yes, see addit	onal information/clinical score s onal information/clinical score s onal information/clinical score s	heet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		Ш		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:		

Additional Case Information:

Slight discrepancy with the fish input figures from the freshwater site due to an issue with the counter, it was estimated that the figures were 10% out, figures have been amended at both sites to reflect this.

Site has had a new barge with ensiling facilities on board, no waste has been uplifted since the last inspection as there is not enough waste.

Fish have settled in well post input and showing a strong feeding response.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0429		Site No:	FS0242				
Date of Visit:		13/11/2024			Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Author								
1. Business/site detai	•	ecked by si	te representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (include	cleaner fish f	or all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities	1:		Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2024 Q3							
	674,106							
Mean Fish Wt	507g							
Next Fallow Date (Sit	e) lil	kely Jan/Fel	2026	Next Input Da	_ ` `	August 20		
Recent (last 4 wks) d	isease probl <mark>en</mark>	าร?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Basarda								
Movement Records 1. Movement records		enaction?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		ispection:					03/10/2023	'
3. Are records comple		lly entered?					03/10/2023	Y
4. Are movement rec		•	h and waste?	•				N/A
5. Are records comple								N/A
6. Are health certifica		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records		/ l . l	-16) - 6 (b - 1		· · · · OTD\0			NII NII
1. Are any movement					-			N
If yes, is there a syste	em in place for	maintenant	e or transpor	rtation records	f			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	vailable for ins	pection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	s disposed of?				Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records co	omplete and co	orrectly ente	red?					Y
			Wk 42 257 (0.04%), Wk 43	3 244 (0.04%)	, wk 44 349	(0.05%), wk	45 406
4. Recent mortality (la	ast 4 wks):		(0.06%), wk	46 237 (0.04%	b).			
Evidence of recent	increased/aty	oical mortali	ties?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per fa	cility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6 Any other needs in	mortality durin	a pariad ab	a alka dO					
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	wk 35 18896 (3	• .		es numbers r	aduced the fo	lowing wee	k	l I
7. Have increased (ur					educed the 10	lowing wee	N.	N/A
If yes, detail action:	icxpiairieu) iiic	rianties Det	л теропец п	Vectoring				14//
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been repo	rted to FHI	If no enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet		N/A
o. Have mortality eve	ino been repo		ii iio, ciitoi t		carry CVCI ICO SI	.001.		1 4/7 (

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	S
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottis	sh Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked or	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented b	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitor	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	maintain the physical containment of Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemente	d on site?
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 3/1	0/2023 and 13/11/2024

	TI USB, VEISIOII IS							133	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2024-04	429	Site No:		FS0242			Date of Samplin		13/	11/2024	13/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Jampiii	HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		00:00 Indoors		0:00	 3	Inspecto	or:		5	VMD N	o. I	12
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-4	4-8										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	500g	500g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Applecross FS1362	Applecross FS1362										
	7												

1/2024 Additional Sample Information:															
0		Total Te	ests ass	ianed	0										
				9											

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0429		Site No:	FS0242		Insp:	
Date of Visit	13/11/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
, page 1	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mayamanta off	ļ		1 0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	110111001 01 000	Site contact	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent water	s 0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		15 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0429	Site	e No: FS0242	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Man	awater Sites Only) ed sea lice problems in the previous nagement Area (or equivalent) fallow ress to a range of licenced in-feed ar	ved synchronously on a	•	Y Y
azamethiphos and emam	ectin benzoate) as well as access to a reasonable period of time?			
 Is there a signed docur Management Area (or equal or equal or	mented farm management agreemer uivalent)?	nt or statement relevant t	o the site and CoGP Far	m Y
	ords available for inspection? (Legal reflect the required standard specifi	·	GP? (Legal SSI, CoGP A	Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmon</i> records are inspected? (nis) record levels below the suggeste CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in	the CoGP during the pe	eriod that Y
	male sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 6/19) during the period that records a		of 3 or above (prior to w/b	o 10/6/19) or N
•	eported to the Fish Health Inspectora tion at a level which is considered to			Y N N
•	tments been administered or other a tment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons			
12. Have therapeutic trea 13. Are treatments, where	been taken (where applicable)? tments or the actions taken had a si e conducted, carried out in cooperati strategy for the site, where fewer por	on between participating	farms?	Y Y N/A tment for
-	written lice management procedure alation of a sea lice infestation?	with waypoints describing	ng set actions to deal with	n recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels	observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please	detail reasons.	Y
2. Are measures in place	ned equipment damage due to predat to mitigate against the predation exp nsioned nets, top nets, lift up sys	perienced on site? (Deta	il below)	N Y net stitched to the top
·	s or events been experienced on or i	n the vicinity of the site s	ince the last FHI inspecti	ion?
	ions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10			
	ted to Scottish Ministers? ted to local DSFB forthwith (where t	nev exist)? (CoGP – 4.4	37 5 4 17)	
·	ted to the SSPO and local fisheries	• ' '	,	7, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any)	used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail		
8. If gill nets were deploye Ministers? (Legal, CoGP	ed was this action agreed with local – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests and wa	s permission given by So	cottish
	to prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (Not co	vered in code but could	
	atisfactory measures of the Act) as satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please deta	ail reason(s)	Υ
				•

EULOEO Varaian 12	logued by: EUI	Data of inque: 12/05/2020
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0429	Site No: FS0242	
Date of Visit: 13/11/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple		<u> </u>
Points of Compliance for Both Farm N		
Has a current farm management agreIs the current FMAg/S available for ins		epared?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	<u>.</u> Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farn	•	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of o	•	tatement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of I	review?	Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimur		introduced to the area or
farm?		
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinal	•	
 Does the FMAg/S identify the specie Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim 	•	
individual farm?	an disching density of any pen on any	Talli in the dreat of the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		any dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management o	f Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice	numbers and treatments?
14 Doos the EMAs/S identify the availab	cility and the use of modicines on forms	a sovered by the agreement
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	only and the use of medicines of farms	s covered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require	ements for the sensitivity testing of ava-	ilable treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far		
Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa		s and cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		farms within the area?
go lasting	The officer of the state of the	
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	istances when live fish may be introduc	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for the movement of live fish or	n and off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc		
agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	
covered by the agreement or statement?		t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 22/08/2024	statement? Y

Case No:	2024-0429			Date of visit	:: 13/11/20	24					
Site No:	FS0242]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	т —		Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	+										
	+										
D 1 O				_							
Report Summary	Data	lasa	ond :								
Case Type	Date 20/11/202/	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	20/11/2024	<u> </u>									
	+										
	-										

Page 1 of 1



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 13/11/2024

 SITE NO
 FS0242
 SITE NAME
 Gravir

 CASE NO
 20240429
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R25



Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements, containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 20/11/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
 This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites⁽²⁾



This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms
 This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or
 removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish
 This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish
 removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

- (1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture
- (2) Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*