FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0467			Date of visit: 27/11/2024
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0511 Business No: FB0297	Site Name: Business Name:	Forgue Forgue Fish Farm	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 4.8	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: GR	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Overall site is well maintained.

Transport records - Most transportations use the businesses own vehicle. Electronic movement records contain most of the required information, with the exception of the number of animals that die during transportation. No animals have died during transportation. Site operator advised that a column had been added to their record sheet to include this information.

Tank 16 - slight increase in mortalities 30/08 - 27/09 - ~22-36 - drops down <10 04/10

During site inspection it was noted one pond had suffered high mortality as a result of low oxygen. An inflow pipe to the pond was frozen, stopping water circulation. Bad weather prevented the site from being properly accessed until the time of the inspection. Mortality resulting from this was not recorded at the time. It was requested that these were submitted to the FHI, however these have not been provided.

VMD fish appeared healthy when sampled.

Accompanied by for audit.

Records relating to increased mortality in pond 15 was submitted 07/01/2025 and 443 mortalities were recorded.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0467	J	Site No:	FS051	1			
Date of Visit:		27/11/202	24		Inspector(s):		i
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			site represer	ntative?			Y	
2. Changes made to							N	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		20	Facilities s	stocked	19	No faciliti	es inspected	20
Species	TGR	RTR	RTR	RTR				
Age group	2023	2022	2023	2024				
No Fish	3,500	14,600	7,000	46,400	-			
Mean Fish Wt	350g	879g	367	25g				
Next Fallow Date (S		No plans		Next Input D	ate (Site)	03/12/24		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				N Any escape		t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							,	
 Are records complete. Are health certified. Transport Records Are any movement of yes, is there a system. 	cates for intro s ents carried ou	oductions (out	utwith GB) ava	business (not u	_			N/A Y Y
Mortality Records	-: scilable for	inancation?						
 Mortality records How are mortalities 		•			Domestic	vaste - <25ko	2	'
If other detail:	es disposed ()I :			Domestic v	vasie - \Zung	<u> </u>	
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly er	ntered?					N
5. Wortality records	complete and	a confectly en		l, 01/11 - 59, 08/	/11 11 15/11	5 (plue 44)	2 due to bad v	
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks)			information.	11-11, 15/11	- 5 (plus 44)	S due to bad w	reallier) see
5. Evidence of recei	•	atypical mort		inionnation.				N
If yes, facility nos/no				lity/reason:				
ir yee, raeinty rice/ric	7 mortanty po	raomey/rio o	took per raom	култоцоот.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality di	uring period	checked?					Y
If yes, detail:		nal information						
7. Have increased (to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	•							
8. Have 'mortality ev		eported to FI	HI? If no, ente	er details on mo	rtality events	sheet		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N					
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y					
Biosecurity Records						
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been co	nsidered?					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary pro-	ofessional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence	ce of a listed disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiologic	al unit to minimise					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead to						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical contra	ainment of Y					
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 17/10/2022 - 27/11/2024						

	mi 059, version 15							155	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2024-04	167	Site No:		FS0511			Date of Samplin		27/	11/2024	27/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:4	5:00	14:4	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	1
	Environmental conditions:	1		2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click												
L	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	400g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	FW											
Stock Details		ح Kinaird Mill											

11/2024 Additional Sample Information:										
Percussive.										
0 Total Tests assigned 0										

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0467		Site No:	FS0511		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/11/2024		novements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			C	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	,		10	26	
openie o	Number of sup	cluding third country	0			26 14	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10 10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	10
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III			7		<u>'</u>
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	<u>1</u>	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C)			0
the fules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish	from zone or compartment of		1			
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10)			
	- Site's own waste only processed.						0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	3			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	1 (<u>.</u>			0
osc of unpusicumsed recus	Feeding unpas	•	5	4			
Biosecurity	3	Number of sites		J	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase			2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0		2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			
between sites, use of	No		C	1			0
footbaths etc	NO		1				
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C)			
code of practice	No		3	3			3
Dietferm	Ivaa			1			
Platform access to cages	Yes						0
	No			•			
					Total		24
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0467	Site No:	FS0511
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins6. Do records adequately reflect the required	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish F 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problem.	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicate applicable \cdot 2	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	•	
·	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4 d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s)

		•				_		
Case No:	2024-0467			Date of visit	27/11/2024	1		
Site No:	FS0511	1		Inchestor				
Site No.	1 30311	ı		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
	 							
	1							
		-						
	†	-						
	Ì	Ì						
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	18/12/2024							
Case Completion	04/02/2025							
	-							
	<u> </u>							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0297
 Date of Visit
 27/11/2024

 Site No
 FS0511
 Site Name
 Forgue

 Case No
 20240467
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Mortality records did not include increased mortality, due to equipment failure. Mortality records should be updated and submitted to the FHI.



These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

Date: 18/12/2024



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0297
 Date of Visit
 27/11/2024

 Site No
 FS0511
 Site Name
 Forgue

 Case No
 20240467
 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 18/01/2025. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 04/02/2025