FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2025-0024			Date of visit: 28/01/2025		
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	or:		
Site No: FS1101 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Etive 3 (Port na Mine) Dawnfresh Farming Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 6.98	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed N/A		
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:			

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Currently all morts are incinerated on site. Latest waste record seen - dated Dec 2023.

Haemorrhagic smolt syndrome (HSS) - due to salinity issues but improving now as the salinity rises.

Weekly mortality checked back to when salmon first came onto site in April 2024. Trout mortality prior to April 2024 - one mortality event in June 2023.

No treatments on site recently - only tricane use for handling during lice counts. Last cycle of salmon - couple SLICE 7 day treatment courses (beginning 18th May, 25th June and 16th August 2024). Salmosan 6th-7th August.

Health surveillance - routine internal health reports. Most recent 20/01/2025 - Nothing significant - mortalities due to HSS reduced from week 1 and 2. All other tests negative. Some PGD scores of 1.

Currently no cleanerfish on site.

During the physical inspection of the site the pens and nets were clean and tidy. Visibility was reduced due to dark brackish water. Across the whole site there was only one lethargic fish observed. All others appeared healthy.

Non-synchronous fallowing of the area - the appropriate risk assessment has been seen.

FHI 059, Vers	ion 13		ls	ssued by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0024		Site No:	FS1101				
Date of Visit:		28/01/2025	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration	/Authorisation	Details						
_		mary checked l	ov site represe	entative?			Y	
	nade to details'	•	.,				Y	
Site Details (	include clean	er fish for all s	ections)					
Total No facili		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilities in	nspected	
Species	SAL							
Age group	Q4							
No Fish	400,084							
Mean Fish Wt	390g							
Next Fallow D	ate (Site)	5/02/2025	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	End March 20	025	
	wks) disease				Any escapes			
If yes, detail:	See additiona	al information				`	,	
2. Date of last 3. Are records 4. Are moven 5. Are records 6. Are health  Transport Re 1. Are any model If yes, is there	t inspection: s complete and nent records avecertificates for ecords evements carrie e a system in p	ble for inspection I correctly enter vailable for dead I correctly enter introductions (of ed out by (or or lace for mainte	red? d fish and was red? outwith GB) av	vailable? e business (no		?	21/03/2023	
Mortality Red		e for inspection	2					
•	ortalities dispo	•	:		Incinerated - o	on site		
If other detail:		000 01:			inomorated C	on one		
		e and correctly	entered?					
•	rtality (last 4 w	•		(6576) Wk 2:	0.8% (4292), V	/k 3· 0.2% (12:	57) Wk4·0.03	
	• •	sed/atypical mo		(0070); **** 2.	0.070 (1202), 1	VIC 0. 0.270 (12)	01), ******	
		ty per facility/no		ility/reason:				
If yes, detail:		ity during perio						
<ol><li>Have increal figure</li><li>Jumple 1</li><li>Jumple 2</li><li>Jumple 2</li><li>Jump</li></ol>	•	ned) mortalities	been reporte	d to vet or FH	?			
•		en reported to	FHI? If no ent	ter details on r	nortality events	sheet		

Treetments and Madisines Decards						
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?						
If yes, detail: Tricane						
If other, detail:						
Medicines records available for inspection?						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
	cane					
If other, detail						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recor	ding and safe disposal been considered?					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify So						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pres	·					
is detected been included and how and when that will be no						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?						
C. Have the hughandry and his courity massures implement	and hotuson and paridomiological unit to minimio					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement	, •					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?  7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?	se to maintain the physical containment of					
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implem	ented on site?					
If no, detail:	onted on site:					
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						

21/03/2023 - 28/01/2025 Records checked between:





FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0024 Site No: FS1101						
Date of Visit	28/01/2025	ovements/s	ements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		C			14	0
Mariamanta aff				ļ		10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	Transor or doo	Site contacts		ļ			
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		2
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	•		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	С				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	C	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	С	- 1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity	<u>'</u>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C	]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		C				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			7			0
and an account to day of	No		2				0
	1			1			
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0024		Site No:
Sea Lice Inspection (Sea	water Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experience	d sea lice problems in the p	revious 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Mana	agement Area (or equivalent	t) fallowed synchronously on a single	e year class basis?
	<u> </u>	,	(including deltamethrin, azamethiphos are deployed in a reasonable period of tir
4. Is there a signed docum	nented farm management ag	greement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm Management Are

- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records a
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or a the period that records are inspected?

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

- 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scena sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

# **Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Weighted seal pro 18mm nets, top nets.

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could

be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	
FS1101	
	N
	N N Y
and emamectin benzoate) as me?	Y
ea (or equivalent)?	Υ
	Y
	Y Y
re inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N
bove (from w/b 10/6/19) during	Υ
	Υ
	N
d criteria for treatment or where	Υ
	NI/A
	N/A Y
	Υ
	Υ
	V
arios during the escalation of a	Υ
	Υ
	N
	Υ
	N
Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS1101	
Date of Visit: 28/01/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	tion.	
-	Management Agreements and Statement	
<ol><li>Has a current farm management agre</li><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for ins</li></ol>	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	n site(s) to which it applies?	Y
•	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of I	review?	Ť
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimur farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	a or farm?
•	s of fish which may be stocked into the area	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any farn	n in the area or the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	f Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
	oility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?	amonts for the consitivity testing of available	o troatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual fari	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	
	estances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	arms <i>:</i> lements for synchronous treatments on farn	d cleaner fish are to be  Y  ns within the area?  Y
Live Fish Movements		
	stances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
area or farm?		
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becon	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and or 26. What is the version no/date of issue or	perated in accordance with the agreement or f the FMAg/S?  FMA - Oct 2023, FMS	

Case No:	2025-0024			Date of visit:	28/01/2025			
Site No:	FS1101	]		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	+							
	1							
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	04/02/2025							
	1							



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0456 Date of Visit 28/01/2025

SITE NO FS1101 SITE NAME Etive 3 (Port na Mine)
CASE NO 20250024 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 04/02/2025