FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0026			Date of visit: 28/01/2025
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1288 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Etive 6 Dawnfresh Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.56	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Treatments - none this cycle but last cycle had 7 day SLICE treatments (18th May) and a Salmosan (1-11th August) whole site treated

Health report - 20/01/2025 - no significant health results. Low lice levels and PGD scores of 0.

Mortality: one mortality event in Sep 2024 where a decrease in oxygen levels led to the loss of poor performing fish on site.

Sealice: Periods of sealice numbers above CoGP levels when site were farming trout in 2023 which were reduced by treatment. Since the farm started farming salmon in 2024, sealice numbers have remained below 0.5.

During the physical inspection of the whole site, a total of 4 moribund fish were observed. Visibility down into the water column was reduced due to brackish water and sunlight however all fish observed appeared healthy, shoaling well with no lice observed on fish closer to the surface. All pens and nets were clean and tidy with no signs of damage.

Non-synchronous fallowing of the area - the appropriate risk assessment has been seen.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0026		Site No:	FS1288				
Date of Visit:		28/01/2025	5		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	SAL							
Age group	24 Q4							
No Fish	1,563,594							
Mean Fish Wt	226g							
Next Fallow Date (Si		Beginning Fe	eb	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	End March	n/Beginning A	pril
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last)	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		mapection.					21/03/2023	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	2				21/03/2020	Y
4. Are movement red		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certification		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		thy (or on he	half) of the hi	iciness (not us	ing a STR)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst					-			Ý
II yes, is there a syst	em in place i	JI IIIaiiiiCiiaii	Ce or transpor	lation records.				
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed o	i?			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Bosont mortality (loot 4 wko):			(928), Wk2: 0.	.03% (520), W	/k3: 0.03% (579) and Wk	4: 0.06%
4. Recent mortality (I	•	t missi morta	(1064)					N
5. Evidence of recen		• •		/roccon:				14
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per racility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
	See additiona		lecked.					
7. Have increased (u			en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	похраштов,	no tanti o z	on roperior .	7 700 07 1 111				
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Me	edicines Records					
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?		Y			
If yes, detail:	Tricane					
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records	s available for inspection?		Y			
3. Are records comp	plete and correctly entered?		Y			
4. Are fish in a withd	Irawal period?		Y			
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?	Tricane				
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?		Y			
Biosecurity Record						
1. Biosecurity record	ds available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner a	and frequency of mortality remova	al, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
	•	otify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplain	ined) mortality at the site been inc	cluded?	Y			
4. Has the action that	at will be taken in the event that th	ne presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
		ill be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y			
	5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?						
	•	plemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
	transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
	7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of					
aquaculture animals						
	rity procedures been adequately i	implemented on site?	Y			
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveilla						
•		ut by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y			
	available for inspection?		Y			
3. Any significant res			N			
If yes, detail (if not d	letailed under recent disease prob	olems).				
F	Records checked between:	21/03/2023 - 28/01/2025				

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0026		Site No:	FS1288		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/01/2025	supp./dest.		Score			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	С	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	ncluding third country	C			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m			3		10	10
wovernerits on	Number of des		C			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	ļ			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III nor within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	C	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	C	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		С]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	<u> </u>			
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
aliomi access to eages	No		2	-			0
	J. **			1			
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0026	Site No:	FS1288
3. Does the site have access to a range o	••	uding deltamethrin,
can these be deployed in a reasonable pe	eriod of time?	
 Is there a signed documented farm man Management Area (or equivalent)? 	nagement agreement or statement relevant to the site	e and CoGP Farm Y
 Are sea lice count records available for Do records adequately reflect the requi 	inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) red standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ($\it L.$ 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the p	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abserted that records are inspected?	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	sh Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. nich is considered to cause significant welfare probler	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
•	ministered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication are applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the ac	ritions taken had a significant impact upon the lice lever ried out in cooperation between participating farms?	els recorded?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the s sea lice?	ite, where fewer populations or part populations are h	neld without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice man scenarios during the escalation of a sea li	nagement procedure with waypoints describing set acce infestation?	tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on sto	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment da	image due to predators in the current or previous pro- nst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	duction cycles? N Y
HDPE 18mm mesh weighted nets, from the state of the state	oyer ring, bird poles and tops nets	
2. Have accept incidents or events been	averagion and an again the visinity of the gite gines the	last FUI inapportion?
 Have escape incidents of events been If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No Have these been reported to Scottish N 		last FHI inspection?
•	B forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-$ 4.4.37, 5.4.) and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this actio Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	n agreed with local wild fish interests and was permis	ssion given by Scottish
What action was taken to prevent and r be considered under satisfactory mea	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in asures of the Act)	code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory wi	th regards to containment? If no, please detail reason	n(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0026 Si	ite No: FS1288	
Date of Visit: 28/01/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
 Is the farm under inspection located with 	hin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion	·	<u> </u>
Dainta of Campliance for Both Form Ma	anagement Agreements and Statements	
•	anagement Agreements and Statements ment or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm :	site(s) to which it applies? ommencement of the agreement or statem	Y V
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of ceDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of re	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managen		duced to the area or
o. Does the FMAg/S identity the minimum farm?	health standards for the stocks to be introd	duced to the area of
	on requirements for stocks held in the area	or farm?
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of fish which may be stocked into the area	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximul individual farm?	m stocking density of any pen on any farm	in the area or the
	ments for the storage and disposal of any o	lead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm	•	· -
Arrangements for The Management of S	Sea Lice	
	its for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	ers and treatments?
14 Does the FMAg/S identify the availabili	ity and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement
of statement?	ny ana the acc of medicines of farms cove	Ted by the agreement
	ments for the sensitivity testing of available	treatments for sea Y
lice on farms in the area or individual farm		alasmar fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fari	tances under which biological controls and ms?	cleaner lish are to be
	ments for synchronous treatments on farm	cleaner fish are to be Y s within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst	tances when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the Y off sites in the area
area or farm?		W. Charles in the case
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger or individual farms?	ments for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area

Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms? Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? FMS - Oct 2024, FMA - October 2023 FMS - Oct 2024, FMA - October 2023	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	_	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates to date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether br	ked? ne or more year classes may be stocked onto stoodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	24. Does the farm management agreement		me, or cease to be,
	25. Is the fish farm being managed and o		

0 N	2225 2222				00/04/00	05		
Case No:	2025-0026			Date of visi	t: 28/01/20	25		
Site No:	FS1288	1		Inspecto	r:			
		_						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+		_					
	+							
			_					
			_					
	+							
	_						_	
	+		_				_	
	+							
Report Summary				7				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	04/02/2025	Пор	Z IIISP					
	+							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0456
 Date of Visit
 28/01/2025

 Site No
 FS1288
 Site Name
 Etive 6

 Case No
 20250026
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 04/02/2024