FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0031			Date of visit: 12/02/2025
Time spent on site:	hr 15 mins	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: FS0189 Business No: FB0336	Site Name: Business Name:	Ae Fishery Brow Well Fisheries Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 4.3	Thermometer No:	T306	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		Y If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Inspection lead by supervised by

Facilities are fed from water of Ae; the source and exit of the water is screened and each individual earth pond is screened as well.

No treatments have taken place since September 2024.

The site was stocking and moving fish extensively in preparation for the trout fishing season opening. Fish on site generally appeared in good condition, feeding and swimming as expected. One earth pond had 3 lethargic fish, 1 of which was observed with physical damage and fin erosion. This fish had a good swim response and was unable to be caught.

FHI 059, Versi	on 13			Issued by: F	FHI		Date o	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0031		Site No:	FS0189	9			
Date of Visit:		12/02/2025	5		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/	Authorisatior	n Details						
1. Business/sit		•	d by site repre	esentative?			Υ	
2. Changes m	ade to details	?					Y	
Site Details (i	nclude clean					_		
		31 tanks + 1			31 tanks + 1	T.,		31 tanks + 1
Total No facilit		hatchery	Facilities sto		hatchery	No facilities	inspected	hatchery
Species	RTR	BCH	TRO	TTR	RTR			
Age group No Fish	Mixed	2024	2024	Mixed	Ova			
NO FISH	67,509	1,692	5,921	681	5,000			
Mean Fish Wt	50 g - 1.695	31 g	20 g	1.914 kg	< 1 g			
Next Fallow D		Never fallov	/	Next Input L)ate (Site)	Feb 2025		
Recent (last 4	• •				Any escapes		sit\?	N
If yes, detail:	mics) disease	problemo.			- / ary cocapec	(Sillee last vi		14
Movement Re	ecords							
1. Movement r	records availa	ble for inspec	ction?					Y
2. Date of last	inspection:						22/02/2023	
3. Are records	•	•						Y
4. Are movem	ent records av	vailable for de	ead fish and w	aste?				Y
5. Are records	•	•						Y
6. Are health of	certificates for	introductions	(outwith GB)	available?				N/A
Transport Re	cords							
1. Are any mo		ed out by (or	on behalf) of t	the business	(not using a S	TB)?		Y
If yes, is there								Y
Mortality Rec	ords							
Mortality red		e for inspection	on?					Y
2. How are mo					Other (detail)		
If other detail:			ins and collec	ted by Oak E	•	,		
3. Mortality red	cords complet	e and correct	ly entered?					Y
				•	site; wk 3 - 0.	•	* *	•
4. Recent mor	tality (last 4 w	ke):	* *	0.207 % (157	7 fish); wk 6 - (0.163 % (1291	tish); wk / - (0.169 % (140
5. Evidence of	• •	•	fish).					N
If yes, facility r		• •		acility/reason				14
ir yes, racility r	103/110 IIIOI tall	ty per racility/	no stock per i	aomity/reason				
6. Any other p	eaks in mortal	lity during per	iod checked?					N
If yes, detail:		,	criconou:					
7. Have increa	ased (unexplai	ned) mortalit	es been repo	rted to vet or	FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail a								
8 Have 'morts		en reported t	n FHI2 If no.	enter details	on mortality ev	ents sheet		N

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	Y
higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y
etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 122/02/2023_12/02/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0031		Site No:	FS0189		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/02/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	<u> </u>	3	6	10	10
Movemente on	Number of dest		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	Ī			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				
code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		25 MEDIUM
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2025-0031	Site No: FS0189	
2. Is the CoGP Farm N 3. Does the site have a	nced sea lice problem lanagement Area (or e access to a range of lic amectin benzoate) as	s in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or		gement agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	
		spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) I standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. saln records are inspected?		elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	
		Imonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 d that records are inspected?	
If yes, have these been	n reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which	n is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
suggested criteria for t	reatment or where C.	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action	•	applicable)? ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	
·		d out in cooperation between participating farms?	
		where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea	
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the e		ement procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised infestation?	
16. Do the sea lice lev	els observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	
Containment Inspect	ion		
•		age due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
•		the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y
Top nets are presen			
If other, detail below			
Have escape incide If Yes proceed with qu		perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? p to question 10	N
4. Have these been re			
		orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17) and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if ar	ny) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If all nets were deal	oved was this action a	greed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, Coo	SP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	cen to prevent and min r satisfactory measu	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could ires of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No: 2025-0031 Site No: FS0189 Date of visit: 12/02/2025

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
12/06/23	18/06/2023	≥750g	N/A	TRO			2.86		Water quality onsite decreased, associated with agricultural run off.
19/06/23	25/06/2023	≥750g	N/A	TRO			4.14		Water quality onsite decreased, associated with agricultural run off.
20/05/24	26/05/2024	≥750g	N/A	TRO			10.29		Temperature spike with low rain fall.
27/05/24	02/06/2024	≥750g	N/A	TRO			7.13		Temperature spike with low rain fall. AGD

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	1832		Historic data, collected during site inspection. FHR (2025-0031) includes a reminder to the business of the agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.	
	2381		Historic data, collected during site inspection. FHR (2025-0031) includes a reminder to the business of the agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.	
	6716	Oxygen was put on in tanks, limited staff access to site and stopped deliveries to customers to reduce handling/spooking of stock.	Historic data, collected during site inspection. FHR (2025-0031) includes a reminder to the business of the agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.	
•	4523	Oxygen was put on in tanks, limited staff access to site and stopped deliveries to customers to reduce handling/spooking of stock.	Historic data, collected during site inspection. FHR (2025-0031) includes a reminder to the business of the agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.	

Case No:	2025-0031			Date of visit:	12/02/2025	1		
Site No:	FS0189	1		Inspector:				
One 140.	1 00 100			пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Penort Summan				1				
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	28/02/2025		2 IIISP					
201, 0111	20/02/2020							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0336
 Date of Visit
 12/02/2025

 Site No
 FS0189
 Site Name
 Ae Fishery

 Case No
 20250031
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate since the last Marine Directorate Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

R04



Date: 28/02/2025

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)