FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2025-0036			Date of visit: 10/02/2025				
Time spent on site:	2 Hours	Main Inspec	tor:				
Site No: FS0892 Business No: FB0061	Site Name: Business Name:	Clachbreac Landcatch Natural Selection	Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 3.9	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?NClinical signs of disease observed?If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Gross pathology observed?NDiagnostic samples taken?N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail rea	ason below:					

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Only outside tanks are currently in use. Upon inspection of the stocked facilities, the water was very dark and peaty so visibility of the stocks were poor. No clinical signs of disease were observed.

Some fungus was identified at the beginning of this year and the site recently concluded a formalin treatment to combat this on 06/02/2025. There are no other health concerns at present and mortality is currently very low.

Mortalities on site are frozen at Clachbreac and transferred to Ormsary (FS0681) for incineration. A record for the movement of dead fish and waste is recorded in the sites diary.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issued by: FH	I		Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2025-0036		Site No:	FS0892						
Date of Visit:	10/02/2028	5		Inspector(s):					
Registration/Authorisation	n Details								
1. Business/site details sum	mary checked	d by site repre	sentative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to details	?					Ν	3		
Site Details (include clean	er fish for all	sections)							
Total No facilities	24	Facilities sto	ocked	9	No facilities in	nspected	24		
Species SAL									
Age group 2025 S1									
No Fish 434,593									
Mean Fish 81g Wt									
Next Fallow Date (Site)	03/2025		Next Input Da	te (Site)	05/2025				
Recent (last 4 wks) disease	problems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	it)?	N		
If yes, detail:	^			• • •					
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records available	e for inspectic	n?					Y		
2. How are mortalities dispo	sed of?			Other (detail)					
If other detail: Fish incinerat	ted at Ormsar	у.							
3. Mortality records complet	e and correct	ly entered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 w	,		1 0.05%), Wee	k 5(245 0.06%), Week 4 (36	1, 0.08%), V	Veek 3 (255,		
5. Evidence of recent increa							N		
If yes, facility nos/no mortali	ty per facility/ı	no stock per fa	acility/reason:						
							NI		
6. Any other peaks in morta If yes, detail:	lity during per	loa checkea?					IN		
7. Have increased (unexplain	ined) mortaliti	es been repor	ted to vet or Fl	-11?			N/A		
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality events' be									

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: Formalin		
If other, detail		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
	Formalin	
If other, detail		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	L	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, r	ecording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notif		
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been include	ded?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the p		
disease is detected been included and how and when the		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stehealth status, certification if required)?	ocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures impler	nented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of sta	aff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in	place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately imp	blemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out b	by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease probler	ms).	
Records checked between:	27/02/2023 - 10/02/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13							lss	ued by:	FHI			
Case no:	2025-00	036	Site No:		FS0892			Date of		10/0	02/2025	10/(
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:		00:00	16:3	0:00	-	Inspecto	or:		c	VMD No	р. [2
Environmental conditions:	. 1	Indoors	2		3		4		Э			
Summary samples	HIST	-	BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1-2	3-4										
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL										
Average weight	80g	80g										
Sex	N/A	N/A										
Water Type	FW	FW										
	Ormsary Smolt Unit (FS0575)	Smolt Unit										
	ů.	ŭ										
Details	ry (75) 75)										
Dei	nsa 057	15a 057										
	Ormsary (FS0575)	Ormsary ((FS0575)										
Stock Origin Facility No	B1	B8										

02/2025 Additional Sample Information:													
0		Total T	ests ass	ignod	0	1							
0	I	Total T	515 255	igneu	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 13

Case Number:	2025-0036	Site No:	FS0892		Insp:	
Date of Visit	10/02/2025	No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	s 0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
products	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0]			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	s <u>1</u>	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes	0				0
footbaths etc	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes	0				0
code of practice	No	3]			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0]			0
	No	2				
				Total		11
				Rank		LOW

Site No:

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?

2025-0036

2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?

3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of t

4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management A

5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)

6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)

7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records a 6)

8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or a during the period that records are inspected?

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)

10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggeste where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)

11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?

12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?

13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?

14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice'

15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scen sea lice infestation?

16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?

2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Electric fence, bird netting, rentokill

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?

If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip to question 10

4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?

5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)

6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)

7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail

8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers?

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could

be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

FS0892	
and emamectin benzoate) as	
ime?	
rea (or equivalent)?	
are inspected? (CoGP Annex	
-h	
above (from w/b 10/6/19)	
d criteria for treatment or	
?	
arios during the escalation of a	
·	
	N
	Y
	Ν
"	
(Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	
	Y
	Y

Site No: FS0892

Case No: 2025-0036

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2025-0036	Date of visit: 10/02/2025							
Site No:	FS0892]		Inspector:		I			
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion			
	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
			-						
							-		
							-		
							-		
Report Summary									
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD	13/02/2025	Пор							
, ,									
	ļ								
	1								



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0061

 SITE NO
 FS0892

 CASE NO
 20250036

DATE OF VISIT10/02/2025SITE NAMEClachbreacINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 18/02/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)