FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0037			Date of visit: 11/02/2025
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1176 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Eilean Grianain Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.6	Thermometer No:	Т309	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-47
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected in fair weather, visibility of the stocks was good. All stocked pens inspected and the fish appeared to be in good condition. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. Two runts and 4 moribunds were observed across the site during the inspection.

Both stocks of fish have been doing well recently with very low mortality being reported. Harvesting is to begin towards the end of February with a fallow target for the site set for June this year.

No recent treatments have been conducted at the site. A FW and FLS was completed just before Christmas. At the time of inspection lice levels on the site were below the CoGP treatment threshold.

3 fish were removed for VMD sampling from cages 14, 7 and 5. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy both internally and externally. No lice were present of any stage on all fish sampled.

Business internally refers to the site as Carradale North and South but site inspected and records checked as one site.

Cleanerfish mortality:

Wrasse - Week 6 (328, 2.1%), Week 5 (164, 1.04%), Week 4 (72, 0.45%), Week 3 (93, 0.58%). Wrasse mortality in recent weeks has been attributed to handling loss.

Lump fish - only 3 morts have been recorded in the last 4 weeks.

FHI 059, Version 13			Is	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0037		Site No:	FS11	76			
Date of Visit:		11/02/20	25		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						_
1. Business/site det	ails summar	y checked by	site represe	ntative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all se	ctions)					
Total No facilities		20	Facilities s	stocked	18	No facilitie	es inspected	20
Species	SAL	SAL	LUM	WRS			T	
Age group	2023 Q4	2024 Q2	2024	2024				
No Fish	289,546	495,113	12,611	15,315				
Mean Fish Wt	6kg	4.2kg	170g	100g				
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	06/2025		Next Input	Date (Site)	10/2025		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prol	blems?			N Any escape	es (since last	: visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspersions. Are records compound. Are movement respective. Are records compound. Are health certification. Are any movement of yes, is there a system. Mortality Records. Mortality records. 	plete and cor cords availablete and cor cates for intro ates for intro talent in place	ble for dead rrectly entere oductions (ou out by (or on be for maintena	fish and wast d? itwith GB) ava pehalf) of the	ailable? business (not	ds?		19/11/2024	Y Y Y N/A
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:	es disposed	of?			Ensiled - or	n site		
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly o	ntorod?					
3. Wortainly records	complete an	id correctly e			/ (00F 0	040() \\\ - = -	4 (240, 0.000	1
4. Recent mortality		, , , , ,	(591, 0.17		Veek 5 (825, 0.	24%), vveek	4 (318, 0.09%	ŕ
5. Evidence of recei		•		lit. //20 00 00 0				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er racility/no s	stock per raci	iity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	luring period	checked?					N
7. Have increased (unexplained)) mortalities b	peen reported	d to vet or FHI	?			N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been r	eported to FI	HI? If no, ente	er details on m	ortality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 18/05/2022 - 11/02/2025	

Г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	suea by: F	- HI			
	Case no:	2025-00)37	Site No:		FS1176			Date of v		11/0	02/2025	11/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		y. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	24
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Windy	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL		SAL									
	Average weight	5kg		5kg									
	Sex	N/A		N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Shuna Castle (FS0465)	Shuna Castle (FS0465)	ഠ Loch Garry (FS1104)									
V.	I domey 140	14	1	5									

02/2025 Additional Sample Information:													
0		Total To	ests ass	ianed	0								
			22.0 0.00		-								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0037		Site No:	FS1176		Insp:	
Date of Visit	11/02/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	С	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Mariamanta aff				<u> </u>		10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m					10	3
Exposure via water	1	Site contacts	. 0	ļ			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	•		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
the raise of the allestive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	<u>-</u> !			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10)			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	C				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	3			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	5			5
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	C	<u> </u>			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity	<u>'</u>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C)			0
code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes			7			0
	No		2				
					Total		10
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0037	Site No:	FS1176
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic		eluding deltamethrin,
can these be deployed in a reasonable period	d of time?	
 Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)? 	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm Y
 Are sea lice count records available for ins Do records adequately reflect the required 	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare proble	N/A N
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis lelongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication applicable)?	
 Have therapeutic treatments or the action Are treatments, where conducted, carried 	is taken had a significant impact upon the lice level out in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are	vels recorded?
sea lice?	whole lewer populations of part populations are	Tota Willout troutinon (10)
 Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i 	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a infestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment damage Are measures in place to mitigate against to	ge due to predators in the current or previous protthe predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
Seal Pro nets, Bird poles If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the p to question 10	e last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	sters? orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	.17)
·	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was permi	ission given by Scottish
What action was taken to prevent and mini be considered under satisfactory measu	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in res of the Act)	n code but could
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202	20
	e No: FS1176		
Date of Visit: 11/02/2025	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located withi		Υ	
If N, no further questions require completion	1.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar			
Has a current farm management agreemIs the current FMAg/S available for inspe		ea?	
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant far		· Y	
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm si	•	Y	
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of con	· ·	nent?	
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of revi	iew?	Υ	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme		V	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h farm?	ealth standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y	
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	requirements for stocks held in the are	a or farm?	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	·		
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	stocking density of any pen on any farn	n in the area or the	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any	
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?			
Arrangements for The Management of So			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	s for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?	
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y	
of statement? 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requiremo	ents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea	
lice on farms in the area or individual farms			
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta used on farms in the area or individual farm		d cleaner fish are to be Y ns within the area? Y	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		ns within the area?	
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	nces when live fish may be introduced of	or removed from the	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for the movement of live fish on an		
or individual farms?			

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallod? or more year classes may be stocked onto a odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only It include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 01/05/2024	statement? Y

Case No:	2025-0037			Date of visit:	11/02/2025						
Site No:	FS1176]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
			-								
			-								
	+	-	-								
		1									
Report Summary				1							
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/02/2025	Пор	Z 1115P								
201, 0111, 021, 11112	1.0/02/2020										



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0119Date of Visit11/02/2025Site NoFS1176Site NameEilean GrianainCase No20250037Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.



Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 18/02/2025