FHI 059, Version 13	lse	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0039			Date of visit: 12/02/2025
Time spent on site:	3 Hours	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS1019 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Strondoir Bay Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.62	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ved?	N If yes, see additional info N If yes, see additional info N	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Fish inspected in fair weather with good visibility of the stocks. Fish were observed shoaling well and appeared to be healthy and in good physical condition. Pens 8 and 5 had been crowded in preparation for harvest in the afternoon on the date of inspection. This allowed for close examination of the fish on site and no clinical signs of disease were observed in these pens.

1 fish was removed from pen 3 for VMD sampling. This fish appeared healthy both internally and externally.

Most recent treatments at the site were an Alphamax treatment which concluded on 15/01/2025 and a FW + FLS treatment which finished on 30th October 2024.

The site shore base recently installed a new ensiler which now ensiles waste from all 4 sites which operate from this location. Prior to the new ensiler build, waste was collected as whole fish by Billy Bowie.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0039]	Site No:	FS1019)			
Date of Visit:		12/02/2025	5		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	tive?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Ν	1
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)								
•	e cleaner fis		_ ^	.1 . 1				10
Total No facilities	0.41	10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species Age group	SAL							
No Fish	2023 S0s							
Mean Fish Wt	120,101 7.1kg		+					
Next Fallow Date (S	- U	03/2025		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	11/2025		
Recent (last 4 wks)	,				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
·· , ,								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available fo	or inspection?					_	Y
2. Date of last inspe-							22/03/2023	
3. Are records comp								Y
4. Are movement re								Ŷ
5. Are records comp		•						Ý
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (out	with GB) availa	idle?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movement		ıt by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst		• •		•	- /			
	•		•					<u> </u>
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent	a state of the sta	<u> </u>	- (100 0 000			Y
1 Decent martality (0.38%), Weel	< 5 (186,0.63%	6), Week 4 (72, 0.24%) V	Veek 3 (219,
 Recent mortality (Evidence of recent 	· · · ·	atvoical morta	0.74%) lities2					N
If yes, facility nos/no		••		/reason:				
	montailty poi		bolk por raoling,	1000011.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period c	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:		<u>,</u>						
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter of	details on mor	tality events sl	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines I	Records	
1. Recent treatments (see cor	mment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	Optomease	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available	•	Y
3. Are records complete and o	•	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal per	iod?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Optomease	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appro	opriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records availab	•	Y
· · · · ·	ency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	d in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
· · · ·	tality at the site been included?	Y
	aken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	d how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Ý
	uaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Ť
health status, certification if re	;quired) ?	
C Hove the hyphonday and hi	ecceptrity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimize	
-	osecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	L
	regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on s		•
•	dures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
	eillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available	•	Y
3. Any significant results?		N
	nder recent disease problems).	
Records c	checked between: 22/02/2023 - 12/02/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13				Issue	d by: FHI		
Case no:	2025-0039	Site No:	FS1019		te of visit/	12/02/2025	12/(
Priority samples:	VI	ВА	PA	Sa MG	mpling: HI		
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:30:0	0 15:00:0	0 Ir	nspector:		MD No.	8
Environmental conditions:	1 Inc	loors 2	3	4	5		
Summary samples	HIST	ВА	MG		PA	Total San	nples
Add Fish/Pools - click							
Pool/Fish No							
Fish nos	1						
Pool Group							
Species	SAL						
Average weight	7kg						
Sex	N/A						
Water Type	SW						
Stock Origin	on Gob A Bharra (FS0683)						

)2/2025	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0		Total T	ests ass	ignod	0	1					
0	I	TOLATIO	515 255	igneu	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13

Case Number:	2025-0039		Site No:	FS1019		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/02/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	compartment in	novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0		18	26	0
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0		6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Form in protoci	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or	ted (secure water supply through borehole) e or in a coastal zone with category I	0				
diseases)	farms upstrean	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		e or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	ocessing	0]			0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		_
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	•			•			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes No		0				0
code of practice			3	J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0039	Site No:	FS1019
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins6. Do records adequately reflect the required	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problem	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. e	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> <i>clongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	tions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		N/A
-	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms	
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous p he predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	
 Have escape incidents or events been exp If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 	-	ne last FHI inspection? N
·	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
•	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini be considered under satisfactory measured under satisfactory measured by the satisfacto	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered res of the Act)	in code but could
-	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0039 Site	e No: FS1019	
Date of Visit: 12/02/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	•	Y
If N, no further questions require completion	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar 2. Has a current farm management agreem 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cor 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	nent or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare action? rm management area? ite(s) to which it applies? nmencement of the agreement or stateme	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managements 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h farm?		duced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species o 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	f fish which may be stocked into the area	or farm? Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	• • •	dead fish from any Y
Arrangements for The Management of S 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements		ers and treatments? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem lice on farms in the area or individual farms	, ,	treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstaused on farms in the area or individual farm	nces under which biological controls and	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	nces when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the Y
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?	ents for the movement of live fish on and	

		Date 61 10000. 12/00/2
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or individual far	ms? Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates I date when a farm or area may be restoc	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the ked?	e earliest Y
agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto sites cove roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any	
covered by the agreement or statement?	?	
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become, or cea	ase to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or statement of the FMAg/S?	nt? Y

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2025-0039]		Date of visit:	12/02/2025]		
Site No:	FS1019]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
		-		-				
Report Summary			- nd -					
Case Type ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD	Date 13/02/2025	Insp	2 nd Insp					
	10/02/2020							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS1019

 CASE NO
 20250039

DATE OF VISIT12/02/2025SITE NAMEStrondoir BayINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.



Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

Date: 18/02/2025

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	lssu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0040			Date of visit: 20/02/2025
Time spent on site:	8 hrs	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: FS0237 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Gorsten Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.39	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-33
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease obser Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ved?	Y If yes, see additional in Y If yes, see additional in N	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
	ry out interface viole dotain for		

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0040]	Site No:	FS0237]			
Date of Visit:		20/02/2025	I		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	te representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	
Species	SAL	LUM	WRS					
Age group	2023 Q4	2023	Mix					
No Fish	517,806	1,355	38,744					
Mean Fish Wt	4.6 kg	Mix	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (S	· ·	Mar 25		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	May 25		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Mortality Records								
 Mortality records a How are mortalitie 		•			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					
4. Recent mortality (5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	••	860 (0.15%) ities?		VK 5 - 679 (0.1	11%), WK 6	i - 780 (0.13%	
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
		· · · · ·	1 10					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period ch	ecked?					
If yes, detail:		mortalitics	on ronorted to	Not or EUI2				
7. Have increased (u If yes, detail action:	inexplained)	mortaillies bee	en reported to					
	ontel boon re	Ported to EUI	lf no onter	dotaile on mort	ality overte al	poot		
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FHI	enter (details on mon	anty events sh	ieet.		

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S.
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 28/03/23 to 20/02/25

