Additional Case Information:

66/80 drawers were stocked.

Site had experienced elevated mortality during week 49 2024 21522 (1.06%), this was attributed to high number of deformities.

Alevins were observed to be good condition, with no health concerns.

Site thermometer was used due to biosecurity risks. Thermometers are calibrated before every intake and checked daily with oxyguard probes. Records and procedures of calibrations were checked and found to be satisfactory.

Site records were inspected in person on 04/02/2025 by whilst supervised by Site inspection of stock conducted on 05/02/2025 by whilst supervised by whilst supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020 2025-0045 FS1333 Case No: Site No: Date of Visit: 04/02/2025 Inspector(s): Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details? Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) No facilities inspected Total No facilities Facilities stocked **Species** SAL Age group Fry 2024 No Fish 2,015,564 Mean Fish Wt March 2025 Next Input Date (Site) 24 March 2025 Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? N Any escapes (since last visit)? If yes, detail: **Movement Records** 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 04/03/2024 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **Mortality Records** 1. Mortality records available for inspection? 2. How are mortalities disposed of? Biogas - Barkip If other detail: collected into mort bins and billy bowie collects to take to barkip 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): wk5 - 0, wk4 - 1303 (0.06%), wk3 - 6675 (0.31%), wk2 - 0 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

Treatments and Med	dicince Booorde						
Recent treatments			N/A				
If yes, detail:	(See comment):						
If other, detail:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	available for inspection?		N/A				
	ete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdr	•		N/A				
5. If yes, what treatme	ent(s)?						
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stor	red appropriately?		Y				
Biosecurity Records	5						
1. Biosecurity records	s available for inspection?		Y				
		rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed							
	peen included and how and when that v		Y				
		ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y				
health status, certifica	ation if required)?						
6 Have the husband	ry and biosecurity measures implement	ted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of							
aquaculture animals							
•	ity procedures been adequately implem	nented on site?	Y				
If no, detail:							
•							
Results of Surveilla	nce						
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	r on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results a	available for inspection?		Y				
3. Any significant res			N				
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).						
Re	ecords checked between:	04/03/2024 - 04/02/2025					

FRI 059, Version 15		issued by. FHI					oi issue. 12/
Case Number:	2025-0045		Site No:	FS1333		Insp:	
Date of Visit	04/02/2025			No of movements/supp./dest.			Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or acluding third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of sup		0		10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations			3	6	10	3
Exposure via water Site contacts			. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	İ			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of	Yes		0				0
practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		27 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020 Case No: FS1333 2025-0045 Site No: Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin. azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected? If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when L. salmonis levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elongatus is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded? 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice? 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation? 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons. **Containment Inspection** 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) Indoors, pest control, mesh screens (outflow screen 2mm) If other, detail below: 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17) Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17) 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No:	2025-0045]		Date of visit:	04/02/2025			
Site No:	FS1333]		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	+	<u> </u>						
	+							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	11/02/2025		- 11130					
	+							
	+							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0169 **DATE OF VISIT** 04/02/2025

SITE NO FS1333 SITE NAME Applecross Incubation Unit CASE NO 20250045 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/02/2025
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)