FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0052			Date of visit: 19/02/2025
Time spent on site:	1hr	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0465 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Shuna Castle Kames Fish Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail re	eason below:	
	Due to adverse weather, site	e inspection could not be conduc	eted.

Additional Case Information:

Fish input onto site Wk 43 2024 from Kames Bay East FS0462.

Recent treatments include Thermolicer, SLICE and Salmosan (Azamethiphos). Last SLICE conducted 03/02/2025.

Site is currently transferring fish to Loch Pooltiel.

Health checks are conducted weekly, with more in-depth checks occurring every two weeks. Last PCRs showed presence of Tenacibaculum, however not clinically seen on site presently.

Site is located in a farm management area that does not fallow synchronously on a single year class basis. Associated risk assessment was inspected and deemed satisfactory.

During remote paperwork (13/02/2025), all paperwork except for movement records, transport records and sea lice harvesting strategy/waypoints inspected. Other records were inspected on 19/02/2025.

Due to adverse weather, site inspection planned on 19/02/2025 could not be conducted.

FHI 059, Versi	on 13			Issued by: F	HI		Date of	f issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0052		Site No:	FS0465				
Date of Visit:		19/02/2025			Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/	Authorisation	n Details						
1. Business/sit			d by site repre	esentative?			Y	
2. Changes ma		•					Y	
Site Details (i	nclude clean	or fish for all	sactions)					
Total No facilit		10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilities	inspected	0
Species	RTR			<u> </u>			1	
Age group	2024							
No Fish	384,000							
Mean Fish Wt	845g							
Next Fallow Da		July 2026		Next Input D	ate (Site)	March 2026		
Recent (last 4	, ,			•	_ ` ` ′	(since last vis	sit)?	N
If yes, detail:	·					`	<i>,</i>	
Movement Re	ocorde							
1. Movement r		hle for inspect	tion?					Y
2. Date of last		bie for inspect	lioi i :				28/11/2023	
3. Are records	•	d correctly ent	ered?				20/11/2020	Y
4. Are moveme	•	•		aste?				Y
5. Are records								Y
6. Are health of	•	•		available?				N/A
Transport Re	corde							
1. Are any mov		ed out by (or a	on hehalf) of t	he husiness	(not using a S	TR)?		Y
If yes, is there					-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Y
Mantal'to Dan	1-							
Mortality Rec 1. Mortality red		e for inspectio	n?					Y
2. How are mo					Other (detail)		· ·
If other detail:		ames Pier and	d taken away	via Ferguson		<i>)</i>		
3. Mortality red				via i cigasor	io to Barkip.			Y
,				0.06% 218· V	Vk6 0.07% 2	56; Wk5,0.05	% 187· Wk4	0.09% 357
4. Recent mor	tality (last 4 w	ks):	,	,	, ,., _	,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5. Evidence of	recent increa	sed/atypical n	nortalities?					N
If yes, facility n	nos/no mortali	ty per facility/r	no stock per fa	acility/reason				
6. Any other pe	eaks in mortal	lity during peri	od checked?					N
If yes, detail:	and (unavalati	nod) martaliti	na haan rana	rtad to vat ar	ЕПІЭ			N/A
7. Have increa	•	neu) mortantie	es been repor	ted to vet or	гпг			IN/A
8. Have 'morta		en reported to	FHI2 If no. o	enter details	on mortality or	ante choot		V
o. Have IIIolla	mry events be	en reported to)	entel detalls (in mortality ev	rents sileet.		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	V
If yes, detail: Salmosan, SLICE If other, detail: TMS, Thermolicer	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	
higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	
etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
last visit: 16th December 2024- tenacibacu	lum spp.
PCRs. Not seeing many signs of lesions at	the
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). present in 2025	
Records checked between: 28/11/2023-19/02/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0052	Site No:	FS0465
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		Y
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year	ar class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lie	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inclusivel) as access to suitable biological and/or mecha	uding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the site	e and CoGP Farm Management Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins6. Do records adequately reflect the required	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) I standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoC	GP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>Imonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abd that records are inspected?	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2
· ·	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. n is considered to cause significant welfare probler	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
·	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicatio	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?	N/A
·	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice leve	els recorded?
	d out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site lice?	, where fewer populations or part populations are h	neld without treatment for sea
15. Is there a site specific written lice manag scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	ement procedure with waypoints describing set ac infestation?	tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons. N/A
Containment Inspection		
-	age due to predators in the current or previous pro	duction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y
CFR nets, top nets and tensioned nets		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been ex If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No ski	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mini		
·	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.	17)
•	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8 If all nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish interests and was permis	ssion given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	and was permit	g.von by bootilon
	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could
be considered under satisfactory measurements. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	ures of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail reason	n(s) N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0052 Site	No: FS0465	
Date of Visit: 19/02/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located within	a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mana	agement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreeme	ent or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspec		Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farrDoes the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site	_	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of com		ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review	~	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	nt	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum he	ealth standards for the stocks to be intro-	duced to the area or Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	requirements for stocks held in the area	or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm?	stocking density of any pen on any farm	in the area or the
individual fame: 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Se	a Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requireme lice on farms in the area or individual farms?		treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstan		cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farms		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	cleaner fish are to be Y s within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstan area or farm?	ices when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the Off sites in the area
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	lividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocl 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	by which the area or individual farm will be fall ked? ne or more year classes may be stocked onto coodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Manage		
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the control of	operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? V13 Apr 24	r statement?
Q25 left blank due to adverse weather pr	reventing site inspection.	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2025-0052		Site No:	FS0465		Insp:			
Date of Visit	19/02/2025 No of movements/s					supp./dest. Score			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10			
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0		
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			0	10	26	0		
opened .	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0		
	<u> </u>								
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3		
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts				10	3		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	<u> </u>	<u></u>					
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	porehole)	0						
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	1		1		
alocacooj		or in a coastal zone with category III	'		4		4		
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6				
		or in a coastal zone with category V							
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8				
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure				
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters							
processors			0	<u> </u>	2		0		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0						
the fales of the allective	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1		
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2						
		from zone or compartment of							
	equivalent statu		4						
		from Category III farm	8						
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	J					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0						
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3		
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5						
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0		
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0		
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2		
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0						
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1						
CoGP/Regulator				J					
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			0		
with regulator or industry	No		0				0		
code of practice	10		3	J					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0		
	No		2						
				J					
					Total		16		
					Rank		MEDIUM		

Case No:	2025-0052			Date of visit:	19/02/2025			
Site No:	FS0465)		Inspector		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					_			
					-			
	-	 						
	+							
	+		-		-			
					-			
	•							
Report Summary								
Case Type REG	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	28/02/2025							
	_							
	-							
	1							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0134Date of Visit19/02/2025Site NoFS0465Site NameShuna CastleCase No20250052Inspector

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted as adverse weather prevented site inspection. This inspection will be rescheduled later in the year.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The records were also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding R10



Date: 28/02/2025

fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes. These records were found to be adequately maintained.

On this occasion the site was not inspected because of adverse weather, therefore the measures in place for the control of parasites and containment of stock could not be assessed.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)