

Case No:	2025-0062	Date of visit:	13/03/2025			
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS0244	Site Name:	Loch Leven (1)			
Business No:	FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3 SLI	4 VMD	5 DIA	6
Water Temp (°C):	7.6	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed	N/A	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	M-33
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="checkbox"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

From mort reporting; week 4/3/24 440g 2.08% 11362 fish Moritella and Tenacibaculum. Florfenicol treatment in Feb 2024.

Reported lice levels 2025 wk1 0.14; wk2 0.15; wk3 0.34; wk4 0.56; wk5 0.63; wk6 0.91; wk7 0.36

Farm managements area M33 with Gorsten and Linnhe

Salmon mortality 2025 week 8 0.12% week 9 0.13% week 10 0.14% week 11 0.02%- attributed to seal predation.

Cleaner fish mortality zero recorded for last 4 weeks. Peaked weeks 45 and 44 of 2024 at 20.53% and 19.88% - no specific cause attributed.

Wrasse being removed at harvests and will be culled at the end of the cycle. Wrasse - both wild and farmed. Import from Northern Ireland 21/9/24.

No chemical sea lice treatment have been used on site since gaining organic status about 6 years ago

Harvests are live and also dead haul.

Last lice treatment 5th and 6th Feb- Thermolicer. Lice counts currently suspended as fish are being harvested and organic status give withdrawal period of 140 degree day for tricaine use.

Site inspection and paperwork conducted by [REDACTED]; Audited by [REDACTED]

Case No: 2025-0062

Site No: FS0244

Date of Visit: 13/03/2025

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Y
Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	16	Facilities stocked	7	No facilities inspected	16
Species	sal	wrasse			
Age group	2023 Q4	adults			
No Fish	137,258	1,539			
Mean Fish Wt	5.4kg	mixed -30-40g			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	2 April 2025		Next Input Date (Site)	Nov 2025	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N		Any escapes (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:			N		

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection:
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Y
13/12/2023
Y
Y
Y
Y

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

N

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

Ensiled - on site

If other detail: also uplift whole to Barkip while ensiler broken. Ensiled and whole waste go to Barkip.

Y
see additional information
N
Y
wrasse morts around week 45 2024.- see additional info
N/A
Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

Y

If yes, detail:

Tricaine

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

Tricaine

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

Y

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

Y

3. Any significant results?

Y

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Feb 2024 Tenacibaculum PCR

Records checked between:

13/12/23-13/3/25

Case no: 2025-0062 Site No: FS0244 Date of visit/ Sampling: 13/03/2025 13/03/2025

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: 12:45:00 13:45:00 Inspector: VMD No. 10

Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST Y BA Y MG Y VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Stock Details	Pool/Fish No	F1	F2	F3	F4								
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	6KG	2KG	5KG	3KG								
	Sex	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
	Stock Origin	Loch Garry FS1104	Loch Garry FS1104	Loch Garry FS1104	Loch Garry FS1104								
	Facility No	12	15	6	3								

03/2025 Additional Sample Information:

--

4 Total Tests assigned 5

[illegible]

Method of killing: Percussive

Sheet Relevant: ☒[illegible]

Date of visit: 13/03/2025

Fish Number									
Time sampled after death (if > 45 minutes)									
External Signs									
Behaviour	Moribund								
	Lethargic								
	Hanging vertical								
	Spiralling								
	Flashing								
	Loss of equilibrium								
Body	Dark								
	Distended abdomen								
	Anorexic								
	Scale Oedema								
Opercula	Shortened								
	Flared								
Haemorrhaging	Throat								
	Ventrum								
	Base of fins								
	Elsewhere								
Eyes	Exophthalmic								
	Enophthalmic (sunken)								
	Cataract								
	Haemorrhagic								
Gills	Pale								
	Zoned								
	Necrotic								
Lesions	Flank								
	Elsewhere								
Vent	Inflamed								
	Trailing faeces								
Lice Load	Estimate numbers								
Internal Signs									
Ascites	Clear								
	Bloody								
Oedema	In tissues								
Heart	Pale/anaemic								
	Granulomas								
	Deformed								
Liver	Petechial haem								
	Gross haem								
	Tissue breakdown								
	Enlarged								
	Colour number(s)								
	Granulomas								
	Lesions								
Pyloric caeca	Petechial haem								
	Tubules mauve								
	Lack of fat								
Spleen	Enlarged								
	Granulomas								
Gut	No food present								
	Yellow pseudo-faeces								
	External haem								
	Internal haem								
Body wall	Haemorrhaging								
Swim bladder	Haemorrhaging								
	Fluid filled								
Kidney	Swollen								
	Grey								
	Granular								
	Liquefied								
General	Parasites present								
	Anaemia								

Additional comments:

F1 adhesions, large atrium and fatty, fatty PC - very large heart so sampled each part separately. F2 one eye damaged. F3 Lesion/open wound size of 50p piece.

Case Number:	2025-0062	Site No:	FS0244	Insp:		
Date of Visit	13/03/2025	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					19	MEDIUM

Case No:

2025-0062

Site No:

FS0244

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- top nets and seal blinds around mort cones.
- If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2025-0062

Site No: FS0244

Date of Visit: 13/03/2025

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement or statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Case No: 2025-0062

Date of visit: 13/03/2025

Site No: FS0244

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
COST	1/3	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		24/04/2025		
EPIT	1/3	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		24/04/2025		
GPAT	3/3	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		24/04/2025		
SKIN	1/3	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		24/04/2025		
VSPE	3/3	31/03/2025		31/03/2025		24/04/2025		
VVIS	2/3	31/03/2025		31/03/2025		24/04/2025		
TENC	1/3	31/03/2025		31/03/2025		24/04/2025		
AGDQ	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
IHNP	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
IPNM	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
ISAQ	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
PNST	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
PMVP	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
SPVP	1/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
SALP	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		
VHSP	0/3	04/04/2025		04/04/2025		24/04/2025		

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	24/03/2025		
Diag	24/04/2025		



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0119	DATE OF VISIT	13/03/2025
SITE No	FS0244	SITE NAME	Loch Leven (1)
CASE No	20250062	INSPECTOR	

Section 1: Summary

The above site was inspected as part of the Fish Health Inspectorate's risk-based surveillance program. During inspection of the pens, several moribund fish were observed with severe exophthalmia. Four were removed for internal examination and samples were taken from three for diagnostic sampling.

Histopathology examination revealed mild proliferative branchitis with Costia-like parasites, and ulcerative dermatitis in one fish.

Vibrio sp. was identified but the level and purity of growth would not suggest this bacterium is present as a primary fish pathogen. *Moritella viscosa* was identified and as a primary fish pathogen is a risk to fish health, but in this case the mixed nature and level of growth would not suggest that it is implicated in fish morbidity. A third slow growing isolate consistent with *Tenacibaculum* sp. was isolated from the plate taken from gill material of F2.

The fish also tested positive by PCR for salmon gill poxvirus.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information, have any queries regarding this report or if any problems develop.

Section 2: Case Detail

Observations

Loch Leven (1) was inspected as part of the Fish Health Inspectorate's risk-based surveillance program. Mortality levels were low with the last week of mortality levels reported to be 0.02% for the site and attributed mainly to seal predation. During inspection of the pens, about five moribund fish were observed with severe exophthalmia. Four were removed for internal examination and samples were taken from three for diagnostic sampling.

F1 and F3 had severe exophthalmia and F2 had a damaged eye. Externally, F2 was dark and F3 had an open lesion about the size of a 50p piece on the head area. Internally, F1 displayed a fluid filled swim bladder, adhesions in the body wall with a fatty pyloric caeca and an enlarged heart. F2 had no food present in the gut.

Samples

Samples were collected from three fish according to the table below:

R09



Fish number	Facility number	Species	Stage	Origin
F1	12	Atlantic salmon	2023 Q4 6kg	Loch Garry FS1104
F2	15	Atlantic salmon	2023 Q4 2kg	Loch Garry FS1104
F3	6	Atlantic salmon	2023 Q4 5kg	Loch Garry FS1104

Results

Bacteriology: Kidney and gill material from three fish and lesion material from F3 were inoculated onto appropriate media for the isolation of bacteria.

The following bacteria were isolated:

- *Moritella viscosa* (gills F2 and F3, lesion F3);
- *Vibrio* sp. (gills F1-F3, lesion F3);
- *Tenacibaculum* sp. (gills F2)

The mixed nature of growth observed on the plates taken from lesion material of F3 would not identify the *Vibrio* sp. or *Moritella viscosa* as the primary source of the lesion.

Virology: Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the pathogens specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

Salmon gill poxvirus (SGPV)

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value	Cp Values			Reported Result (PCR)
F1	-	-	-	-	Negative
F2	19.36	31.29	31.86	31.82	POSITIVE
F3	-	-	-	-	Negative

The samples tested negative for infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV), infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV), salmonid alphavirus (SAV), viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV).

Parasitology: Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the parasites specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

The samples tested negative for *Neoparamoeba perurans* (AGD) and *Paranucleospora theridion*.

Histology: Tissue samples of gill, skin and skeletal muscle, heart, pyloric caeca, pancreas, hind gut, liver, spleen and kidney were taken from three fish. The tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin.



Histopathological examination revealed the following:

Gill: Several Costia-like (likely *Ichthyobodo* sp.) parasites and occasional basophilic epithelial inclusions (likely epitheliocystis) (F2). Cell debris among gill filament and some bacteria associated (F2). Focally extended lamellar hyperplasia and fusion with aneurysmal dilations and foci of necrosis (F3). Some aneurysmal dilation/telangiectasia (F1).

Skin & Muscle: Dermatitis with low inflammatory influx and musculature degeneration (F3).

Heart: Several thrombi nest (F2 & F3).

Gut and pyloric caeca: Small foci of fatty necrosis observed in abdominal adipose tissue (F1, F2).

Pancreas: Within the normal range.


Liver: Some cuffing (F1). F2 displayed a focus of sinusoidal hyperaemia.

Kidney: Few neutrophil-like cells observed in the interstitial cell (haematopoietic) (F1).

Spleen: Within the normal range.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 24/04/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot](https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/) (www.gov.scot)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0119	DATE OF VISIT	13/03/2025
SITE No	FS0244	SITE NAME	Loch Leven (1)
CASE No	20250062	INSPECTOR	

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. Samples were taken for diagnostic purposes. A separate report will be issued detailing the results of these tests.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R25



Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 27/03/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/)





