FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0071			Date of visit: 17/03/2025
Time spent on site:	2.5hrs	Main	Inspector:
Site No: FS1053	Site Name:	Hogan	
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Sc	otland Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.4	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-10
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		nal information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observ	ed?		nal information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Site has started to harvest stock.

Recent health surveillance (10/03/2025) showed no significant results and fish were feeding well, although some fish were observed to be lethargic and have winter sores.

During site inspection the general population were observed to be shoaling well and were in good condition. Stock were swimming deep in the water column. Low number of fish were observed to have lesions but were healing well. 5 moribund fish were observed across site, 2 of the moribund fish were dispatched at the time of inspection. 5 mortalities were observed across site and were removed at the time of inspection.

1 fish was sampled for VMD and was in good condition externally and internally

Mortality, Sea lice and treatment records, FMS were inspected remotely on 12/03/2025 by whilst supervised by
Site inspection and VHWP/BMP, records of health surveillance and movement records were inspected on 17/03/2025 by
whilst supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		Issi	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-00	71	Site No:	FS105	3			
Date of Visit:	17/03/2	025		Inspector(s	s):		I
Registration/Authorisation		,				·	
Business/site details summ	ary checked	by site represent	tative?			Y	
2. Changes made to details?						Υ	J
Site Details (include cleane	r fish for all s	sections)					
Total No facilities	12	Facilities st	ocked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species SAL							
Age group 2023 S0							
No Fish 211,888							
Mean Fish Wt 5.19kg							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	March/ A	pril 2025	Next Input L	ate (Site)	August 20:	26	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease p	roblems?			N Any escape	es (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					·	·	
Manage Danamia							
Movement Records	a far inanaati	n?					
Movement records available     Data of last increation:	e for inspection	on :				25/09/2022	'
2. Date of last inspection:		dO				25/08/2022	N
3. Are records complete and	•		•				N
4. Are movement records ava			?				Y
5. Are records complete and	•						Y
6. Are health certificates for ir	ntroductions (d	outwith GB) avail	lable?				N/A
Transport Records							
1. Are any movements carried	d out by (or or	behalf) of the b	usiness (not u	sing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a system in pla							
Mortality Records							
Mortality records available:	for inspection	?					Y
2. How are mortalities dispose	•			Other (deta	ail)		
		en away by Gari	riock to Pelagi		,		
3. Mortality records complete			noon to r olagi	a on Brooday			Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks	•		.07%), wk9 45	8 (0 16%) w	(10.461 (0.169	%) wk11 62 (	(0.03%)
5. Evidence of recent increase	•		.07 70), WILE 40	o (0.1070), wi	(10 401 (0.10	70), ***********	N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality	• •		v/reason:				
in yes, rability ribs/rib mortality	per raomty/ric	Stook per raomit	yrreason.				
6. Any other peaks in mortalit	v during nerio	d checked?					Y
		3% pen 1 handli	na event durin	a counte E\/	treatment du	ring first week	· of
				y counts. FVV	deadinent du	mig ilist weel	K OI
7. Have increased (unexplain	er. Wk50 the	mortalities had r	educed.				
		mortalities had r					N/A
If yes, detail action:							N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	V
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	1
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	V
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
Tioditi Status, Softmoutor in required.	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	·
•	V
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  If no, detail:	'
ii iio, detaii.	
D (10	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	N N
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
105/00/0000 47/00/0005	
Records checked between: 25/08/2022 - 17/03/2025	

	ni 059, version 15							155	ueu by. r	ш			
	Case no:	2025-00	)71	Site No:		FS1053			Date of v		17/0	03/2025	17/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampiin	y. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	0:00	14:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	).	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	5.19kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		ω Haweswater											
V.	T actiffy 140	0											

03/2025 Additional Sample Information:														
VMD fish dispatched via percussion.														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0071	Site No:	FS1053		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/03/2025	No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	compartment including third country  Number of suppliers	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	T 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0			10	3
Exposure via water	Site contact:	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category ∀ farm	10				
	Site's own waste only processed.	0	1			
products	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes	0				0
footbaths etc	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes	0				0
code of practice	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	1			0
	No	2				
				Total Rank		23 MEDIUM
				- COLIN		III EDIOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0071	Site No:	FS1053	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallo 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single y and bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	N Y Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)?	ent or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
<ol> <li>Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal</li> <li>Do records adequately reflect the required standard specification.</li> </ol>		∟egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	Y N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cor		tions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Υ
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coopera 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	tion between participating farms	evels recorded? ?	N/A Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedur scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail r	easons.	Υ
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation existed promets, top nets, sea lice skirts, sinker tube  If other, detail below:		•	N Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	further escapes? (Not covered i		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta		on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0071	Site No: FS1053	
Date of Visit: 17/03/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a farm management area?	
1. Is the farm under inspection located \( \) If N, no further questions require complete.	_	Y
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol>	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
farm?  9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	im health standards for the stocks to be intro ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?  or farm?  in the area or the
fish farm in the area or the individual fa	gements for the storage and disposal of any our arm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement of 13.	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requilice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced or	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	oπ sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by a date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brook covered by the agreement or statement?  Point of Compliance for Farm Management 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	d? or more year classes may be stocked onto dstock or potential broodstock are to be k ent Agreements Only	o sites covered by the Y ept on any site
<b>Management and operation</b> 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of t		or statement?

		_				_				
Case No:	2025-0071			Date of visit:	17/03/2025					
Site No:	FS1053	1		Inopostor						
Sile No.	F3 1033			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp		
	<b>-</b>									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	31/03/2025									



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 17/03/2025

 Site No
 FS1053
 Site Name
 Hogan

 Case No
 20250071
 Inspector

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers were missing from movement records. Movement records were corrected on site. Site was advised in future to input FS numbers for all movements. No further action required.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 31/03/2025