FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0072			Date of visit: 19/03/2025
Time spent on site:	ihrs	Main I	nspector:
Site No: FS1275 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Flaeshins Cooke Aquaculture Sco	otland Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNA 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-3
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Recent health surveillance (01/03/2025) conducted by business showed no significant results and fish were feeding and behaving well, although a low number of fish were observed to be lethargic and have winter sores.

Florocol treatment was conducted in February 2024 following input. SLICE treatments were conducted in August 2024.

During site inspection the general population were observed to be shoaling well and were in good condition. Stock were swimming deep in the water column and had a good feed response. Low number of fish were observed to have lesions but were healing well. There was a low number of fish that were lethargic. 5 moribund fish were observed across site and were dispatched at time of inspection. 4 runts and 1 spinal deformity was observed across site.

1 fish was sampled for VMD and was in good condition externally and internally.

Mortality, Sea lice and treatment records, FMS were inspected remotely on 12/03/2025 by whilst supervised by Site inspection and VHWP/BMP, records of health surveillance and movement records and containment records were inspected on 19/03/2025 by whilst supervised by WMD was sampled by whilst supervised by Whilst supervise

Records relating to the enhanced containment inspection were received on the 09/05/2025. The records were found to be satisfactory. Records were inspected remotely by whilst supervised by on 09/05/2025. Records relating to the enhanced containment inspection were received on the 25/06/2025. The records were found to be satisfactory. Records were inspected remotely by on 25/06/2025.

FHI 059, Versi	on 13			Issued by: F	ні		Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2025-0072		Site No:	FS1275					
Date of Visit:		19/03/2025			Inspector(s):				
Registration// 1. Business/sit 2. Changes ma	te details sumi	mary checked	l by site repre	sentative?			Y Y	3	
Site Details (in Total No facilit Species Age group	ies SAL 24 S1	er fish for all	sections) Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilities i	nspected	12	
Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Da		November 20	125	Next Input D	ate (Site)	Feb-26			
Recent (last 4			J25			(since last vis	it)?	N	
If yes, detail:		proxicino			,, cocuped	(000 1.001 1.10	, .		
Movement Re 1. Movement r 2. Date of last 3. Are records 4. Are movement 5. Are records 6. Are health of  Transport Re 1. Are any move If yes, is there	ecords availal inspection: complete and complete and complete and certificates for cords wements carrier	I correctly ento vailable for deal correctly ento introductions	ered? ad fish and wa ered? (outwith GB) a on behalf) of t	available? he business (	_	ТВ)?	06/06/2023	Y Y Y Y N/A	
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records available for inspection?  2. How are mortalities disposed of?  If other detail: Stored in bins until taken away by Garriock to Pelagia on Bressay  3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?  4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): wk8 994 (0.14%), wk9 1085 (0.15%), wk10 296 (0.05%), wk11 638 (0.14%)  5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:									
If yes, detail: 7. Have increa If yes, detail ad	6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?								

Treatments and Medicines Records								
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y							
If yes, detail: TMS								
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines records available for inspection?								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?								
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y							
Biosecurity Records								
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y							
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any								
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed								
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	Y							
higher health status, certification if required)?								
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to								
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y							
etc.)?								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y							
aquaculture animals held on site?								
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y							
If no, detail:								
Results of Surveillance								
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y							
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y							
3. Any significant results?	N							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).								
Records checked between: 06/06/2023 - 19/03/2025								

Г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	ued by:	гпі			
	Case no:	2025-00	)72	Site No:		FS1275			Date of Samplin		19/0	03/2025	19/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:3	0:00	14:4	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	10
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Δ	add Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	3.7kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		ധ Cairndow Hatchery (FS0323)											
V.	T domity 140	9											

03/2025	03/2025 Additional Sample Information:													
	VMD fish dispatched via percussion													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0072		Site No:	FS1275		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/03/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	<u> </u>	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total Rank		23 MEDIUM
					· variiv		III EDIOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0072	Site No:	FS1275
3. Does the site have access to a range of licen	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year need in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included in a saccess to suitable biological and/or mecha	uding deltamethrin,
	nent agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) andard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the Coo	3P during the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmo</i> or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period the	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or al nat records are inspected?	ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish He. b. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. considered to cause significant welfare probler	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elo	ered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le ngatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	ns? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried o	taken had a significant impact upon the lice leve	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice managem scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice inference.	nent procedure with waypoints describing set ac estation?	tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons.
	e due to predators in the current or previous pro e predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	duction cycles?
f other, detail below:		
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministe 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forti	•	17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escap	pees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	eed with local wild fish interests and was permissise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in s of the Act)  ards to containment? If no, please detail reason	code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0072	Site No: FS1275	
Date of Visit: 19/03/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance  1. Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	v
If N, no further questions require comple	_	
<ul><li>2. Has a current farm management agree</li><li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available for instance</li><li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li><li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li></ul>	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimul farm?	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restor 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto so	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S?  12/04/2024	statement?

in containment, aimed at preventing escapes and recovering escaped fish?			SSI, 2,9		company general marine containment contingency plan a and was found to be satisfactory. 09/05/2025 - site specificotingency plan was received and found to be statisfactor	С
b(i). Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and	the site					
General records  2.1 With regard to each facility, net, screen and mooring at each site, a record should be maintained of:-			CoGP: 4.4.9, SSI 2,1	, 4.4.14,		
,		Facilities	Moorings	Nets	7	
a) The name of the manufacturer	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ	Fusion Marine, Knox seal pro nets, bird net/ poles	
b) Any special adaptations	Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	New moorings had been recently put in.	
2025-0072			CNA SW			Page 1

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement		Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary		
c) The name of the supplier	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ			
d) The date of purchase	Low	N	Υ	N	09/05/2025 - records of nets and pens purchase dates were received and found to be satisfactory.		
e) Each inspection including				_	and found to be satisfactory.		
i) the name of the person conducting the inspection	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ			
ii) the date of each inspection	Medium	Υ	Υ	Υ			
iii) the place of each inspection	Low	Υ	Υ	Υ			
iv) the outcome of each inspection	High	Υ	Υ	Υ	Inspections done on a daily basis' by site staff		
f) the date and result of each repair, equipment test and antifouling treatment carried out	High	N/A	N/A	N/A	Equipment is new and has currently had no repairs and anti fouling treatment had been conducted.		
2.2. In relation to each net a record of:				_			
i) The mesh size	Medium	Υ	SSI, 2,2				
ii) The code which appears on the identification tag	Medium	Υ	1				
iii) The place of use, storage and disposal	Medium	N/A	1		stored with servicing company		
iv) The depth of water between the bottom of the net and the seabed as measured at the mean low water spring	Low	Y	1				
2.3. In relation to each facility a record of:			4				
i) The date of construction	Low	Y	SSI. 2.3				
ii) The material used in construction	Low	Y	- , _,-				
iii) Its dimensions	Low	Y	1				
2.4. In relation to each mooring a record of-			SSI, 2,4				
i) The date of installation	Low	Y	1				
ii) The design and weight of the anchors	Low	Y	1				
iii) The length of the mooring ropes or chains	Low	Y	1				
2.5. A record of any navigation markers deployed at each site at which fish are farmed	Low	Y	SSI, 2,5				
2.6 In respect of sites at which fish are farmed in inland waters <sup>3</sup>			SSI, 2,6				
a) The type, method of and date of construction of any flood prevention or flood defence measures in place	Low	N/A	1				
b) The date of and results of any tests conducted on any such measures	Low	N/A					
c) The date of any incident where the site was flood	Low	N/A					
d) The water course height during any such flood incident 2.7 A record of-	Low	N/A	SSI, 2,7				
a) The date of any severe weather event which caused damage to any facility, net or mooring	Medium	N/A	SSI, 2,11 (a)	)			
b) Any action taken to rectify any such damage	High	N/A	SSI, 2,11 (b)	)			
Pen and mooring systems							

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
2.8 Are there documented procedures maintained regarding the selection and installation of pens and moorings?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.8, 4.4.13	Gael force assist with installation.
2.9 Can the site demonstrate evidence that the design specification of pens and moorings are suitable for purpose and correctly installed?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.9, 4.4.14	
2.10 Do pen systems meet the manufacturers guidelines?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.10	
2.11 Are pen systems inspected and approved by suitably qualified / experienced person(s)?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.11	
2.12 Is there evidence of the competence of personnel involved in the design, installation and maintenance of pen and mooring systems?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.12, 4.4.15	
2.13 Are pen and mooring components inspected with a) a documented SOP	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.16	
b) a documented inspection plan based on a risk assessment			0.004445	
2.14 Do all nets used on site meet industry standards?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.17	
2.15 Can the site demonstrate an awareness of the minimum fish size in relation to net size	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.19	
2.16 Does the net design, quality and standard of manufacture take into account the conditions that are likely to be experienced on site and include adequate safety margins?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.20	
2.17 Are nets treated with a UV inhibitor?	Low	Υ	CoGP 4.4.21	
2.18 Are nets tested at a pre-determined frequency?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.22	Once every year nets go back to manfacturer for servcing
2.19 Is the method of test procedure based upon the manufacturers advice?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.22	
2.20 Are frequent net inspections conducted to look for damage?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	Net washer check the net, every fortnight. Diver checks the net once a month.
2.21 Are net inspection records maintained?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	
2.22 Is the system by which nets are attached to the pen and weighted inspected frequently?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.24	
2.23 Where damage to nets and/or associated fittings has occurred, or the potential for damage exists, has remedial action been taken?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.25	
b(ii). Inspection of records relating to training				
3.1 Are training programmes and plans relevant to the various onsite activities documented?	High	Υ	CoGP 7.1.8	

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
3.2 Is there a satisfactory record of all training and qualifications for each person working at the site in relation to any boat operations? (This excludes well boat operations)	High	Υ	SSI 2,6,a	
3.5 With respect to any transfer of or handling of fish is there a record of all training of each person working on site in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish?	High	Y	SSI 2,7,a	
b(iii). Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk asse	ssments			
4.1 Are procedures which could increase the risk of fish escaping considered to be carefully planned and supervised to minimise risk?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.29, 5.4.12	
4.2 Before procedures are conducted on site, are the following in place:			CoGP 4.4.30, 5.4.13 SSI 2,7, b , SSI 2, 8, c	
a) a documented risk assessments	High	Υ	1	
o) standard operating procedures	High	Υ	1	
c) contingency plan	High	Υ		
4.3 In relation to any boat operations at each site at which fish are farmed is there a record of				
-The type and size of each boat used for operations on the site	Low	Υ	SSI 2,6,b	
- The type and size of any propeller guard fitted to each boat used on the site	Low	N	SSI 2,6,c	Records relating to the propeller guards were received on 25/06/2025 and were found to be statisfactory.
4.4 Does the site suffer from regular or heavy predation?		N		1
4.5 Are there records of site specific risk assessments ascertaining the risk of predator attack?	Medium	Υ	CoGP 4.4.26	
4.6 Are there risk assessments undertaken on a pre-determined frequency?	Low	Υ	CoGP 4.4.26	
4.7 A record of any anti-predator measures undertaken at each site at which fish are farmed including:			SSI, 2,8,a	
The type and location of each net, fence and scarer deployed	Medium	N/A		
The use of lethal means by any person involved in operations on the site	Low	N/A	SSI, 2,8,b	
4.8 Where predator nets are deployed is the advice of Annex 7 considered?	Low	Υ	CoGP 4.4.27	

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
5.1 Are there any obvious containment issues on the site?	High	N		
5.2 Is the net mesh size considered to be capable of containing all fish sizes present on site?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.18	

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
5.3 Do nets carry numbered ID tags?	Low	Υ	SSI 2,2 ii	
Look at a percentage of nets on site - Does the net location meet the inventory?	Low	Υ		
5.4 Are nets stored away from direct sunlight?	Low	N/A	CoGP 4.4.21	
5.6 Are appropriate measures in place to mitigate predation on site? (Provide detail if necessary)		Υ	1	
5.7 Are boat operations conducted in such a manner which prevents damage to nets and pens?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.28	
5.8 Is there a requirement for navigation markers to be deployed?	Low	Υ	MSA <sup>5</sup> 2010 P4, S21	
5.9 If yes, has this been done in accordance with the necessary requirements?	Low	Υ	MS Marine licence	
5.10 If Yes to 5.8 is there a record of any navigation markers deployed?	Low	Υ	SSI 2,5	
d. Inspection of site specific procedures				
6.1 Are pen nets examined for holes, tears or damage prior to and during the stocking, moving or crowding of fish?	High	У	CoGP 4.4.31	
6.2 If helicopter transfer of fish is conducted are receiving pen(s) properly prepared:-			CoGP 4.4.32	
a) nets should be secure	High	N/A	1	
b) pens should be marked with buoys clearly visible from the air	High	N/A	1	
c) radio contact between farm staff and helicopter crew should be maintained or where this is not possible, pens receiving fish should be manned	High	N/A	CoGP 4.4.33	
Consideration should be given to all other site procedures being undertaken during the visit with respect to containment and the risk of fish farm escapes				

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary			
Additional actions	Powers			Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary			
e) Collection of samples If necessary collect samples. Indicate if samples have been taken and detail what those samples are and the purpose of their collection	Power grante	ed under the Act	- section 5 (3) (a)				
h) Enforcement Notice.  If an enforcement notice has been issued then maintain a copy / duplicate and record detail  Guidance on completing the Enforcement Notice	Power grante	d under the Act	- Section 6 (2)				

1 An 'escape event' can be defined as any circumstances on or in the vicinity of a fish farm which are believed to have caused an escape, or which may have given rise to a significant risk of an escape of fish.

2 FHI interpretation – Informing the SSPO is only a requirement where the site belongs to an Authorised Production Business which is signed up to the CoGP.

- 3 being waters which do not form part of the sea or any creek, bay or estuary or of any river as far as far as the tide flows
- 4 The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (as amended)
- 5 The Marine Scotland Act 2010

Case No:	2025-0072			Date of visit:	19/03/2025					
Site No:	FS1275			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Erog	eq. Date of Notification								
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
		Database	шэр	THORE	шэр	willing	шэр	2 Insp		
	<u> </u>									
	<del>                                     </del>									
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp							
ECI, VMD, SLI	31/03/2025									
ECI, VMD, SLI CNA	07/04/2025									
Case Completion	25/06/2025									
	-									



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095
 DATE OF VISIT
 19/03/2025

 SITE NO
 FS1275
 SITE NAME
 Flaeshins

 CASE NO
 20250072
 INSPECTOR

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

A mortality event exceeded the reporting threshold and was not reported. A historical report
has since been submitted. Site was advised in the future to ensure all mortality events that
exceed the reporting threshold are reported. No further action required.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements.

An enhanced containment inspection was conducted. A separate report will be issued in due course.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 31/03/2025
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### **SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR**

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 19/03/2025

 Site No
 FS1275
 Site Name
 Flaeshins

 Case No
 20250072
 Inspector

#### ENHANCED CONTAINMENT INSPECTION

An enhanced inspection to ascertain the risk of escape from the fish farm was conducted in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007.

The visit consisted of an inspection of facilities, records and the provision of advice.

## a) Inspection of i) escape incidents and ii) contingency procedures

The site had a generic company marine containment contingency plan in place, but did not have a site specific containment contingency plan.

The following recommendations are made for improvement.

It is recommended that a site specific containment contingency plan is put in place in accordance with the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture (CoGP) Chapter 4, point 4.34.

It is recommended that a record of the site specific containment contingency plan is maintained in accordance with schedule 2, section 9 of the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008.

### b)i) Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and the site

Minor issues were raised regarding records relating to equipment, facilities and the site.

The following recommendation is made for improvement.

It is recommended that a record is put in place and maintained of the date of purchase of pens and nets in accordance with The Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008, under schedule 2, Section 1 (d).

# b)ii) Inspection of records relating to training

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.



#### b)iii) Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk assessments

A minor issue was raised regarding records relating to procedures and risk assessments.

The following recommendation is made for improvement.

It is recommended that a re cord is maintained of the type and size of any propeller guard fitted to each boat used for operations on the site, in accordance with The Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008, schedule 2, Section 6 (c).

#### c) Inspection of site and site equipment

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

## d) Inspection of site specific procedures

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

#### Further Action

The recommendations in this report should be implemented by **Friday 9<sup>th</sup> May 2025**Documentation should be provided as evidence that the recommendations have been implemented. Enforcement action may result if the recommendations are not implemented in the necessary time frame. Records should be sent to Marine directorate Fish Health Inspectorate (FHI) (contact details are provided below).

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### **SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR**

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 19/03/2025

 Site No
 FS1275
 Site Name
 Flaeshins

 Case No
 20250072
 Inspector

## **Case completion report**

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 09/06/2025. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 25/06/2025
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)