

Case No:	2025-0075	Date of visit:	10/03/2025			
Time spent on site:	3h	Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS1361	Site Name:	Applecross Smolt Ongrowing 2			
Business No:	FB0169	Business Name:	Bakkafrost Scotland			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3 VMD	4 DIA	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	12	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed	Y	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	Y					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

First fish to be put onto site, issue with fungus reported.

TMS used for check weighs. Increased salinity used for fungus treatment.

Some samples had been taken but results have not been received yet. Samples of fungus being taken on the day of the inspection.

Mortality levels are above the threshold and will be reported but this has not been reported yet.

Surveillance frequency, number of movement offsite could not be calculated due to lack of data, scoring was based on Applecross Smolt Ongrowing 1 data as likely to be similar.

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity, probes are checked regularly with a calibrated oxyguard thermometer.

Case No: 2025-0075 Site No: FS1361

Date of Visit: 10/03/2025

Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Y
N

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	4	Facilities stocked	1	No facilities inspected	4
Species	SAL				
Age group	2023 Q4				
No Fish	290 000				
Mean Fish Wt	212g				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	17/18	Next Input Date (Site)	possible wk 17/18		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?		N
If yes, detail:	post transfer fungus				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection:
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Y
first inspection
Y
Y
Y
N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Y
Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

Whole fish - Dundas Chemicals

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

wk 9 4468 (1.43%), wk 10 12,770 (4.14%),

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

as above, post transfer fungus, mortality removal on-going as well as moribund culls

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
N/A
N/A
N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	TMS	
If other, detail:	Increased salinity	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:	25/2/2025 to 10/3/2025
--------------------------	------------------------

Case no: 2025-0075

Site No: FS1361

Date of visit/ Sampling: 10/03/2025 10/03/2025

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: 16:00:00 17:00:00

Inspector: VMD No. 8

Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST Y BA Y MG Y VI PA Y Total Samples

Add Fish/ Pools - click

	Pool/Fish No	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5							
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6-15						
	Pool Group	P1	P1	P1	P1	P1							
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	200g	200g	200g	200g	200g	200g						
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
		Applecross smolt unit	Applecross smolt unit	Applecross smolt unit	Applecross smolt unit	Applecross smolt unit	Applecross smolt unit						
	Stock Origin	2	2	2	2	2	2						
	Facility No	1	1	1	1	1	1						

Additional Sample Information:

2

[illegible]

Case no: 2025-0075

Site No: FS1361

Method of killing: Anaesthetic

Date of visit: 10/03/2025

Inspector(s):

Sheet Relevant: Y

S for strong presence: M for medium presence: W for weak presence

Fish Number		1	2	3	4	5					
Time sampled after death (if > 45 minutes)											
External Signs											
Behaviour	Moribund	S	S	S	S	S					
	Lethargic										
	Hanging vertical										
	Spiralling										
	Flashing										
	Loss of equilibrium										
Body	Dark										
	Distended abdomen										
	Anorexic										
	Scale Oedema										
Opercula	Shortened										
	Flared										
Haemorrhaging	Throat										
	Ventrum	S	S	S	S	S					
	Base of fins	S	S	S	S	S					
	Elsewhere										
Eyes	Exophthalmic										
	Enophthalmic (sunken)										
	Cataract										
	Haemorrhagic										
Gills	Pale			m		w					
	Zoned										
	Necrotic										
Lesions	Flank	S	S	S	S	S					
	Elsewhere										
Vent	Inflamed										
	Trailing faeces										
Lice Load	Estimate numbers										
Internal Signs											
Ascites	Clear										
	Bloody										
Oedema	In tissues										
Heart	Pale/anaemic										
	Granulomas										
	Deformed	W	W	W		W					
Liver	Petechial haem										
	Gross haem										
	Tissue breakdown										
	Enlarged										
	Colour number(s)	2	2	2	2	2					
	Granulomas										
	Lesions										
Pyloric caeca	Petechial haem										
	Tubules mauve										
	Lack of fat										
Spleen	Enlarged				M						
	Granulomas										
Gut	No food present										
	Yellow pseudo-faeces										
	External haem										
	Internal haem										
Body wall	Haemorrhaging										
Swim bladder	Haemorrhaging										
	Fluid filled										
Kidney	Swollen										
	Grey										
	Granular	M	M	M	M	M					
	Liquefied										
General	Parasites present										
	Anaemia										

S for strong presence: **M** for medium presence: **W** for weak presence

2025-0075 Clinical Score Sheet Page 2 of 3

Additional comments:

F2 to F5 had fungal infection around the pectoral fin causing significant erosion.

Case Number:	2025-0075	Site No:	FS1361	Insp:		
Date of Visit	10/03/2025	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					20 MEDIUM	

Case No:

2025-0075

Site No:

FS1361

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- site indoors
- If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2025-0075

Date of visit: 10/03/2025

Site No: FS1361

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
IPN (PCR) - IPNM	2/5	17/03/2025		17/03/2025		01/05/2025		
VHS (PCR) - VHSP	0/5	17/03/2025		17/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Salmonid alphavirus (SAV) (PCR) - SALP	0/5	17/03/2025		17/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Gyrodactylus salaris - GSAL	0/5	17/03/2025		17/03/2025		01/05/2025		
IHN (PCR) - IHNP	0/5	17/03/2025		17/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Gill pathology - GPAT	4/5	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Heart pathology - HPAT	5/5	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Adhesions - ADHE	2/5	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Kidney pathology - KPAT	1/5	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Spleen pathology - SPAT	3/5	26/03/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Saprolegnia parasitica	2/2	25/3/2025		26/03/2025		01/05/2025		
Aeromonas spp. (PCR) AERO	1/1	01/04/2025		02/04/2025		01/05/2025		
Aeromonas salmonicida (PCR) ASAP	0/1	02/04/2025		02/04/2025		01/05/2025		
Aeromonas spp. Culture	5/5	09/04/2025		15/04/2025		01/05/2025		
No significant bacteria (culture) - NSIG	1/5	09/04/2025		15/04/2025		01/05/2025		
Aeromonas salmonicida pectinolytica - ASPE	5/5	26/06/2025		01/07/2025		02/07/2025		

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI	18/03/2025		
DIA	01/05/2025		
DIA additional result	03/07/2025		



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	10/03/2025
SITE No	FS1361	SITE NAME	Applecross Smolt Ongrowing 2
CASE No	20250075	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. Samples were taken for diagnostic purposes. A separate report will be issued detailing the results of these tests.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

R04



Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 18/3/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	10/03/2025
SITE No	FS1361	SITE NAME	Applecross Smolt Ongrowing 2
CASE No	20250075	INSPECTOR	

Section 1: Summary

During a routine site inspection, it was reported that there were elevated mortalities, on inspection of the stock approximately 100 salmon were observed with fungal-like lesions, five were removed for further examination and subsequent diagnostic sampling.

Histopathological examination revealed features consistent with systemic bacterial infection resembling *Aeromonas* sp. which was also identified on plates taken from kidney material. Sequencing was conducted on the isolate however, the result was not conclusive enough to provide further identification.

Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus was detected in three fish by qPCR. The significance of this result was unclear as no associated pathology was observed and the fish have been vaccinated.

DNA sequence analysis was performed on material from the pectoral fins of F1 and F2 indicating the isolate to be *Saprolegnia parasitica* phylotype S2 (common to UK).

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information, have any queries regarding this report or if any problems develop.

Section 2: Case Detail

Observations

The site was stocked with 290,000 2023 Q4 Atlantic salmon at an average weight of 212g, this was the first batch of fish to be input to the site. The fish were transferred from Applecross Smolt Unit 2 (FS1362) a couple of weeks prior to the inspection. Post input, fungus had become established in the population with 12,770 (4.14%) mortalities recorded in week 10. On inspection of the stock moribund salmon were observed with obvious fungal lesions on the flanks, fins and backs.

Of the five fish sampled all were moribund with haemorrhaging on the ventrum and base of the fins with fungal lesions also present. Significant fin erosion was noted on F2 -F5.

Internally, with the exception of F4, the fish had deformed hearts, F4 displayed splenomegaly and the kidneys of all fish were granular in texture.

Samples

Samples were collected from five fish according to the table below:

Fish number	Facility number	Species	Stage	Origin
F1-F5	1	Atlantic salmon	200g 2023 Q4	Applecross Smolt Unit 2

Results

Microbiology: Kidney and spleen material from F1-F5 were inoculated onto appropriate media for the isolation of bacteria.

The following bacteria were isolated:

- Aeromonas* sp. F1-F5 (kidney)

The level and purity of growth would suggest that this bacterium would be implicated in morbidity.

From the tests conducted, we do not have evidence of resistance to florfenicol or sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim and resistance to amoxycillin and oxytetracycline.

All fins showed the presence of fungal mycelium consistent with *Saprolegnia* sp. and dermal erosion. Samples from F1 and F2 were sent for DNA sequence analysis which confirmed *Saprolegnia parasitica* phylotype S2 .

Virology: Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the pathogens specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV)

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value	Cp Values			Reported Result (PCR)
F1	-	-	-	-	Negative
F2	-	-	-	-	Negative
F3	16.70	37.16	35.33	37.36	POSITIVE
F4	15.68	35.89	37.39	36.56	POSITIVE
F5	-	-	-	-	Negative

The samples tested negative for infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV), salmonid alphavirus (SAV) and viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV).

Parasitology: Fins were collected to determine the presence of *Gyrodactylus salaris* using light microscopy.

R09



No monogenean parasites were observed.

Histology: Tissue samples of gill, skin and skeletal muscle, heart, pyloric caeca, pancreas, hind gut, liver, spleen, kidney were taken from F1-F5. The tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin.

Histopathological examination revealed the following:

Gill: Small scatter foci of lamellar hyperplasia (F2, F3). Several dense aggregates of Gram-negative rod-shape bacteria (F3, F4), also observed in the cell debris among gill filaments (F1, F3 & F4). Low level of inflammation observed in F3, F4.

Skin & Muscle: Within the normal range.

Heart: Minor epicarditis (F1). F3 and F4 displayed epicarditis with dense aggregates of Gram-negative rod-shape bacteria and F2 to a lesser extent. Bacteria also observe within the two chambers in F3.

Gut and pyloric caeca: Mild peritonitis (F3, F5). Some gut content with Gram-negative bacteria associated (F2, F3). F5 displayed reduced abdominal fat.

Pancreas: Within the normal range.

Liver: Hepatocellular vacuolation (macrovesicles), mild, multifocal (F4).

Kidney: Some foci of interstitial cell (haemopoietic) necrosis and few aggregates of rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria (F3).

Spleen: Cuffing observed in all fish. Some capsulitis (F1, F2, F3) with Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria observed in F3.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 01/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot](https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/) (www.gov.scot)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0169	DATE OF VISIT	10/03/2025
SITE No	FS1361	SITE NAME	Applecross Smolt Ongrowing 2
CASE No	20250075	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

With regard to the previous report issued on the 1/5/2025, it was reported that *Aeromonas* sp. was isolated from kidney samples from F1-F5. It was requested that further testing be completed to identify the isolate.

Sequencing of the 16s and rpoD genes indicated *Aeromonas salmonicida* but no further species ID could be achieved using these methods.

Further QPCR and sequencing tests targeting the vapA gene that encodes for the A-layer surface virulence array protein were used, both reported as negative, indicating a potential identification of *Aeromonas salmonicida* subspecies *pectinolytica*, as this does not possess the A-layer gene, in addition, biochemical screening for pectinolytic activity was consistent with *Aeromonas salmonicida* subspecies *pectinolytica*.

Please refer to the previous report for all results.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 02/07/2025

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot](https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/) (www.gov.scot)