FHI 059, Version 13	ŀ	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0078			Date of visit: 12/03/2025
Time spent on site:	4h	Main Inspec	ctor:
Site No: FS1342 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	West Strome Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-20
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	ed?	Y If yes, see additional inf N If yes, see additional inf N	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.

FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

2023 peaks in mortality wk 31 10082 (1.99%), wk 32 15187 (3.07%) ERM.

2024 peaks in mortality, wk 03 3968 (1%) , early maturation, CMS

SLICE used in September, October, December, January,

A few fish observed with lesions, these were not removed due to strong startle response.

The predator control plan details that there was an incident in 2022 with seals accessing one pen, no escapes notification has been submitted for this incident. Requested that notifications are submitted retrospectively. Update 9.4.25 Evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the seals access and exit from the pen did not result in circumstances that gave rise to a significant risk of escape therefore, the escapes notification forms are not required in this instance.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0078]	Site No:	FS1342				
Date of Visit:		12/03/2025]		Inspector(s)			l i
Registration/Autho								_
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	uctails :						I	J
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	8	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL							
Age group	2024 Q4							
No Fish	683,146							
Mean Fish Wt	887g	A						
Next Fallow Date (S	· ·	April 2026		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Autumn 20		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	iems?		IN	Any escapes	s (since last \	/ISIT)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							19/07/2023	•
3. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement re	cords availab	le for dead fis	h and waste?	•				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (out	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movement		it by (or on be	half) of the hu	isiness (not us	ing a STB)2			Y
If yes, is there a syst				•				Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a								Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Whole fish -	Dundas Che	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	l correctly ente						Y
				0.02%), wk 10		, wk 09 364 (0.05%), wk 0	8 309
4. Recent mortality (. ,	kground morts	-			NI
 Evidence of recerning If yes, facility nos/no 		•••		reason:				IN
	montainty per	racinty/no sto		neason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	-	al information						
7. Have increased (u				o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	. ,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Reco	ords	
1. Recent treatments (see comme	ent)?	Y
If yes, detail:	TMS	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for	•	Y
3. Are records complete and corre	•	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropria	itely?	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for		Y
	of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
•	which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality		Y
	n in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	w and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
	ulture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if require	ed)?	
-	curity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been cove	ered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
-	arding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		Y
If no, detail:	s been adequately implemented on site?	
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveilla	nce been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for in	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Y
3. Any significant results?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under	recent disease problems). CMS ERM	
Records check	ked between: 19/7/2023 to 12/3/2025	

F	HI 059, Version 13							lss	ued by:	FHI			
	Case no:	2025-00	078	Site No:		FS1342			Date of		12/	03/2025	12/(
l	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	ig: HI		I	
l	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:3	0:00	12:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD N	o.	5
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	800g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Loch Ness											

FHI 059, Version 13

)3/2025	5 Additional Sample Information:											
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0											
				5								

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

Case Number:	2025-0078		Site No:	FS1342		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/03/2025		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	•	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1				
Management practices			None '	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters		1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	-	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	-	rom MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	; 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	Maa		-	1			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		19
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202
Case No: 2025-0078	Site No:	FS1342
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	Ν
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis? Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v and can these be deployed in a reasonable pe	vell as access to suitable biological and/or me	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)		
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where C. et		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions		
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried		
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, v sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations ar	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage recognised scenarios during the escalation of		actions to deal with Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	e due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below	w) Y
Highly tensioned seal pro nets, top nets		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	I local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag	reed with local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re-	gards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0078	Site No: FS1342	
Date of Visit: 12/03/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	/ithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	tion.	
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for ins Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	t farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statemen	У Ү Ү
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	e ment m health standards for the stocks to be introdu	ced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	tion requirements for stocks held in the area or s of fish which may be stocked into the area or num stocking density of any pen on any farm in	r farm? Y
	ements for the storage and disposal of any dea	ad fish from any fish Y
Arrangements for The Management o		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	s and treatments? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	pility and the use of medicines on farms covere	ed by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far	ements for the sensitivity testing of available trees ms?	eatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and clearms?	eaner fish are to be Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for synchronous treatments on farms v	within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced or re	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on and of	ff sites in the area or Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	lual farms?
Fallowing		
•	y which the area or individual farm will be fallow ed?	and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto site	es covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept o	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or state of the FMAg/S? 19/08/2024	atement?

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2025-0078	Date of visit: 12/03/2025
Site No:	FS1342	Inspector:

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	18/03/2025		
Case completion	16/04/2025		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	•		



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS1342

 CASE NO
 20250078

DATE OF VISIT 12/03/2025 SITE NAME West Strome INSPECTOR

Case completion report

It was recommended that escapes notification forms should be submitted by the 18th April 2025 as records detailed an incident where a seal had gained access to one of the pens.

Evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the seals access and exit from the pen did not result in circumstances that gave rise to a significant risk of escape therefore, the escapes notification forms are not required in this instance.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 16/4/2025

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS1342

 CASE NO
 20250078

DATE OF VISIT 12/03/2025 SITE NAME West Strome

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements.

On this occasion recommendations were issued in relation to containment and escapes.

It was noted in the predator control plan that in 2022 seals had been observed in one of the pens, this incident had not been notified to the Inspectorate using the escapes notification form.

Whilst it is acknowledged no fish were thought to have escaped, any suspected escape from a fish farm, or circumstances which give rise to a significant risk of escape, must be reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate.

Seals within a stocked pen are currently considered to be circumstances which give rise to a significant risk of escape.

• Escapes notification forms should be completed for this incident.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by **18th April 2025.** Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Date: 18/3/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)