FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0082			Date of visit: 13/03/2025
Time spent on site:	3.5 hours	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0430 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Nevis A Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.68	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-23
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	ed?	Y If yes, see additional N If yes, see additional N	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

### FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

Mortality Salmon: 22/09/2024 - 1.43% (3855) due to freshwater treatment losses, 09/02/2025 - 2.68% (3662) due to thermolicer treatment losses.

Wrasse mortality: Wk 7: 0.15% (37), Wk 8: 0.05% (12), Wk 9: 0.09% (22), Wk 10: 0.09% (21). Mortality has been low after initial input. 22/09/2024 - 1.19% (277). Before treatments wrasse are either creeled out or passive graded out of the crowded fish.

Treatments: Thermolicer treatment 3/02/2025 - fish were too big and affected by CMS that it was decided fish wouldn't be treated again unless absolutely necessary. Thermolicer treatments in September and December 2024. Freshwater in July 2024. Paramove in March and June 2024. SLICE in January, March and May 2024.

Sealice: Currently managing by harvest. When lice levels have risen, treatments have reduced the levels significantly.

Health Surveillance: Most recent vet report - November 2024 - One fish taken for diagnostic sampling - detected PRV (Piscine orthoreovirus). Most recent histo report - 19/02/2025 - heart pathology results possibly due of PMCV.

During physical inspection of the site, fish were shoaling well a few metres below the water surface. Fish had a good feeding response. Across the four stocked pens, 3 lethargic fish were observed. A total of 5 lice were seen.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0082	]	Site No:	FS0430				
Date of Visit:		13/03/2025	]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta		checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	J
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	5
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group	2023 Q4	Wild						
No Fish	97,440	21,879						
Mean Fish Wt	7.0kg	132g						
Next Fallow Date (Si	· ·	May 2025		Next Input Da		November		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	SRS, CMS							
Movement Records								
1. Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		inspection:					12/12/2023	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	•				12/12/2020	Y
4. Are movement red		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered?	,					Y
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst				•	-			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•			<b>D</b> '			Ŷ
2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	es aisposea o	0T?			Biogas - Barl	кір		
3. Mortality records of	omplete end	a correctly onto	arad?					v
5. Mortality records t	complete and	Correctly ente		0.000/ (070) 14	VIL 0: 0 160/ //		0.200/ (455)	1
4. Recent mortality (I	last ( wks):		0.24% (255)	0.28% (373), V	VK 8: U. 10% (2	210), VVK 9:	0.38% (455),	VVK TU:
5. Evidence of recen		atypical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				
,								
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period ch	ecked?					Y
	See addition	- ·						
7. Have increased (u			en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicin	es Records		
1. Recent treatments (see	comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	Tricane		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records avail	able for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete a	nd correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal	period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s	)?	Tricane	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored a	opropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records ava	ilable for inspection?		Y
•	•	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	• • •	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
•	mortality at the site been included	•••	Y
4. Has the action that will I	be taken in the event that the pre	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	and how and when that will be n		Y
5. Has the health status of	aquaculture animals being stock	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification	if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry an	d biosecurity measures implemer	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease be	een covered (movement of staff,	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation availa	ble regarding the measures in pla	ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held	on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity pro	ocedures been adequately impler	nented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health s	surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results availa	•		Y
3. Any significant results?			Y
	d under recent disease problems)	). See additional information	
· · · · ·			
Record	ds checked between:	12/12/2023 - 13/03/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Case Number:	2025-0082		Site No:	FS0430		Insp:	
Date of Visit	13/03/2025	/03/2025 No of movements/supp./des					Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
0,000	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0	-	10	20 14	0
		•					
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0	_	6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts			<u> </u>	10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	end (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or	borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	1		2
		n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	· ·	2	4		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category $V$					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	- 1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status					
	-	from zone or compartment of	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	a from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	i			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
		t for waste from other farms	5				5
Use of unpasteurised feeds		Inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas		5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator			<u> </u>	l			
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry	No		0				0
code of practice	140		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		21
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0082	Site No:	FS0430
	in the previous 4 years? quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for insp</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required s</li></ul>	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	<i>nonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or d that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> <i>longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
-	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice ir	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail i	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	e due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles? N
	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	V) Y
Midguard weighted nets, sinker tubed and If other, detail below:	I sliders, bird poles and top nets.	
3 Have ascane incidents or events been even	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHL inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	-	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
-	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	es of the Act) gards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0082	Site No: FS0430	
Date of Visit: 13/03/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	•	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol>	t farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag		oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	tion requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	bers and treatments? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	e treatments for sea Y
	nstances under which biological controls and	I cleaner fish are to be Y
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
• •	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s bodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
<b>Point of Compliance for Farm Manager</b> 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or f the FMAg/S? Issue date 19/12/202	

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2025-0082			Date of visit:	13/03/2025	]		
Site No:	FS0430	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
			•			J		2 1135
			=					
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	18/03/2025							



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125

 SITE NO
 FS0430

 CASE NO
 20250082

DATE OF VISIT13/03/2025SITE NAMENevis AINSPECTORInspector

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 18/03/2025

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)