FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020							
Case No: 2025-0087			Date of visit: 17/03/2025							
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspec	tor:							
Site No: FS0088 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Cloudin Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6							
Water Temp (°C): 7.9	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A							
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-10							
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  Y  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N										
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:										

### **Additional Case Information:**

Site is harvesting stock.

Recent health surveillance (05/02/2025) conducted by business showed no significant results and fish were feeding and behaving well, although some fish were observed to be lethargic.

SLICE treatments had been conducted in November 2023 and April 2024.

During site inspection the general population were observed to be shoaling well and were in good condition. Stock were swimming deep in the water column. Low number of fish were observed to have lesions but were healing well. 2 moribund fish were observed across site.

1 fish was sampled for VMD and was in good condition externally and internally

Mortality, Sea lice and treatment records, FMS were inspected remotely on 12/03/2025 by whilst supervised by
Site inspection and VHWP/BMP, records of health surveillance and movement records were inspected on 17/03/2025 by
whilst supervised by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ued by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2025-0087		Site No:	FS0088	]						
Date of Visit:		17/03/2025	3		Inspector(s):						
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails									
Business/site details summary checked by site representative?											
2. Changes made to	details?						Y				
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)								
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	12			
Species	SAL										
Age group	2023 S0										
No Fish	45,334										
Mean Fish Wt	5.423kg										
Next Fallow Date (S	*	March 2025		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Septembe					
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N			
If yes, detail:											
Movement Records  1. Movement records available for inspection?  2. Date of last inspection:  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records  1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?											
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y			
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)						
				iock to Pelagia	on Bressay						
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly enter						Y			
4. Recent mortality (	•			09%), wk9 41 ((	0.02%), wk10	57 (0.06%)	wk11 - 17 (0.0				
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N			
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:							
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N			
If yes, detail:	Thortanty da	illig period on	leckeu:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A			
If yes, detail action:	Педрия		опторение	773737							
B. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.  N/A											

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
Are records complete and correctly entered?	· ·
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
4. Are fish in a withdrawai period?  5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	.,
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considerable.	dered?
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary profe	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence	of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (e	egual or higher Y
health status, certification if required)?	7444. 51 111.git. 1
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological	unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical contains	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 07/02/2023 - 17/03/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13					issued by: FHI								
	Case no: 2025-0087		087	Site No: FS0088				Date of visit/ Sampling:			03/2025	17/0	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ig.   HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:1	5:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. <b> </b>	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Ш	Pool/Fish No												
L	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	5.42kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		Cairndow (FS0324)											
U.	,	_											

03/2025 Additional Sample Information:															
	VMD fish dispatched via percussion.														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2025-0087		Site No:	FS0088		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/03/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	٥	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Navaranta eff							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10
Exposure via water	INGITIBLE OF GES	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	_	from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent state	ıs ı from Category III farm	4				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.		1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	3			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1	]			
CoGP/Regulator	lv.			,			
with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice	No		3	· ·			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2	2			
					Total Rank		23 MEDIUM
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites  Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc  CoGP/Regulator  Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	No feeding of u Feeding unpas Sites operating Sites sharing s Yes No Yes No	inpasteurised feed teurised feed Number of sites from single shorebase	5 1	2 or 3	2 2		MEDIU

Case No: Site No: FS0088								
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)								
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N							
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	Υ							
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?								
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?	Υ							
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ							
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ							
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	N							
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?	Υ							
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Υ							
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N							
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Υ							
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	N/A							
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ							
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?								
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Υ							
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Υ							
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	У							
Containment Inspection								
Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N							
1 3 3 1 1	Υ							
Seal pro nets, top nets, sea lice skirts, sinker tubes								
If other, detail below:								
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N							
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10								
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?								
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)								
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)								
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail								
If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish								
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)								
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could								
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)								
•	Υ							

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0087 Site I	No: FS0088	
Date of Visit: 17/03/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located within	a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mana	gement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreemer	nt or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared	1? Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspect		Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site</li></ol>	_	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of comm	• •	ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review	w?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managemen	ıt	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum hea	alth standards for the stocks to be introd	uced to the area or Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination r	equirements for stocks held in the area	or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination of f	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum s	•	
individual farm?		V
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangemer fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	hts for the storage and disposal of any d	ead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of Sea	ı Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements f		ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability a	and the use of medicines on farms cover	red by the agreement
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requiremen lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	nts for the sensitivity testing of available t	treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstance	_	cleaner fish are to be  Y  within the area?  Y
used on farms in the area or individual farms?		within the area?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangemer	its for synthetionous treatments on farms	within the area:
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstand area or farm?	ces when live fish may be introduced or	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangemer	nts for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto soodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
-	ent include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 12/04/2024	statement? Y

		_				_				
Case No:	2025-0087			Date of visit:	17/03/2025					
Site No:	FS0088	1		Inonostor						
Site No.	F30000			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp		
Report Summary				1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1						
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	25/03/2025	шэр	2 III3P							



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 17/03/2025

 Site No
 FS0088
 Site Name
 Cloudin

 Case No
 20250087
 Inspector

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers were missing from the movement records. Movement records were corrected on site. Site was advised in future to input FS numbers for all movements. No further action required.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 25/03/2025
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)